Address:					
Completed	Ву:				
		Access Diagram	1		
	ram been submitted whent and how this links to				Yes / No
(This can b	pe included within the D has not been submitted	Design and Access St	atement, see Section		yes
Access on	Foot			Points	Score
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian pedestrians passing the				Yes / No
	sides of the road)? If no y access.				yes
Location	Housing Development: within 500m of a district		Yes	2	
	Accessibility Map 1 in A	ppendix F)	No	0	
	Other development: Is to local housing (i.e. within	, .			2
	houses per hectare (see Appendix F)	Accessibility Map 4 in			
Internal	Does 'circulation' and ac		Yes	1	
Layout	Layout reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrial routes for all; with priority given to pedestrian when they have to cross roads or cycle routes		No	0	1
External Layout	Are there barriers betwee		There are	-2	
Layout	facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? (see Merseyside Code of Practice on		barriers		
		at crossings or on	There are no barriers	1	
	desire lines; Steep gradients;		are no barners		1
		crossing where there is			
	heavy traffic;	-			
Other		, e.g. lack of lighting.			Va a / Na
Other	The development links to Accessibility Map 1). If r				Yes / No yes
				Total (B)	4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table	4	Comments or action any shortfall	n needed t	o correct
	3.1)		any onorman		
	Box B: Actual Score				
		4			
		-			

Access by	Cycle			Points	Score
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.				Yes / No
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.				Yes / No yes
Location	within 1 mile of a district or local centre (see		Yes No	0	2
Internal	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site		Yes	1	1
layout	reflect direct and safe cy given to cyclists where t vehicles?		No	0	
External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route (see Accessibility Map 1 in Appendix F) and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route?			1	1
	The development is not route (see Accessibility		ing or proposed cycle	-1	
Other	Development includes s	hower facilities and	Yes	1	1
	lockers for cyclists		No	0	5
				Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	3	Comments or action any shortfall	n needed t	to correct

	Box B:				
	Actual Score	5			
Access by	Public Transport			Points	Score
Access by	Public Transport Is the site within a 200m	n safe and convenient	Yes	Points 2	Score
Location and	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu	ıs stop, and/or within	Yes No		Score 2
Location	Is the site within a 200m	ıs stop, and/or within		2	
Location and access to	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire	s stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian		2	
Location and access to public	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail.	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e.	No There are barriers There are no	2	
Location and access to public	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs;	No There are barriers	2 0	
Location and access to public	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail and the payements less the A lack of formal critical states.	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs;	No There are barriers There are no	2 0	2
Location and access to public	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail a lack of dropped Pavements less the	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; an 2m wide; ossings where there is	No There are barriers There are no	2 0	2
Location and access to public	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail and the A lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal cruheavy traffic; or	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; ian 2m wide; ossings where there is	No There are barriers There are no barriers	2 0	2
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail and a lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal crubeavy traffic; or Bus access kerbs.	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; aan 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an ho	No There are barriers There are no barriers	2 0 0	2
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rational and a lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal crubeavy traffic; or Bus access kerbs. High (four or more bus state)	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; an 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an hous services or trains an	No There are barriers There are no barriers ur)	2 0 0 1	1
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rail and a lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal crucking heavy traffic; or Bus access kerbs. High (four or more bus steel)	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; ian 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an hous services or trains an houservices	No There are barriers There are no barriers ur) hour)	2 0 1	1
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rational endings of the A lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal content of the A lack of th	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; ian 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an hous services or trains an hous to bus priority measures to bus stops, bus interested Accessible 1.	No There are barriers There are no barriers ur) hour) res serving the site	2 0 1 2 1 0	1
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rational endings of the A lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal crubeavy traffic; or Bus access kerbs. High (four or more bus see Medium (two or three bus Low (less than two bus 17 the proposal contribute	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; ian 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an hous services or trains an hous to bus priority measures to bus stops, bus interested Accessible 1.	No There are barriers There are no barriers ur) hour) res serving the site	2 0 1 2 1 0 1	1
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walking distance of a bu 400m of a rail station? (2 in Appendix F). Are there barriers on dire routes to bus stops or rational and a lack of dropped Pavements less the A lack of formal crubeavy traffic; or Bus access kerbs. High (four or more bus sometime of the proposal contribute stations in the vicinity and the sum of the proposal contribute stations in the vicinity and the sum of the proposal contribute stations in the vicinity and the proposal contribute stations in the v	is stop, and/or within See Accessibility Map ect and safe pedestrian ail stations i.e. kerbs; ian 2m wide; ossings where there is services or trains an hous services or trains an hous services or trains an hous to bus priority measures to bus stops, bus intend/or provides bus stop	No There are barriers There are no barriers ur) hour) res serving the site rchange or bus or rail s or bus interchange	2 0 1 2 1 0 1	1

Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1) Box B:	5	Comments or action any shortfall	needed t	to correct
	Total Score	6			
Vehicle Ac	cess and Parking			Points	Score

venicie Ac	cess and Parking	Points	Score
Vehicle access	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.	yes	Yes / No
and circulation	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.	yes	Yes / No
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.	no	Yes / No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.	yes	Yes / No
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.	n/a	Yes / No
Parking	The off-street parking provided is more than advised in Section 4 for that development type. If yes, parking provision must be reassessed.		Yes / No

	The off-street parking pridevelopment type	rovided is as advised in	Section 4 for that	1	Yes No
	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)				Yes No
	For development in con	trolled parking zones:			Yes No
	Is it a car free development?			1	Yes / No
	provision of disable	ol or removal of on-stre ed spaces), or contribu cal parking strategy (in	ites to other identified	1	Yes / No
				Total (B):	
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments or action any shortfall. If consappropriate for the parking (see section been provided, please of the parking) and the parking is a section been provided.	ditions are reduced le n 4), but th	e evel of is has no