posal	Taskers Storage Hub - I	Mixed Office / Storage						
dress:		ustrial Estate Completed by Mott MacDonald.						
ipieteu by.								
a diagram be	een submitted which show	s how people move to and through the developmen	t and how this links to t	he surrounding	Yes			
	and sight lines? (This can ed your application may no	be included within the Design and Access Statement be processed	nt, see Section 2.25.) If a	a diagram has				
been submite	ea your application may in	or be processed.						
ess on Foot				Points	Score			
ty	Is there safe pedestrian acc width footpath on both side							
			Yes					
cation			Yes	0				
	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps)							
	Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m)				2			
	more than 30 houses per h							
tornal Lavout	Does 'circulation' and acce							
ernal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to No			0				
	cross roads or cycle routes	cross roads or cycle routes?			1			
rnal Layout	Are there barriers between	-2						
,	pedestrian access?		There are barriers	-				
		Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep						
	gradients; a lack of a forma security concerns, e.g. lack	all crossing where there is heavy traffic; of lighting.	There are no barriers	1				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
					1			
ner	The development links to ic		Yes					
	provide reasons why not.							
mary	Box A: Minimum Standard	Total (B)	4					
	(from Table 3.1)	2	Comments to correct s	ortiu				
	Box B: Actual Score							
		4						
ty	Are there safety issues for	cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road	iunctions within 400m of	Points	Score			
		tht turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes,			No			
e Parking	Does the development mee							
olo i alitalig	where appropriate contribu		Yes					
ation	standards and cycle parking	standards and cycle parking facilities.						
	Residential Development Is centre (see Accessibility Ma	s the development within 1500m of a district or local	Yes	2				
	Other development: Is the	density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m)	No	0	2			
	more than 30 houses per h							
nal layout		ss inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; s where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes No	1	0			
ternal Access	The development is within	1	1					
		to a cycle route, or develop a route.						
		The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.						
r	Development includes cycle	e parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes No	1	0			
	ID A			Total (B)	3			
mary	Box A: Minimum Standard	2	Comments to correct s	mortiani.				
	(From Table 3.1)	٤						
	Box B: Actual Score							
ccess by Public		3						
	: Transport	Points	Scor					
cation and cess to public	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, Yes							
port			No	0	2			
		Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail  There are barriers  There are no  There are no						
	stations? <sup>I.e.</sup> A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.  There are no barriers				1			
		2						
uency		High (four or more bus services or trains an hour) Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)						
,	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)			1 0 1	2			
r	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service				0			
					0			
		an existing or new bus service		1 Total (B):	0 5			
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard	4	Comments to correct s	shortfall:				
	(from Table 3.1)							
	1							

	Box B: Total Score	5				
		,		Points	1-	
Vehicle Access ar Vehicle					Score Yes	
access	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.  Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.				Yes	
access and circulation	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.				No	
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.				Yes	
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.				Yes	
Parking	The off-street parking prov type.	rided is as advised in Section 4 for that development	Yes	1	1	
			No	0		
		ided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section type (or shares parking provision with another		1	0	
	,		No	0		
ļ	For development in controlled parking zones:					
	Is the proposal for a car free development? Yes No			N/A		
[		emoval of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of ibutes to other identified measures in the local parking				
	strategy (including car club		No	N/A		
				Total (B):	1	
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct s		Į i	
	Box B: Total Score	1				