Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Brai Sprea		Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T1	Horse Chestnut	11.1	420	N E S W	5.5 5.2 5.1 5.4	1.85 (1.85 W)	EM	•	Wound to base at 0.5m, split to primary scaffold limb (w), leaf miner, exposed surface roots	Remove to accommodate the development.	В	С	11550	5.0	80
T2	Horse Chestnut	10.6	315	N E S W	3.4 3.0 2.1 3.9	1.7 (1.5W)	EM	•	Wound to base (E), leaf miner	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	4831	3.8	45
Т3	Horse Chestnut	8.5	280	N E S W	5.1 3.5 3.7 3.7	1.5 (2.3S)	EM	•	Leaf miner, bark lesions, historic branch loss in crown	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	4832	3.4	35
T4	False Acacia	9.0	210	N E S W	3.3 2.7 4.3 3.9	1.0 (3.0W)	EM		Significant dead wood in crown	Remove deadwood, Retain & Protect during development	В	С	-	2.5	20
T5	Cherry	11.0	480	N E S W	6.9 7.0 5.8 4.1	1.0 (3.0W)	EM	:	Minor deadwood Crossing branches	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	07841	5.8	104
Т6	Cherry	11.0	450	N E S W	8.0 4.9 7.1 3.6	1.0 (3.0E)	M		Minor deadwood Crossing branches	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	-	5.4	92
Т7	Cherry	9.1	370	N E S W	6.0 3.9 5.1 4.8	1.4 (2.4E)	M		Minor deadwood Crossing branches	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	04839	4.4	62
Т8	Apple	5.7	140	N E S W	3.3 1.8 2.0 2.3	2.0 (2.1W)	Y-EM	•	Lean 11.5 degrees to N Wound at 2.2m Branch snapped at 2m	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	04833	1.7	9

Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ead (m)	Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
Т9	Apple	4.9	135	N E S W	2.9 1.25 1.8 2.5	2.2 (2.5W)	Y-EM	:	Wound and rib 1-1.8m Asymmetric crown Lean to N 9.9 degrees	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	-	1.6	8
T10	Ash	10.6	300	N E S W	5.5 4.9 5.3 2.7	1.5 (2.1W)	Y-EM	-	On embankment	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	-	3.6	41
T11	Ash	9.9	240	N E S W	5.8 4.0 4.0 3.5	1.9 (2.5N)	EM	•	Minor deadwood	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	-	2.9	26
T12	Ash	7.2	170	N E S W	2.5 2.2 2.5 2.5	2.1 (2.0E)	EM	•	Significant mower damage to base	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	-	2.0	13
T13	Ash	6.5	160	N E S W	2.4 2.7 2.4 2.1	2.0 (2.3N)	Y-EM	•	Large wound & swelling base – 0.5m	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	-	1.9	12
T14	Ash	7.4	120 110	N E S W	1.6 2.8 2.1 1.6	2.2 (2.2E)	Y-EM	-	Twin stemmed 0.6m, asymmetric crown Significant stem damage Embedded tree strap	Retain & Protect during development	С	С	-	2.0	12
T15	Red Oak	10.5	420	N E S W	5.7 6.0 7.5 5.6	1.9 (2.3W)	Y-EM	-	Tri-stemmed 2.5m	Remove to accommodate the development	В	В	-	5.0	80
T16	Ash	6.1	140	N E S W	1.4 1.7 2.5 2.1	2.5 (2.1S)	Y-EM	:	Wound and swelling to stem at 1.0m Twin stemmed 1.8m Damage to scaffold limbs	Remove for Arboricultural Reasons	C/D	U	-	1.7	9

FMBUK Ltd

Client:

Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Branch Spread (m)	Crown height (m)	Age	Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T17	Cherry	9.0	400	N 6.7 E 6.0 S 5.1 W 4.8	1.6 (2.0N)	EM	 Exposed and damaged surface roots Crossing branches 	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	-	4.8	72
T18	Norway Maple	10.2	260	N 3.2 E 2.8 S 2.8 W 2.8	2.2 (2.2E)	EM	 Wound and swelling to stem at 0.6m (to N & S) Moderate deadwood 	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	11544	3.1	31
T19	Cherry	11.2	460	N 6.7 E 5.8 S 5.6 W 4.7	1.3 (2.2W)	М	 Exposed and damaged surface roots Tri-stemmed 2.3m 	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	-	5.5	96
T20	Broadleaved Cockspur Thorn	4.6	150	N 2.3 E 1.9 S 2.8 W 2.4	(1.85E	Y-EM	 Dense epicormics growth Extensive wounds to stem and lower scaffold 	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	4820	1.8	10
T21	Alder	15.8	345	N 4.5 E 5.1 S 4.3 W 3.8	1.5 (2.7W)	EM	■ Stem kinks to N @ 2.8m	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	11541	4.1	54
T22	Aspen	0.7	170	N 0 E 0 S 0 W 0	n/a	EM	■ Stump – minor regrowth	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U	11543	2.0	13
T23	Cherry	8.7	400	N 7.5 E 3.7 S 4.4 W 6.7	1.0 (2.2N)	М	 Exposed and damaged surface roots Crossing branches 	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	-	4.8	72
T24	Norway Maple	10.7	190	N 2.1 E 2.8 S 2.5 W 2.2	2.3 (2.7E)	EM	■ Wound and swelling 0-0.8m	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С	-	2.3	16

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Site: Upper Parliament Street, Liverpool

Client: FMBUK Ltd

Surveyor:	Giles Mercer
Assessment Date:	23 rd September 2016
Job Reference:	DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ead (m)	Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T25	Alder	15	330	N E S W	4.3 3.5 4.0 4.7	1.3 (2.6W)	EM	•	Stem kinks to East at 4.0m	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С		4.0	49
T26	Willow	11.0	620	N E S W	3.8 2.4 2.2 4.0	1.3 (3.6W)	M		Extensively decayed stem previously topped at circa 4.0m	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U	11553	7.4	174
T27	Willow	14.2	500	N E S W	8.8 7.2 10.0 8.6	0.2 (3.0S)	М	•	Wound 0-1.8m (W) Significant dysfunctional wood 6 degree lean to SE	 Remove to accommodate the development 	С	С		6.0	113
T28	Horse Chestnut	6.7	160	N E S W	1.6 2.0 2.2 1.4	1.0 (2.5S)	Y-EM		Extensive fire damage to stem and W crown Horse Chestnut scale and miner	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U	4844	1.9	12
T29	Horse Chestnut	1.5	200	N E S W	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0 (n/a)	EM	•	Stump with regrowth to 1.5m	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U		2.4	18
T30	Aspen	26.0	630	N E S W	6.5 5.1 5.85 5.3	4.1 (3.0S)	М		Corrected lean 9.5 degree to the East Moderate deadwood	Remove to accommodate the development	В	С		7.6	180
T31	Aspen	28	675	N E S W	5.4 5.4 6.6 5.5	4.0 (4.0S)	M	•	Moderate deadwood	 Remove to accommodate the development 	В	С		8.1	206
T32	Horse Chestnut	9.0	290	N E S W	3.3 3.5 3.5 3.8	1.7 (2.1W)	EM	•	Significant wound 0-0.7m – decay Phytophthora bleeding canker Tear to scaffold	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U		3.5	38

Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Brai Sprea		Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
Т33	Alder	12.5	320	N E S W	2.7 3.5 3.7 2.9	3.0 (3.0S)	EM	:	14 degree lean to SE, sparse crown Abnormal basal flare	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.8	46
T34	Cherry	7.7	280	N E S W	3.3 3.3 3.1 2.3	2.2 (3.0S)	EM	-	Multi-stemmed 2.2m Extensive damage 0.5-1.2m	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.4	35
T35	Cherry	7.5	330	N E S W	4.0 3.4 3.3 2.2	2.0 (2.6E)	EM	:	Exposed and damaged surface roots 10.6 degree lean to E Multi-stemmed from 1.9m	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		4.0	49
T36	Pine	8.3	230	N E S W	2.2 1.7 2.8 2.6	2.3 (2.3 NSEW)	EM	•	None	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		2.8	24
T37	Pine	10.0	300	N E S W	3.2 2.8 3.2 3.2	1.7 (2.1S)	EM	-	None	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.6	41
T38	Pine	10.8	350	N E S W	2.9 2.8 3.7 3.8	2.0 (1.9W)	EM	-	None – asymmetric crown	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		4.2	55
T39	Horse Chestnut	1.5	225	N E S W	0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	n/a		-	Stump with re-growth	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U		2.7	23
T40	Horse Chestnut	9.2	330	N E S W	4.0 4.4 4.3 4.1	2.2 (2.2N)	EM	•	Wound (occluded) at 0.5m Leaf miner	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		4.0	49

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT Site: | Inner Parliament Street Liverpool

Site: Upper Parliament Street, Liverpool

Client: FMBUK Ltd

Surveyor:	Giles Mercer
Assessment Date:	23 rd September 2016
Job Reference:	DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ad (m)	Crown height (m)	Age	Comments on Structural Condition, etc. Management Recommendations Physiological Condition	at LC	Ra	RPA adius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T41	Horse Chestnut	1.0	150	N E S W	0.2 0.2 0.2 02	n/a		■ Stump with re-growth ■ Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U		1.8	10
T42	Sycamore	13	335	N E S W	6.0 4.2 3.0 2.4	3.0 (2.5N)		■ Swelling Basal growth ■ Remove for Arboricultural reasons D	U 48	05	4.0	51
T43	Sycamore	13	320	N E S W	6.0 2.4 3.0 5.5	3.0 (2.5N)		Wound and rib to south side of stem 1.2-1.9m Remove to accommodate the development	C 11	539	3.8	46
T44	Red Oak	13.2	255	N E S W	2.6 3.5 2.2 2.5	4.0 (3.0W)	EM	Basal wound to North (mower damage) Remove to accommodate the development	С		3.1	29
T45	Red Oak	13	310	N E S W	2.0 5.9 5.2 0	2.0 (3.5N)	EM	 Very asymmetric crown Phototrophic growth Retain & Protect during development 	С		3.7	43
T46	Sycamore	16.8	390	N E S W	5.6 4.6 3.9 3.3	2.0 (2.1W)	EM	■ Twin stemmed 2.2m ■ Remove to accommodate the development	С		4.7	69
T47	Red Oak	16.0	245	N E S W	5.7 2.0 2.5 2.5	4.0 (2.5W)	EM	 Asymmetric crown Stem bifurcates at 3m Partially included union Remove to accommodate the development 	С		2.9	27
T48	Sycamore	16.9	490	N E S W	3.8 7.6 6.6 5.6	2.0 (2.4W)	M	 Lean 10 degrees to South Minor deadwood Girdling root, exposed & damaged roots Remove to accommodate the development	С		5.9	109

Client:

Giles Mercer Surveyor: 23rd September 2016 **Assessment Date:** DTCL.119.AIA.2016 Job Reference:

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Bra Sprea		Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T49	Cherry	6.6	180	N E S W	0.5 2.0 1.5 1.5	n/a		•	Dead – fire damage	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	D	U		3.7	15
T50	Cherry	6.6	250	N E S W	2.6 3.0 3.3 2.4	2.0 (3.0W)	EM	•	Numerous significant wounds to stem 0-1.7m	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	D	U		3.6	28
T51	Cherry	5.8	200	N E S W	2.5 2.75 2.6 1.8	1.9 (2.1N)	EM	•	Extensive wound/embedded tree stake 0-1.0m	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U		6.1	18
T52	Cherry	7.2	250	N E S W	2.65 3.6 3.5 3.1	2.1 (1.7S)	Y-EM	•	Numerous wounds to stem and lower scaffold	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С	4856	2.3	28
T53	Cherry	6.0	190	N E S W	1.5 2.2 2.2 1.8	2.1 (2.1W)	EM	:	Significant wound and decay to stem 0-1.0m Fire damage to stem and crown S&W	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U		3.0	16
T54	Sycamore	13.5	510	N E S W	8.1 5.1 7.0 5.6	1.7 (2.3W)	М	•	Exposed and damaged surface roots	Remove to accommodate the development	В	В		2.4	118
T55	Norway Maple	11.3	300	N E S W	3.4 2.7 4.1 2.7	2.5 (2.5W)	EM	•	Bark missing 0-2.2m to S&E	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.0	41
T56	Norway Maple	12.0	305	N E S W	3.0 3.2 3.5 3.1	2.5 (2.5S)	EM	•	Significant recent fire damage to stem and crown, extensive bark de-lamination	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	U	U	11533	2.2	42

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Upper Parliament Street, Liverpool Site:

23rd September 2016 **Assessment Date:** FMBUK Ltd DTCL.119.AIA.2016 Client: Job Reference:

PAGE 8

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ad (m)	Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T57	Norway Maple	11.7	320	N E S W	3.7 4.3 3.9 3.3	2.1 (2.3W)	EM	•	Wound and swelling occluded to North of stem at 0.7m	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.8	46
T58	Norway Maple	11.5	280	N E S W	2.5 3.4 3.2 2.7	3.0 (3.0N)	EM	•	Wound and swelling to stem (occluded) at 0.3m and 1.0m	Remove to accommodate the development	С	С		3.4	35
T59	Norway Maple	11.9	340	N E S W	3.3 3.7 3.6 3.7	2.2 (3.0W)	EM	•	Twin stemmed 2.5m Significant inclusion target = road	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	C/U	C/U		4.1	52
T60	Plane	16.8	830	N E S W	8.0 7.8 7.0 9.0	1.8 (2.5W)	M	-	Crown lifted street side. NSVD?	Remove to accommodate the development	С	Α		10	312
T61	Plane	18.8	770	N E S W	7.3 10.4 8.0 6.5	1.8 (4.0S)	М	•	Wounds to North and West of stem 0-1.6m	■ None	С	В		9.2	268
T62	Horse Chestnut	14.7	400	N E S W	5.5 5.4 4.9 4.0	1.5 (2.3N)	EM	•	Exposed damaged surface roots Leaf miner	■ None	С	С		4.8	72
T63	Horse Chestnut	14.7	370	N E S W	5.0 3.7 3.9 3.4	1.7 (2.2S)	EM	:	Exposed damaged surface roots Leaf miner	■ None	С	С		4.4	62
T64	Horse Chestnut	14.7	355	N E S W	5.1 3.0 4.4 5.2	1.7 (2.5W)	EM	•	Exposed damaged surface roots Leaf miner	■ None	С	С		4.3	57

Giles Mercer

Surveyor:

Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Branch Spread (m	Crown height (m)	Age	Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T65	Whitebeam	14.8	490	N 4.0 E 5.0 S 5.0 W 3.0	3 1.0 7 (3.0S)	М	 12 degree lean to SE – uncorrected Wound from base 0.6m to North 	■ None	В	С		5.9	109
T66	Whitebeam	1.0	400	N E S W		n/a	■ Stump	Remove for Arboricultural reasons	D	U		4.8	72
T67	Cherry	14.0	440	N 2.0 E 6.9 S 7.0 W 7.0	1.0 3 (3.0S)	М	■ Scaffold union at 2.5m	■ None	С	С		5.3	88
T68	Cherry	14.0	430	N 6.5 E 6.4 S 2.4 W 4.5	1.5 (3.0S)	М	■ Exposed and damaged surface roots	■ None	С	С	4784	5.2	84
T69	Cherry	14.0	455	N 5.5 E 6.4 S 2.4 W 4.5	1.5 (3.0W)		■ Exposed and damaged surface roots	■ None	С	С		5.5	94
T70	Apple	3.5	60 40 40 20	N 1.1 E 1.1 S 1.1 W 1.1)	Y	■ Small scrubby mc apple	■ None	С	С		1.0	3
T71	Whitebeam	11.8	400	N 3.5 E 5.5 S 5.5 W 1.0) 1.8 (2.2E)	М	■ 25 degree lean to SE	■ None	В	С		4.8	72
T72	Sycamore	15.4	385	N 2.4 E 5. S 6.4 W 2.5	4.0 (3.0S)	EM	 Exposed and damaged surface roots 	■ None	В	С		4.6	67

Surveyor:	Giles Mercer
Assessment Date:	23 rd September 2016
Job Reference:	DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)	Bra Sprea		Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T73	Sycamore	14.9	560	N E S W	8.3 7.7 2.9 8.1	2.0 (2.0E)	EM	•	Exposed and damaged surface roots	■ None	В	С	4777	6.7	142
T74	Alder	17.4	450	N E S W	1.9 3.7 4.5 4.3	1.6 (3.0W)	M	•	Wound and swelling to East at 1.3m	■ None	В	С		5.4	92
T75	Alder	7.7	210	N E S W	0 1.8 7.5 2.1	1.0 (3.0S)	Y-EM	•	15 degree lean to south. Phototropic growth Wound to north of stem 0.6-1.2m	■ None	С	С	4779	2.5	20
T76	Apple	5.3	140	N E S W	2.0 2.0 0.2 1.0	1.7 (2.0E)	EM	•	Twin stemmed 2m included wounds to stem	■ None	В	С	4781	1.7	9
T77	Cherry	9.5	340	N E S W	2.8 5.7 5.1 2.5	1.7 (3.0W)	EM	•	Exposed and damaged surface roots	■ None	В	С		4.1	52
T78	Cherry	11.2	340	N E S W	2.8 2.5 3.7 2.5	1.7 (2.1N)	EM	•	Heavily lifted roadside	■ None	В	С		4.1	52
T79	Cherry	14.2	350 230	N E S W	2.8 2.5 3.1 2.5	1.7 (2.1W)	EM	•	Snapped and hanging branch in scaffold	■ Crown clean	С	С		5.0	79
T80	Cherry	12.4	560	N E S W	2.8 2.5 5.1 5.3	1.7 (3.3W)	EM	•	None	■ None	В	С		6.7	142

Surveyor: Giles Mercer

Assessment Date: 23rd September 2016

Job Reference: DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ead (m)	Crown height (m)	Age		Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T81	Alder	14.3	500	N E S W	3.2 4.6 5.1 4.1	1.5 (2.0S)	М	•	None	■ None	В	С		6.0	113
T82	Hawthorn	4.4	100 80 60 60	N E S W	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	0.5 (1.0N)	EM	-	Multi-stemmed bushy tree	■ None	В	С		2.0	12
T83	Cherry	9.9	320	N E S W	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	1.7 (1.8NE)	EM		None	■ None	В	С		3.8	46
T84	Broad Leaved Cockspur Thorn	3.7	150	N E S W	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	2.5 (1.5S)	EM	•	None	■ None	В	С		1.8	10
T85	Willow	18.6	600	N E S W	10 10 10 10	2.5 (4N)	M		Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		7.2	163
T86	Willow	18.6	600	N E S W	10 10 10 10	1.5 (4S)	M	•	Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		7.2	163
T87	Cherry	7.6	230	N E S W	4 4 4 4	1.8 (2.3N)	EM		Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		2.8	24
T88	Cherry	8.6	270	N E S W	4 4 4 4	1.8 (2.3S)	EM	•	Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		3.2	33

TREE SURV	TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT										
Site:	Upper Parliament Street, Liverpool										
Client:	FMBUK Ltd										

Surveyor:	Giles Mercer
Assessment Date:	23 rd September 2016
Job Reference:	DTCL.119.AIA.2016

No.	Species	Height (m)	Stem Diam. (mm)		anch ead (m)	Crown height (m)	Age	Comments on Structural Condition, etc.	Management Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Cat	LCC Ref	RPA Radius (m)	RPA Area (m²)
T89	Cherry	9.6	270	N E S W	4 4 4 4	1.8 (2.3N)	EM	Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		3.2	33
T90	Alder	12	450	N E S W	5 5 5 5.2	1.8 (2.3E)	EM	Restricted access within locked gated area	■ None	В	С		5.4	92
T91	Willow	1.2	400	N E S W	0 0 0	n/a	n/a	 Restricted access within locked gated area Stump 	■ None	stump	U		0	0