

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY – LAND to SOUTH of ORIEL STREET PHASE 1

for

Proposed Retail / Residential Development

at

Naylor Street Vauxhall Road Liverpool Merseyside L3 6DR



Contract No: LV540 Dated: June 2019

Thomason Partnership Limited 2nd Floor, St Nicholas House, Old Churchyard, Liverpool L2 8TX T: 0151 294 3908 E: liverpool@thomasons.co.uk W: www.thomasons.co.uk Registered office: 17 Brewery Yard, Deva City Office Park, Trinity Way, Manchester M3 7BB

Registered in England and Wales No. 2490195 VAT Registration No. 560 3108 77



Prepared by	Signature	Date
ljaz Akram BEng Hons, Dip Surv	5.112	14/06/2019
Checked		
Tracy Magee BSc Hons CEng MICE	Magn	14/06/2019

Issue	Description	Date	Originator	Approved
Issue 1	First Issue	24/06/2019	I Akram	T Magee

This report is the property of Thomason Partnership Limited and is confidential to the client designated in the report. Whilst it may be shown to their professional advisers, the contents are not to be disclosed to, or made use of, by any third party, without our express written consent. Without such consent, we can accept no responsibility to any third party.

Thomason Partnership Limited certify that they have carried out the work contained herein with due care and diligence to their best belief and knowledge based on the time and information available.

This report is made on behalf of Thomason Partnership Limited. By receiving it and acting on it, the client – or any third party relying on it – accepts that no individual is personally liable in contract, tort or breach of statutory duty (including negligence).

THOMASONS

THOMASONS

LV540

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i	
1.0 Introduction 1	
1.1 Site Context	
1.2 Legislative Context	
1.3 Site Description and Location	
1.4 Development Proposals	
2.0 Flood Risk Appraisal	
2.1 Summary of Potential Sources	
2.2 Fluvial and Tidal Flooding	
2.3 Groundwater Flooding 4	
2.4 Surface Water Flooding 4	
2.5 Flooding from Public Sewers	
2.6 Flooding from Artificial Sources	
3.0 Drainage Strategy 5	
3.1 Surface Water	
3.2 Foul Water	
4.0 Conclusion	
4.1 Residual Risk and Mitigation Measures	

Tables and Figures

Figure 1: Aerial imagery showing Site Location.	1
Figure 2: Extract of Proposed Planning Layout for Land to South of Oriel Street	2
Figure 3: Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning	3
Figure 4: Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map Extract	4

Appendices

- Appendix A Proposed Site Plans
- Appendix B Existing Site Plans and Topo
- Appendix C Sewer Records
- Appendix D Drainage Strategy Layout



Appendix E Hydraulic Calculations

Appendix F Correspondence



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Flood Risk

Thomasons have been commissioned to produce a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Drainage Management Strategy (DMS) in support of a planning application on behalf of our client – Smith Young Architecture Ltd (Liverpool) for a mixed use residential and commercial development and associated infrastructure. The land to the south of Oriel Street – Phase 1, known as the site, for the purpose of this report, is located between Oriel Street to the north, Paul Street to the south, St Bartholomew Road to the east and Vauxhall Road to the west. The approximate postcode for the site is L3 6DR. Refer to location plan in **Appendix B**. The existing site is predominantly impermeable with some permeable stoned areas which are currently occupied by informal car parking; however, a number of buildings, factories and workshops have previously occupied this site.

Following initial consultation with the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), refer to **Appendix F** for correspondence, the site will be considered part 'brownfield' and part 'Greenfield' for the purposes of this report, however surface water discharge rates have been confirmed with both United Utilities and the Lead Local Flood Authority as informed in section 3.0 of this report.

The current regime for the surface water run-off is unrestricted run-off from a predominantly impermeable site to the surrounding sewer network. The proposals for the site have the potential to provide significant betterment to the surrounding catchment area in reducing the impermeable area footprint. Flood risk will be further reduced by the reduction of hardstanding and re-introduction of permeable, vegetated areas in the form of landscaping, public open space (POS) and verges. Refer to the Landscape Drawing within **Appendix A** for reference.

In addition to the above SuDS features it is proposed that the roof will be considered at detail design to incorporate possible green / brown rooves which would further decrease surface water run off to the public sewer system. However, for the purpose of this report, only permeable paving is to be considered and sized accordingly and the rooves are assumed as impermeable for calculation purposes to give a worse case scenario, refer to **Appendix E** for hydraulic calculations.

The site is approximately 0.3187 ha and will comprise of 5 blocks of residential apartments, access roads, events areas, underground parking and associated infrastructure. Refer to **Appendix A** for the proposed layout plan.



The site is located entirely within Flood Zone 1 with low risk of flooding. For this reason, the threshold levels into the buildings will be approximately the same as the existing ground levels across the site. Whilst the site and surrounding area are not subjected to flood events the scheme proposed will seek, where possible to reduce the possibility of flood risk in the wider area by implementing methods such as restriction of surface water run-off, removal of areas of hardstanding and provision of retention. The site is not at risk from tidal, pluvial, groundwater, sewer related and artificial sources.

For further details regarding the proposed drainage system, refer to section 3.0 for the Drainage Management Strategy for the site.

Drainage Management Strategy

Following discussions with the LLFA, it was formally confirmed that the site would be limited to a discharge rate of 13.2 l/s (refer to LLFA correspondence in **Appendix F**).

The surface water drainage strategy is to outfall at the restricted rates of 13.2 l/s to the existing combined sewer system within Oriel Road shown on the sewer maps provided for in **Appendix C**. The restriction of run-off rates will generate storm-water storage volumes which will be retained on-site for all events up to and including the extreme 1 in 100-year event plus a 30% allowance for climate change*. (The upper tolerance of 40% climate change will be assessed at detailed design. Exceedance flows may arise from storm events that exceed the 1% AEP which will be directed away from on-site buildings). Storm-water storage will be provided for in the form of permeable paving within the unadopted private footways.

The foul water drainage strategy is to outfall to the existing public combined sewer located within the existing combined sewer at an unrestricted rate, this has been confirmed with United Utilities (See **Appendix F**).

*30% climate change has been requested by Liverpool City Council (04/02/2019) in preference to the latest EA guideline of 20% (2016) for developments of this type.

*A rainfall intensity of 40mm/hr has been requested by Liverpool City Council (04/02/2019) in preference to the standard 50mm/hr used in the rational method for the rates calculated in Section 2.1, however as the proposed final rates derived from correspondence with Liverpool City Council (LLFA) (03/05/2018) are lower than those calculated in Section 2.1, this amendment in rainfall intensity does not impact upon the proposed drainage strategy.

LV540

THOMASONS

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Site Context

1.1.1 The site is approximately 0.3187 ha of predominantly hardstanding.

1.2 Legislative Context

1.2.1 A site-specific FRA has been carried out in accordance with NPPG as well as standard EA and LLFA guidance. Where adoptable sewers are to be proposed, sewers for adoption (SfA) standards apply, otherwise the latest building regulations apply. SuDS will be implemented with the guidance of Ciria C753 (2015).

1.3 Site Description and Location

- 1.3.1 The site is located on to the north east of Liverpool City Centre, L3 6DR (approximate postcode).OS Grid reference: 334450E, 391301N. An approximate red line boundary is shown in Figure 1.
- 1.3.2 The site is approximately 0.3187 hectares of predominantly impermeable hardstanding and is bordered by factories, workshops, vacant land and other industrial properties to the north, south and west. To the east is a development of multi storey student accommodation.



Figure 1: Aerial imagery showing Site Location.

Smith Young Architecture Ltd – Naylor Street, Liverpool



1.4 Development Proposals

1.4.1 The proposed mixed use and residential development will be contained within the footprint identified on the plan shown in figure 2 below and within Appendix A. The development will consist of 5 number interconnecting blocks of between 6 and 11 storeys containing 240 residential apartments, 13 mixed commercial units and associated underground parking and infrastructure. The existing surrounding roads at Naylor Street, Paul Street and Bartholomew Street will remain relatively unaffected by the proposals. New access points will be created on both Naylor and Oriel Street to accommodate the proposed underground parking areas. Oriel Street runs to the North of the development and the intention is to incorporate this section of highway within the overall plans for the public realm and landscaping works. The road will remain adopted by the Local Highway Authority, however raised pedestrian tables and formalised on street parking will be introduced as part of a later Phase 2.

τηομάςονς

LV540

1.4.2 See Figure 2 for an extract of the proposed planning layout. As shown, there is significant potential to remove the existing hardstanding and provide areas of vegetated public open space and sustainable drainage systems.



Figure 2: Extract of Proposed Planning Layout for Land to South of Oriel Street – Phase 1.

LV540

THOMASONS

2.0 Flood Risk Appraisal

2.1 Summary of Potential Sources

- 2.1.1 The site is at 'low' risk from fluvial sources and at 'low' risk from all other sources including tidal, pluvial, groundwater related, sewer related and from artificial sources. There is no indication of flood risk from surface water and reservoirs and is also considered to be predominantly 'low'.
- 2.1.2 Flood risk will not increase as a result of the development and there is potential for significant betterment due to the restriction of proposed run-off rates as advised by the Lead Local Flood Authority.

2.2 Fluvial and Tidal Flooding

- 2.2.1 The site is in proximity to a coastline or tidally influenced watercourse, however Flood Risk from Tidal sources is still considered to be "**low**".
- 2.2.2 The site is within Flood Zones 1, only (See Figure 2 below). Therefore, flood risk to the site is "**low risk**". The development is considered 'appropriate' in accordance with NPPG and no sequential or exception testing is required at this time.



Figure 3: Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

2.2.3 EA Product data shows flood extents and levels for flood zones associated with the River Mersey. However, as the river is approximately 500m west of the site and the flood extents of the data locations do not cover the site.

Smith Young Architecture Ltd – Naylor Street, Liverpool Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Management Strategy – Land to South of Oriel Street Phase 1

2.2.4 The EA fluvial flood risk map indicates that the site and surrounding area is entirely at 'low' risk, with areas of 'high' risk only shown to the west and adjacent to the River Mersey

2.3 Groundwater Flooding

- 2.3.1 A review of the NSRI Online Soilscapes map indicates that the ground conditions are classified as 'Loamy and clayey' and the area has impeded drainage which would prohibit the use of SuDS on the site.
- 2.3.2 Groundwater flood risk is therefore considered to be 'low'.

2.4 Surface Water Flooding

2.4.1 The EA's Surface Water Flood Mapping (Figure 3), shows that the site is predominantly at 'Low to Medium' risk of pluvial flooding.



Figure 4: Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map Extract

2.4.2 Overall the pluvial flood risk is considered to be 'low', the areas shown to be at medium risk will be mitigated by the proposed development which will restrict run-off rates flowing off the site and increase the permeable area. Runoff will be directed away from buildings to new and existing drainage infrastructure in accordance with building regulations part H.

2.5 Flooding from Public Sewers

2.5.1 The United Utilities records and topographical survey indicates the presence of several manholes located around the site. A CCTV survey was conducted which showed the drains to be of an egg shape brick sewer construction and in reasonable condition.



- 2.5.2 United Utilities sewer records also confirm that there are no public assets located within the site. Refer to **Appendix C** for details of sewer records.
- 2.5.3 All proposed sewers will be designed and installed in accordance with Sewers for Adoption and best practice building regulations.
- 2.5.4 Therefore, the risk of flooding from public sewers is '**low**'.

2.6 Flooding from Artificial Sources

2.6.1 The online EA flood map for reservoirs indicates that there is no risk of flooding from reservoirs and artificial sources so the risk from artificial sources is "**low**".

3.0 Drainage Strategy

3.1 Surface Water

3.1.1 Rainfall run-off from the proposed development will be controlled and directed to on-site surface water drainage infrastructure.

3.1.2 Hydraulic Assessment

The Site is partly concreted and partly stoned. Assuming the stoned area to be 100% permeable and the concrete area to be 100% impermeable

Area of Concrete = 0.1922ha, Area of Stone = 0.1260ha

Area of Concrete to be considered as positive drainage to existing drainage system.

Q= 2.78 x 40mm/hr x 0.1922ha = 21.37 l/s

Applying 30% betterment = 14.96 l/s.

Total limited discharge for Site A = 14.96 + 5 = 20 l/s (2sf).

However, following formal discussions with both UU and the LLFA, Refer to **Appendix F**, a limit of discharge of **13.2** I/s will be imposed.

*40mm/hr rainfall intensity has been requested by Liverpool City Council in preference to the standard 50mm/hr normally used in the rational method. However as the proposed final rates derived from correspondence with Liverpool City Council (LLFA) (03/05/2018) are lower than those calculated above, this amendment in rainfall intensity does not impact upon the proposed drainage strategy or the proposed rates.

Smith Young Architecture Ltd – Naylor Street, Liverpool



Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Management Strategy – Land to South of Oriel Street Phase 1

- 3.1.3 Using the limit on discharge for the surface water an assessment has been undertaken to confirm the area of permeable pave required to achieve the storage generated from the limit on discharge of the agreed **13.2** I/s for the site. Refer to **Appendix E** for the storage calculations.
- 3.1.4 The proposed permeable pave system is shown on the drainage strategy layout in combination with the landscape SuDS features. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Drainage Strategy Layout and typical construction details.

3.2 Foul Water

3.2.1 Foul flows will outfall unrestricted to the existing combined sewer. A pre-development enquiry has been submitted to United Utilities and confirmation that this approach is acceptable is included in Appendix F. Further correspondence with United Utilities will be required for possible S106 and S104 applications at the detailed design stage.

4.0 Conclusion

4.1 Residual Risk and Mitigation Measures

- 4.1.1 This report has illustrated that the site has significant potential to reduce flooding within the local catchment by reducing impermeable area and restricting run-off rates.
- 4.1.2 This report has also illustrated that the site is at low to medium risk from all sources of flooding including tidal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater, sewer related and from artificial flooding.
- 4.1.3 The use of SuDS will further slowdown and reduce run-off, improve water quality and enhance the biodiversity and amenity of the site.
- 4.1.4 Any sewers will be designed in accordance with best practice guidelines and Sewers for Adoption (if applicable). SuDs guidance shall be taken from the SuDS Manual (C753, 2015). Private drainage will be designed in accordance to best practice guidelines/building regs.
- 4.1.5 Flood Risk can be assessed but never fully mitigated. Extreme flood events may happen that are beyond the scope of assessment at this stage, if this should happen, some out of chamber flooding may occur.
- 4.1.6 Flood risk will not increase, as a result of the development, due to the restriction of proposed runoff rates to mimic the existing greenfield/brownfield scenario in accordance with NPPG.



Appendix A – Proposed Site Plan







Appendix B – Existing Site Plans and Topo

LOCATION PLAN AS EXISTING @ 1:1250



KEY Site Boundary Scale Bar (metres)

Job Title:	NAYLOR STRE	ET PHASE 1					
Purpose:	PLANNING						
Client:	SIMTH YOUNG ARCHITECTURE LTD						
Date:	24/01/2018						
Drawing Title:	LOCATION PL	LOCATION PLAN AS EXISTING					
Drawn By:	MIS	Checked By:	MJY				
Scale:	1:1250	Paper Size:	A3				
Job Number:	19.021	Drwg No: 001	Rev:				

SMITH . YOUNG

All dimensions to be checked on site. Figured dimensions to be read in preference to scaled. This drawing is copyright and remains the property of SMITH YOUNG Architecture tid unless otherwise agreed.

Ltd unless otherwise agreed. SMITH YOUNG Architecture Ltd accepts no responsibility for any unauthorised amendments to the drawing and does not permit unauthorised copying of the drawine.

Revisions / Notes:





Appendix C – Sewer Records



Thomason Partnership Deva Centre 17 Brewery Yard, Trinity Way Manchester Greater Manchester M3 7BB

FAO: ljaz Akram

Dear Sirs

United Utilites Water Limited

Property Searches Ground Floor Grasmere House Lingley Mere Business Park Great Sankey Warrington WA5 3LP

Telephone 0370 751 0101

Property.searches@uuplc.co.uk

 Your Ref:
 LV540

 Our Ref:
 1356460

 Date:
 29/1/2011

Location: 13-23 NAYLOR STREET LIVERPOOL L3 6DR

lacknowledge with thanks your request dated 26/01/18 for information on the location of our services.

Please find enclosed plansshowing the approximate position of our apparatus known to be in the vicinity of this site.

The enclosed plans are being provided to you subject to the United Utilities terms and conditions for both the wastewater and water distribution plans which are shown attached.

If you are planning worksanywhere in the North West, please read ouraccess statement before you start work to check how it will affect our network. http://www.unitedutilities.com/work-near-asset.aspx.

I trust the above meets with you requirements and look forward to hearing from you should you need anything further.

If you have any queries regarding this matter please telephone uson 03707510101.

Yours Faithfully,

nad

Karen McCormack Property Searches Manager





WASTE WATER SYMBOLOGY

Foul	Su	urface	Combined	Overflow				Overflow	N	Foul	Surface	Combin	ned		
	+				Manhole Manhole, Sid MainSewer, MainSewer, MainSewer, Rising Main,	de Ent Public Privat S104 Public	ry : :e	Abando	v Sludge Main, Public Sludge Main, Private Sludge Main, S104 ned Pipe MainSewer Bising Main		■ □ ● ◎	ST T ST	Septic Tan Vent Colur Network S Orifice Plat Vortex Cha	nn Iorage T Ie mber	ank
	-				Rising Main,	S104	te	→	Highway Drain		0		Penstock C	hambei	
	_				Highway Dra	nin, Pr	ivate	-	Sludge Main	0	0	0	Blind Man	ole	
Foul :	Surface	Combin	ned			Foul	Surface	e Combine	d	Foul	Surface	Combi	ned Overflow		
AV ev	0 **	0 AV	WW Sit	te Termina ve	tion	A		→ ⁱ →	Sludge Pumping Station Sewer Overflow	*	•*	•••		Screer Discha	n Chamber Irge Point
CA O	CA .	CA	Cascade	e		百	i di	-	T Junction/Saddle	+(→ -(+(+-(Outfa	I
.NRV	.NRV	.NRV	Non Re	turn Valve		EH.	LH.		LampHole				175	Contra	al Kiosk
•ES	• 55	•55	Extent	of Survey		•	•	•	OilInterceptor				•	Unspe	cified
•	•	•	Flow M	leter		e	e.	•	PenStock	Lege	nd			onspe	enicu
eu		eu	Gulley						Pump	FO F	OLE FUNCTI oul urface Water		SEWER SHAPE CI Circular EG Egg	TR	Trapezoidal Arch
•	•	•	Hatch B	Box		RE		RE	RoddingEye	co c ov o	ombined verflow		OV Oval FT Flat Top	BA	Barrel HorseShoe
•	•	•	Head of	f System					Soakaway				RE Rectangular SQ Square	UN	Unspecified
•	•	•	Hydrob	rake / Vor	tex	• ^{5M}	•544		Summit	AC A	R MATERIAL sbestos Cen	nent I	DI Ductile Iron		
•	•	•	Inlet			•VA	•	-	Valve	BR B CO C	rick oncrete	ľ	VC Vitrified Clay PP Polypropylene		
		-	Inspect	ion Chamb	ber	(VO)	vo	(c)	Valve Chamber	CSB C	oncrete Seg oncrete Seg	ment l ment l	PF Pitched Fibre MA Masonry, Cours	ed	
	\square	\square	Bifurcat	tion		010		. WO	Washout Chamber	CC C	oncrete Box lastic / Steel	Culverted I	MA Masonry, Rand RP Reinforced Plas	tic	
Ø	(CA)		Catchpi	it		D 5	os		DropShaft	GR G GRP G	lass Reinfor	ced d ced d	Si Spun Iron		
	A		WW Pu	imping Sta	tion	Ĥ		ĚĤ	WW Treatment Works	PE P	olyethylene	l l	J Unspecified		

CLEAN WATER SYMBOLOGY

PIPE WORK

Live	Proposed	
		Trunk Main - PressurisedMain
		Raw Water Aqueduct - PressurisedMain
		Raw Water Aqueduct - GravityMain
		LDTM Raw Water Distribution - PressurisedMain
		LDTM Raw Water Distribution - GravityMain
		LDTM Treated Water Distribution - PressurisedMain
		LDTM Treated Water Distribution - GravityMain
		Private Pipe - LateralLine
		Distribution Main - PressurisedMain
-		Comms Pipe - LateralLine
		Concessionary Service - LateralLine

ABANDONED PIPE

 Trunk Main
 Raw Water Aqueduct
 LDTM Raw Water Distribution
 LDTM Treated Water Distribution
 Private Pipe
 Distribution Main
 Comms Pipe
 Concessionary Service

PROPERTY TYPES

Live	Proposed	
¢	**	Condition Report
1	<u> </u>	Pipe Bridges
-Ľr		Tunnels (non carrier)
\triangle	\triangle	Pumping Station
Ħ		Water Treatment Works
-6	E E	Private Treatment Works

NODES/FURNITURES

Live	Proposed		Live	Proposed	
E	E	End Cap	PEH		Private Fire Hydrant
-	-	CC Valve	-0-	-0-	Pump
		AC valve	•	0	Site Termination
•		Air Valve	•	0	Service Start
X	I	Sluice Valve	•	0	Service End
	-	Non Return Valve	PM	PM	Process Meter
•	By	Pressure Management Valve	*		Stop Tap
∇	\bigtriangledown	Change of Characterstic	-	-	Monitor Location
<u>_</u>	17	Anode	SP	SP	Strainer Point
-	•	Chlorination Point	AP	AP	Access Point
•		Bore Hole	HB-	-	Hatch Box
iniet O	Donest O	Inlet Point		-	IP Point
\oplus	Ð	Bulk Supply Point	RM		Route Marker
FH	***	Fire Hydrant	SPT	SPT	Sampling Station
-		Hydrant	LB	1.8	Logger Box

Live Proposed



Valve House Water Tower Service Reservoir Supply Reservoir Abstraction Point Domestic meter Commercial meter Telemetry Outstation

MAT	ERIAL TYPES	LINING TYPES					
AC	ASBESTOS CEMENT	CL	CEMENT LINING				
CI	CAST IRON	TB	TAR OR BITUMEN				
CU	COPPER	ERL	EPOXY RESIN				
co	CONCRETE						
DI	DUCTILE IRON	INSE	ERTION TYPES				
GI	GALVANISED IRON						
GR	GREY IRON	DD	DIE DRAWN				
OT	OTHERS	DR	DIRECTIONAL DRILLING				
PB	LEAD	MO	MOLING				
PV	uPVC	PI	PIPELINE				
51	SPUN IRON	SL	SLIP LINED				
ST	STEEL						
UN	UNKONWN						
PE	POLYETHYLENE						

TERMS AND CONDITIONS - WASTERWATER & WATER DISTRIBUTION PLANS

These provisions apply to the public sewerage, water distribution and telemetry systems (including sewers which are the subject of an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991 and mains installed in accordance with the agreement for the self-construction of water mains) (UUWL apparatus) of United Utilities Water Limited "(UUWL)".

TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- 1. This Map and any information supplied with it is issued subject to the provisions contained below, to the exclusion of all others and no party relies upon any representation, warranty, collateral contract or other assurance of any person (whether party to this agreement or not) that is not set out in this agreement or the documents referred to in it.
- 2. This Map and any information supplied with it is provided for general guidance only and no representation, undertaking or warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or being up to date is given or implied.
- 3. In particular, the position and depth of any UUWL apparatus shown on the Map are approximate only and given in accordance with the best information available. The nature of the relevant system and/or its actual position may be different from that shown on the plan and UUWL is not liable for any damage caused by incorrect information provided save as stated in section 199 of the Water Industry Act 1991. UUWL strongly recommends that a comprehensive survey is undertaken in addition to reviewing this Map to determine and ensure the precise location of any UUWL apparatus. The exact location, positions and depths should be obtained by excavation trial holes.
- 4. The location and position of private drains, private sewers and service pipes to properties are not normally shown on this Map but their presence must be anticipated and accounted for and you are strongly advised to carry out your own further enquiries and investigations in order to locate the same.
- 5. The position and depth of UUWL apparatus is subject to change and therefore this Map is issued subject to any removal or change in location of the same. The onus is entirely upon you to confirm whether any changes to the Map have been made subsequent to issue and prior to any works being carried out.
- 6. This Map and any information shown on it or provided with it must not be relied upon in the event of any development, construction or other works (including but not limited to any excavations) in the vicinity of UUWL apparatus or for the purpose of determining the suitability of a point of connection to the sew erage or other distribution systems.
- 7. No person or legal entity, including any company shall be relieved from any liability howsoever and whensoever arising for any damage caused to UUWL apparatus by reason of the actual position and/or depths of UUWL apparatus being different from those shown on the Map and any information supplied with it.
- 8. If any provision contained herein is or becomes legally invalid or unenforceable, it will be taken to be severed from the remaining provisions which shall be unaffected and continue in full force and affect.
- 9. This agreement shall be governed by English law and all parties submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts, save that nothing will prevent UUWL from bringing proceedings in any other competent jurisdiction, whether concurrently or otherwise.



Appendix D – Drainage Strategy Plan



		©) This D	Drawing	and it	s contents a	are strictly the	copyright of T	homasons
ER'S RISK ASSESSM	IENT		GEN	ERAL	NO	TES			
THE CONTRACTOR IS COMPETENT IN M ARE OF ALL THE NORMAL RISKS ASSOC	ATTERS OF CIATED WITH	1.	DRAWI		Е ТО ВЕ		ONJUNCTION W	/ITH ALL RELEV	/ANT
E SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING. REMAININ RISKS ARE :-	G UNUSUAL		SPECIF INCLUD	ICATION	IS, ENO PROVE	GINEERS, AR D BUILDERS DISCREPAN	CHITECTS & SE WORK DRAWIN	ERVICES DRAW	'INGS, TOR TO
N	CHK'D.		DRAWI	NGS ANI	D SPEC	CIFICATIONS	OR OTHER DRA	AWINGS.	-
lictures		2. 3.	DO NOT	INSION	IS ARE	THE DRAWI	NGS OR THE CO	OTED OTHERV	VISE. TAL DATA,
		4.	ONLY F THE CC FABRIC AND SH	igured Intrac ⁻ Ation, I	DIMEN	ISIONS ARE IALL BE RES ION AND REI ALL TEMPOF	TO BE USED. PONSIBLE FOR MOVAL OF ALL RARY BRACING	THE DESIGN, TEMPORARY W AS NECESSAF	ORKS
		5	MAINTA	AIN STRU			Y DURING CONS	STRUCTION.	IFFICIENT
CLEANING		5.	INFORM DRAWI	MATION NG, HE S	TO SAF	ELY COMPL	ETE THE WORK	S DETAILED OI	N THIS
		66.	eristna Wrora	NGESELATE FINTABRE	ER∷INTHA SPIKANUL	ORTAR FRANCE P BYBEL COMMAN	AMEBERDE M	LABED ARTHER	And §₩orks.
		1.	DRAI ALL PR	NAGE	E NO	TES GE WITHIN T S EN 752 AN	HE SITE IS TO C	COMPLY WITH T	ГНЕ RT H
		2.	DETAIL CONTR DISCRE THE CC RELATI	S OF EX ACTOR PANCIE NTRAC ON TO E	ISTING ON SIT S ARE TOR SH XISTIN	SEWERS SH E PRIOR TO TO BE REPO HOULD CHEC IG SEWERS I	HALL BE CONFIE THE COMMENC ORTED TO THE E CK THE LEVELS PRIOR TO ANY O	RMED BY THE CEMENT OF WC ENGINEER IMM OF ALL NEW O CONSTRUCTIO	PRKS. ANY EDIATELY. UT FALLS IN N TO
		3.	THE CC OF ALL ANY CC	NTRAC SERVIC	TOR SH ES TO IN LEV	ALL ASCER BE CROSSE ELS BETWE	TAIN THE DEPT D BEFORE EXC EN NEW AND E	HS, SIZES AND AVATION COMI XISTING SEWEI	LOCATIONS MENCES. RS TO BE
		4.	ALL PIF CHAMB REGUL ALSO T AND AN	ED TO THE PES, COME ERS, AN ATIONS. O COMF	NNECTI ID MAN WHEF PLY WIT	IONS, GULLI IHOLES ARE RE DRAINAG I'H THE LATE . REQUIREM	ES, ACCESS FIT TO COMPLY W E IS TO BE ADO EST EDITION OF ENTS SPECIFIE	TINGS, INSPEC ITH THE BUILDI PTED THE FOR SEWERS FOR D BY THE UNDE	CTION NG REGOING ARE ADOPTION ERTAKER.
		5.	REFER STUB S	TO ARC	HITECT AND RA	TS DRAWING AINWATER P	S FOR POSITIC	INS OF SOIL VE	NT PIPES,
		6.		COMPLE	TION O	F THE WOR	KS THE CONTR/ G ALL DEBRIS F	ACTOR SHALL (ROM SITE, NO	CLEAN ALL DEBRIS
		7	SHALL				THE EXISTING D	DRAINAGE SYS	TEM.
		7.	U.N.O. I	LAID TO	A FALL	NO FLATTE	R THAN 1:40.		IER
	Γ	8.	FOR EX	TERNAL	LEVEL	_ INFORMATI	ION REFER TO	ARCHITECTS [DRAWINGS
		KEV	,						
					PRO	POSED SU	IREACE WATE	R	
			\bigcirc						
			\sim		PRO				
L			ـر_تـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		EXIS	TING COM	BINED DRAIN	IAGE	
				_	PER	FORATED	DRAIN		
					PROF STOR	POSED PER	RMEABLE PAV EM. TYPE AN	/E ATTENUAT D SPECIFICA	TON TION
			비누마는		SUBJ DETA	ECT TO PL IL DESIGN	ANNING CON STAGE.	DITIONS AND	REVIEW A
		000 000 000			PROF SUBJ	POSED SUF	RFACE WATER	R RETENTION	N SWALES
					DETA	IL DESIGN	STAGE		
· F									
			FO	RI	NFC	DRMAT	ION PU	JRPOS	ES
			onl	_Y,	NO	t fof	R CONS	STRUCT	ION
. <i>k</i>		P1 Rev	PRELIM Descrip	INARY FIF	RST ISS	UE		IA By	25/06/19 T Date Ch
									11
					Т	НС) M A	ASC	
	۱				'		2nd Floor, St. I Liverpo	Nicholas House, ol L2 8TX Tel	Old Churchyar : 0151 294 390
					07				
			≺ΥL	.UK	5	IKEE	I		
	H		VEF	< Υ Υ		-			
		DF	RAI	NAC	GΕ	MAN	AGEM	ENT	
	$\setminus \setminus $	SI	IRA		GY	- PH	ASE 1		
		Status	6		~ "	_ 4 #/	'		
-1		PF	REL	IMI.	NA	RY			
	H	Drawi	ng No.			01		Revision	
		LV Scale	040 	Size	ו-טי ו	Drawn	Date	ピ1 Chkd	Passed
•	\	1:2	250	A	1	IA	JUN 19	IA	TM

	INCLUDING APPROVED BUILDERS WORK DRAWINGS. CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY ENGINEER OF DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DRAWINGS.										
2.	ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.										
3.	DO NOT SCALE FROM THE DRAWINGS OR THE COMPUTER DIGITAL DATA, ONLY FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE USED.										
4.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN, FABRICATION, ERECTION AND REMOVAL OF ALL TEMPORARY WORKS AND SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY BRACING AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN STRUCTURAL STABILITY DURING CONSTRUCTION.										
5.	IF THE CONTRACTOR CONSIDERS THAT HE DOES NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO SAFELY COMPLETE THE WORKS DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING, HE SHOULD CONTACT THE ENGINEER.										
65.	ERISHAGESHATER: INFLORTAGE FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM										
1.	ALL PRIVATE DRAINAGE WITHIN THE SITE IS TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF BS EN 752 AND BUILDING REGULATIONS PART H.										
2.	DETAILS OF EXISTING SEWERS SHALL BE CONFIRMED BY THE CONTRACTOR ON SITE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. ANY DISCREPANCIES ARE TO BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD CHECK THE LEVELS OF ALL NEW OUT FALLS IN RELATION TO EXISTING SEWERS PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION TO ENSURE THE PROPOSED DESIGN CAN BE ACHIEVED.										
3.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASCERTAIN THE DEPTHS, SIZES AND LOCATIONS OF ALL SERVICES TO BE CROSSED BEFORE EXCAVATION COMMENCES. ANY CONFLICT IN LEVELS BETWEEN NEW AND EXISTING SEWERS TO BE NOTIFIED TO THE ENGINEER.										
4.	ALL PIPES, CONNECTIONS, GULLIES, ACCESS FITTINGS, INSPECTION CHAMBERS, AND MANHOLES ARE TO COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING REGULATIONS. WHERE DRAINAGE IS TO BE ADOPTED THE FOREGOING ARE ALSO TO COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF SEWERS FOR ADOPTION AND ANY ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY THE UNDERTAKER.										
5.	REFER TO ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR POSITIONS OF SOIL VENT PIPES, STUB STACKS AND RAINWATER PIPES.										
6.	UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORKS THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEAN ALL DRAINAGE BY JETTING, REMOVING ALL DEBRIS FROM SITE. NO DEBRIS SHALL BE PERMITTED TO ENTER THE EXISTING DRAINAGE SYSTEM.										
7.	ALL WPC, IG, BIG AND RWP CONNECTIONS TO BE 100mm DIAMETER U.N.O. LAID TO A FALL NO FLATTER THAN 1:40.										
8.	FOR EXTERNAL LEVEL INFORMATION REFER TO ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS										
ΚEΥ	/										
	PROPOSED SURFACE WATER										
	PROPOSED FOUL DRAINAGE										
	EXISTING COMBINED DRAINAGE										
FIFIE											
	STORAGE SYSTEM. TYPE AND SPECIFICATION SUBJECT TO PLANNING CONDITIONS AND REVIEW AT DETAIL DESIGN STAGE.										
00-C	PROPOSED SURFACE WATER RETENTION SWALES										
	DETAIL DESIGN STAGE										
Γ	FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES										
	ONLY, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION										
L											
P1	PRELIMINARY FIRST ISSUE IA 25/06/19 TM										
Rev	Description By Date Chkd										
	HOMASONS 2nd Floor, St. Nicholas House, Old Churchyard, Liverpool L2 8TX Tel : 0151 294 3908										
N	AYLOR STREET										
	VERPOOL										
L											
D	RAINAGE MANAGEMENT										
S-	TRATEGY - PHASE 1										
State											
PF	RELIMINARY										
Draw	ing No. Revision										
L\	/540-500-01 P1										







DOWNPIPE DRAINAGE INTO FILTRATION SYSTEM - SECTION

MAIN DRAINAGE INTO FILTRATION SYSTEM - SECTION

	C This Drawing and its contents are strictly the copyright of Thomasons
— Concrete	GENERAL NOTES 1. WHERE A METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION OR SEQUENCE IS NOTED ON A DRAWING, IT SHOULD BE ADHERED TO AND ANY VARIATION TO THIS MUST
- Precast	 BE AGREED IN ADVANCE WITH THE ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTORS IS TO DETERMINE THE REQUIREMENT FOR, AND, WHERE REQUIRED, TO DESIGN, INSTALL, MAINTAIN AND REMOVE ALL TEMPORARY WORKS.
concrete kerb	 LEVELS RELATE TO ASSUMED FINISHED FLOOR LEVELS AS MARKED. DETAILS OF EXISTING SEWERS SHALL BE CONFIRMED BY THE CONTRACTOR ON SITE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. ANY DISCREPANCIES ARE TO BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD CHECK THE LEVELS OF ALL NEW OUTFALLS IN RELATION TO EXISTING SEWERS PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION TO
	 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASCERTAIN THE DEPTHS, SIZES AND LOCATIONS OF ALL SERVICES TO BE CROSSED BEFORE EXCAVATION COMMENCES. ANY CONFLICT IN LEVELS BETWEEN NEW AND EXISTING SEWERS TO BE NOTIFIED TO THE ENGINEER.
	 ALL PIPES, CONNECTIONS, GULLIES, ACCESS FITTINGS, INSPECTION CHAMBERS, AND MANHOLES ARE TO COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING REGULATIONS. PIPE BEDDINGS ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS DESCRIMINATIONS TAKING ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS
	 RECOMMENDATIONS, TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE DEPTH & LOADING IN RELATION TO THE PIPE STRENGTH, AND MODIFIED IF NECESSARY WHERE BELOW WATER LEVEL. BEDDING PROPOSALS ARE TO BE ISSUED TO 'THOMASONS' FOR CONSIDERATION. 8. ALL BURIED CONCRETE IS TO HAVE SULPHATE RESISTANCE IN
1800 woven hilar approved	 ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SITE INVESTIGATION. PIPES CONNECTED TO CHAMBERS ARE TO HAVE SOFFITS LEVEL U.N.O. PIPES 150mm IN DIAMETER OR SMALLER MAY BE LOWERED TO CENTRE LINES LEVEL. REFER TO ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR POSITIONS OF SOIL VENT PIPES, STUB STACKS AND RAINWATER PIPES. RAINWATER CONNECTIONS NOT PASSING DIRECTLY INTO AN ACCESS CHAMBER ARE TO BE FITTED WITH AN ACCESS GULLY. ALL FOUL DRAINS TO BE 100mm DIAMETER. U.N.O. LAID NO FLATTER THAN 1:80 WHEN SERVING A MINIMUM OF 1NO. W.C. CONNECTIONS WITH FLOWS LESS THAN 1NO. W.C. TO BE LAID NO FLATTER THAN 1:40 U.N.O. ALL ROAD GULLY CONNECTIONS TO BE 150mm DIAMETER. LAID NO FLATTER THAN 1:80 U.N.O. ALL ROOF DRAINAGE CONNECTIONS TO BE 100mm DIAMETER. LAID NO FLATTER THAN 1:40 U.N.O. ALL SOAKAWAYS TO BE LOCATED 5.0m MIN CLEAR DISTANCE FROM FACE OF SOAKAWAY TO FOUNDATION. PIPES PASSING OVER FOOTINGS ARE TO HAVE LINTELS OVER AND BE APPROPRIATELY REBATED OR SLEEVED IF RUNNING AT A LEVEL BELOW THE TOP OF THE FOOTING
	FOR PRICING PURPOSES ONLY, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
	A FIRST ISSUE FOR TENDER IA 08/12/17 TM Rev Description By Date Chkd
	THOMASONS 2nd Floor, St. Nicholas House, Old Churchyard, Liverpool L2 8TX Tel : 0151 294 3908
	PILLARS CONSTRUCTION THE MOUNT, ASHBOURNE
	DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
	Status
	Revision LV483-CIV-501-01 A Scale Size Drawn Date Chkd Passed AS SHOWN A1 IA DEC 17 IA TM



Appendix E – Hydraulic Calculations





44 Elmwood Avenue, Belfast BT9 6AZ | 02890 664 941 | www.geoman.co.uk

Preliminary Permeable Paving Design

Land South of Oriel Street, Liverpool (Phase 1)

Location Address:	Land South of Oriel Street, Liverpool
Designed:	Geoman Ltd.
Date:	25/06/2019
Design Reference Number/Version:	18-5286-1
Type of Design:	Attenuation Permeable Paving Design
Tobermore Sales Executive Contact:	Ryan Haddick

Technical Support and Back Up

If you have any questions relating to this design, please contact the people listed below:

Contact:	Contact Number:	Email Address:
Jaques Wong (Geoman Ltd.)	+44(0)2890664941	geoman@geoman.co.uk
Ryan Haddick (Tobermore Ltd.)	+44(0)7974242945	R.Haddick@tobermore.co.uk



Contents

1.0 Proposed Section	.1
1.1 Attenuation – Hydropave Summary	.1
1.2 Tobermore Hydropave Product to be used on Scheme	.1
2.0 Site Levels	.2
3.0 Hydraulic Design	.2
3.1 Table 01: Hydraulic Design Parameters	.2
3.2 Table 02: Z1 Factored Storm Durations	.3
3.3 Table 03: Rainfalls for 1 in 100 Year Storm	.3
3.4 Site Analysis Parameters	.3
3.5 Critical Storm Duration Calculation	.4
4.0 Structural Design & Summary	.5
4.1 Petrol Interceptor requirements	.6
Appendix A – Aggregates	.8
Appendix B – Installation	.9
Appendix C – Geoman Services	10

1.0 Proposed Section

It is proposed to install Tobermore Hydropave as the surfacing to approximately **1400m**² of the development to create a permeable paving system on site. This includes the proposed access road at Land South of Oriel Street, Liverpool development.

We have assumed it is proposed to store water in the coarse graded aggregate (CGA) subbase and allow no infiltration into the subgrade below. For the access road, it is assumed they will need to accommodate loading as per Loading Category E (100 large Goods Vehicle per week only). Please advise if a more onerous loading is to be considered.

An impermeable membrane should be provided at sub-base formation level. Indicative proposed sections of the Hydropave attenuation system are shown on Geoman Ltd. drawing SK18-5286-1-01 for Category E.

For preliminary design purposes we have made the following assumptions:

- 1. The proposed formation level CBR will be at least 5.0%. This should be confirmed via in-situ testing prior to construction. If lower values are recorded this design will need to be reviewed.
- 2. The allowable discharge rate to the adjacent ditch is a minimum of 5.0l/s. If this rate is not permitted and must be lower, please advise us as this design will need to be reviewed.

1.1 Attenuation – Hydropave Summary

Category Type:	Category E (100 large Goods Vehicle per week only)
Paving Block:	Tobermore Hydropave (240x120x80mm deep)
Laying Course:	50mm thickness of 6.3-2mm grit to BS EN13242:2002
Dense Bitumen Macadam:	N/A
Coarse Graded Aggregate:	Category E- Minimum 450mm depth for storage.
Geotextiles:	Please contact us for further info
Impermeable Liner:	2000 gauge impermeable liner
Drawing Number:	SK18-5286-1-01

1.2 Tobermore Hydropave Product to be used on Scheme

Product Name:	Tobermore Hydropave 240
Size:	240x120x80mm deep
Color:	TBC
Finish:	Standard
Strength:	> 3.6MPa or 250 N/m
Manufactured to:	BS EN 1338:2003
Installed to:	BS EN 7533-3:2005
Laying pattern:	Herringbone



2.0 Site Levels

If there is a fall in the subbase formation level, there will be a considerable loss in effective storage within the CGA when compared to a flat site. We would therefore recommend that the subbase formation level is kept virtually flat, with only a slight fall (1:200). If the formation level can not be provided baffles must be used.

The paved Hydropave surface should have no slopes steeper than 1:20 to ensure that water can infiltrate through the joints.

It is assumed that any fall greater than 1:20 will run off in to an area with a max 1:20 fall and infiltrate in to the permeable subbase.

Site levels should be confirmed prior to construction.

3.0 Hydraulic Design

The thickness of a permeable pavement's crushed rock base has to be calculated on the basis of the need to store water and also on structural requirements. In this section, the thickness calculation based on the storage of water is set out.

The thickness of the sub-base necessary for water storage depends upon the factor r, which is the ratio of the 60-minute storm rainfall depth to the 2-day maximum rainfall depth. This factor varies across the UK and implies a 5-year storm return period. For greater return periods a correction factor, Z2, given in Table 4 should be applied to the five-year return period rainfall value and the sub-base calculated in accordance with Table 2.

3.1 Table 01: Hydraulic Design Parameters

Town/ City	M560 (mm)	r Value	SAAR (mm)	WRAP	Soil Index	Return Period	Climate Chang	
Liverpool	18.90	0.40	825.00	4.00	0.45	100 years	Factor	1.30

The method undertaken to vary the storm return period for any duration is as follows:

It is assumed that the depth of rainfall occurring during a 60-minute storm recurring every 5 years is 18.90mm in the area. The depth of rainfall occurring every five years over storm durations other than 60-minutes is obtained as follows:

The design rainfall depth for any given return period and storm duration can be found by multiplying 18.90mm by a factor Z1, which requires knowledge of 'r', the ratio of 60-minute to 2-day rainfalls for a 5-years return period.

The M_560 storm for Liverpool may be taken as 18.90mm, with an 'r' value of 0.40. The relevant Z1 factors may be taken and multiplied by the rainfall to give a quantity for each storm duration, shown in Table 2.



3.2 Table 02: Z1 Factored Storm Durations

		Storm Duration									
			Minutes		Hours						
	5	10	15	30	60	2	4	6	10	24	
Z1	0.38	0.53	0.64	0.81	1.00	1.20	1.42	1.57	1.74	2.16	
M5 – D rainfall	7.18	10.02	12.10	15.31	18.90	22.68	26.84	29.67	32.89	40.82	

Z2 factors are then applied to vary storm return period. This preliminary design considers a 1 in 100-year storm, plus 30% to account for climate change. The rainfall multiplied by the Z2 factor is return dependent.

3.3 Table 03: Rainfalls for 1 in 100 Year Storm

Return	Storm Duration										
Period: 100	Minutes						Hours				
	5	10	15	30	60	2	4	6	10	24	
Rainfall (mm)	12.86	19.14	23.51	30.50	38.20	45.80	53.55	58.53	64.03	76.89	
x CC Factor	16.71	24.88	30.56	39.65	49.66	59.54	69.61	76.09	83.23	99.95	

3.4 Site Analysis Parameters

Category E (100 large goods vehicle per week only)

- Total area of Tobermore Hydropave approximately **1400m²** (to include access road)
- Total Catchment Area approximately 3935m² (this includes the Hydropave area + roof drainages from the adjacent buildings).
- It was assumed that a minimum depth of 450mm of coarse graded aggregate for storage will be included below the Category E.

For preliminary design purposes we have made the following assumptions:

- 1. The proposed formation level CBR will be at least 5.0%. This should be confirmed via in-situ testing prior to construction. If lower values are recorded this design will need to be reviewed.
- 2. The allowable discharge rate to the adjacent ditch is a minimum of 5.0l/s. If this rate is not permitted and must be lower, please advise us as this design will need to be reviewed.

Please check these areas and which, if any, of the other surrounding areas are to be included. Please also check that the assumed allowable discharge rate is appropriate and CBR can be achieved.

|--|

3.5 Critical Storm Duration Calculation

The following table indicates the Factors of Safety for the proposed Hydropave system for storm durations up to 24 hours. The void ratio of the coarse graded aggregate was assumed to be is 0.32, with the minimum depth of the CGA for water storage to be 450mm under the Hydropave blocks. See the proposed sections on drawing SK18-5286-1-01 for Category E.

Category E (100 large goods vehicle per week only)

Storm Duration	Depth of rainfall (mm) 100 year storm	Volume entering Hydropave (m ³)	Outflow to Storm Sewer (m³)	Storage Required (m ³)	Storage Capacity (m³)	Factor of Safety					
5 minutes	16.71	65.76	1.50	64.26	201.60	3.14					
10 minutes	24.88	97.89	3.00	94.89	201.60	2.12					
15 minutes	30.56	120.26	4.50	115.76	201.60	1.74					
30 minutes	39.65	156.04	9.00	147.04	201.60	1.37					
1 hour	49.66	195.42	18.00	177.42	201.60	1.14					
2 hours	59.54	234.28	36.00	198.28	201.60	1.02					
4 hours	69.61	273.93	72.00	201.93	201.60	1.00					
6 hours	76.09	299.43	108.00	191.43	201.60	1.05					
10 hours	83.23	327.53	180.00	147.53	201.60	1.37					
24 hours	99.95	393.32	432.00	-38.68	201.60	N/A					
	The critical storm duration therefore 4 hours.										

4.0 Structural Design & Summary

It is proposed to install Tobermore Hydropave as the surfacing to approximately **1400m**² of the development to create a permeable paving system on site. This includes the proposed access road at Land South of Oriel Street, Liverpool development.

We have assumed it is proposed to store water in the coarse graded aggregate (CGA) subbase and allow no infiltration into the subgrade below. For the access road, it is assumed they will need to accommodate loading as per Loading Category E (100 large Goods Vehicle per week only). Please advise if a more onerous loading is to be considered.

An impermeable membrane should be provided at sub-base formation level. Indicative proposed sections of the Hydropave attenuation system are shown on Geoman Ltd. drawing SK18-5286-1-01 for Category E.

For preliminary design purposes we have made the following assumptions:

- 1. The proposed formation level CBR will be at least 5.0%. This should be confirmed via in-situ testing prior to construction. If lower values are recorded this design will need to be reviewed.
- 2. The allowable discharge rate to the adjacent ditch is a minimum of 5.0l/s. If this rate is not permitted and must be lower, please advise us as this design will need to be reviewed.

Please inform Geoman if this is incorrect as we will need to revise the preliminary design.

We normally highlight that all our proposals for permeable paving are marked 'Feasibility only – not for construction'. Clients should review the proposals and issue comments where appropriate. Proposals are based on information received from a salesperson or site team and may not have been checked by the parties to the construction contract. Geoman Ltd has not checked these proposals.

Instructions to proceed and issue drawings 'for construction' can only come from the Client, Scheme Architect or Scheme Engineer. This can only happen once they have checked the proposal and the assumptions and only if all their requirements have been taken into account will they instruct the contractor to proceed.

	Geoman Ltd.	Project:	Ref:	Date:	Rev:
	Preliminary Permeable Paving Design	Land South of Oriel Street, Liverpool	18-5286-1	25/06/2019	0
Ì					

4.1 Petrol Interceptor requirements

Permeable pavements are effective at removing pollution from runoff and as a consequence oil separators are not required. The following is an extract from the Interpave Guidelines which demonstrates this:

"Concrete block pavements are very effective at removing pollution from runoff, unlike attenuation tanks. The pollutants may either remain on the surface (particularly with zero gradients) or may be flushed into the underlying pavement layers where many of the pollutants are filtered and trapped or degrade over time.



Hydrocarbons may degrade but other contaminants, such as heavy metals, do not break down and remain within the pavement structure for a long period of time, making permeable pavements ideal for areas where vehicles are stored or maintained. Further information on pollution removal is provided in CIRIA Reports C 697, C 609 and C 582 (CIRIA 2007, 2004 and 2001). The research that has been undertaken demonstrates the effectiveness of permeable pavements in reducing pollution. They can for example remove between 60% and 95% of total suspended solids (i.e. silt) and 70% to 90% of hydrocarbons. When subjected to low level oil drips, such as in car parks, the pavements can continue to biodegrade the hydrocarbons indefinitely.

'Pollution Prevention Guideline' PPG 3 (Environment Agency, 2006) identifies the beneficial performance of permeable pavements in removing pollution from runoff. It states that: "Techniques thatcontrol pollution close to the source, such as permeable surfacesor infiltration trenches, can offer a suitable means of treatmentfor runoff from low risk areas such as roofs, car parks, and nonoperationalareas."

Oil separators are not required when permeable pavements are used. Indeed permeable pavements are more effective at removing a wider range of pollutants from runoff than oil separators (CIRIA,

2004). If additional treatment is required for higher risk areas it is normally more effective to use green SUDS methods such as swales or wetlands, as these also treat a wider range of pollutants."



4.2 Construction Considerations

Sub-base material (coarse graded aggregate) should be placed in layers not exceeding 150mm in thickness or twice the nominal maximum aggregate size. Unlike traditional pavement construction, the open-graded materials should not be fully compacted to eliminate any voids, as this will compromise the performance of the system.

It is likely that excessive compaction will result in the displacement of the open graded aggregate by the compaction equipment. The open-graded material should be compacted such that its maximum density is achieved for the particular aggregate type and grading without compromising the final void percentage offered by the material.

Each layer should be suitably compacted before the next layer is placed to prevent any potential settlement of the pavement after completion.

Due to the nature of both the sub-layers and the block paving, care should be taken during the construction process to prevent dirt or detritus contaminating the sub-base and compromising the permeability of the system. For example, the trafficking of the sub-base as a site access route should not be undertaken. Should other construction or maintenance work take place close to the pavement which may affect the infiltration of the pavement, suitable protective measures should be implemented.

Edge restraints should be sufficiently robust to resist the lateral displacement from imposed loadings placed upon the pavement. The edge restraint may take the form of associated fittings, walls or buildings or be formed from precast concrete, clay or natural stone kerb systems, either existing or newly constructed features. The restraint must provide a consistent vertical face to a level below the laying course material.



Appendix A – Aggregates

Please Refer to BS7533-13 2009 Guide for the design of permeable pavements constructed with concrete paving blocks and flags, natural stone slabs and setts and clay pavers.

Laying Course and jointing material

Laying course requires 6.3-2mm sized grit to BSEN 13242:2002. In particular, the material should be categorised as LA20 according to Table 9, SZ18 according to Table 10 and MDE15 according to Table 11 within this standard. The grit should be insoluble in dilute hydrochloric acid and should be naturally occurring material. In our experience, incorrect use of aggregates is one of the most common reasons for failure of a permeable paving design.

Course Graded Aggregate (CGA)

• Requires 32% of voids spacing for the storage of water. CGA should comply with the requirements of BSEN 13242:2002. The material should be designated Type 4/20 (4mm minimum and 20mm maximum particle size). In our experience, incorrect use of aggregates is one of the most common reasons for failure of a permeable paving design.

Dense Bitumen Macadam (DBM)

 Normally used for temporary Road Surface. Should be installed in accordance with BS 4987-1:2005, 0/32mm size dense base. DBM should be punched prior to commencing construction of the Tobermore Hydropave paving block; typical holes should be 75mm diameter on an orthogonal grid of 750mm. All debris shall be removed and the holes in the DBM shall be filled with CGA.

Capping

• Capping material is included in order to achieve a firm-working platform so that the overlying layers can be correctly installed. The permeable paving designs are normally designed for 5% CBR, If not then the appropriate increase in capping material should be used. All capping materials should meet the requirements of either 6F1 or 6F2 of Table 6.1 of Highways Agency's 'Specification for Highway Works-Series 600-Earthworks'

Please note: If you obtain the appropriate technical information for the aggregates which you plan to use on a permeable paving scheme please send them to us so we can give feedback on if they meet BS 7533-13:2009 and BSEN 13242:2002 requirements. Depending on the project size we would strongly advise customers that aggregates used in the construction of a permeable paving system should be tested to ensure conformity during the construction of the project.

Rev:

0

Appendix B – Installation

Paving should be installed to BS7533-3:2005.

- i. A permeable paving design relies heavily on using the correct aggregates. Prior to installation, we would ask you to test both the 4/20mm coarse graded aggregate and also the 6.3-2mm bedding and jointing grit as per the relevant British Standard specification (BS EN 13242:2002). In particular, the material should be categorized as LA20 according to Table 9, SZ18 according to Table 10 and MDE15 according to Table 11 within this standard. The grit should be insoluble in dilute hydrochloric acid and should be naturally occurring material. In our experience, incorrect use of aggregates is one of the most common reasons for failure of a permeable paving design.
- ii. All joints must be filled to the top with 6.3-2mm grit. Joints which are not fully filled can lead to possible movement of the blocks after use. We recommend that after a few weeks use that any joints, which have settled and are not full, are topped up with grit. Joints should be kept filled at all times. You need approximately 1 ton of grit for every 100m2 of 80mm paving.
- iii. Care should be taken that the permeable joints do not become contaminated as work on the scheme is completed. Special care needs to be taken when soft landscaping is carried out so that soil does not enter the joints.



All joints must be filled to the top with 6.3-2mm grit.



Appendix C – Geoman Services

Geoman Ltd offers design services in relation to permeable paving products in general accordance with the Interpave design guide:

Where certain information is not provided assumptions will be made in order to produce an answer. The project team should check any assumptions in a site investigation or using tests at the construction stage.

Often the project team will update and make changes to our proposal based on their detailed knowledge of the scheme and its requirements.

Our deliverable documents include a design signed off by a chartered engineer recording all the information provided. The project team should check that all the information used is current. The Project Consulting Engineer still has a duty to check the design provided.

This service excludes supervision of the works. Responsibility for supervision of the works remains with the Resident Engineer, usually a representative of the Project Consulting Engineer. If this supervisory service is required, Geoman should be given a brief and asked to formally quote for it by the project team. Invoices will be made direct to the project team or client for this supervisory service.

Occasionally some projects have the specialized aspects of the works billed as contractor design or a form of warranty may be required. Geoman Ltd can offer an indemnified design as above and in addition will make visits as we see fit in order to check that the works are being undertaken correctly. Often this service will consist of one or two visits, occasionally we are requested to supervise full time. Good liaison is required with the Principal Contractor and if defects are not correct we reserve the right to report any concerns direct to the project team.

The Principal Contractor still has a duty to install the system correctly and make any changes advised.

Any site supervisory staff still has a duty to record and notify Geoman of any activities that give rise to concern in our absence. If this supervisory service is required, Geoman should be given a brief and asked to formally quote for it by the project team. Invoices will be made direct to the project team, Principal Contractor or client for this supervisory service.





6mm



GEOMAN

44 Elmwood Avenue, Belfast BT9 6AZ | 02890 664 941 | www.geoman.co.uk

DESIGN WARRANTY

This agreement is made the (DATE) between (Element Designer) Geoman Ltd whose address is 44 Elmwood Avenue, Belfast BT9 6AZ and (Client)... whose address is on behalf of (Principal Designer)..... whose address is

Copyright in Our Design

4

This design has been produced for the site stated in the design document only. All advise is specific to this development. The completed design, including all calculations, drawings, specifications and any advice produced on behalf of Geoman is subject to the law of copyright. Geoman will retain exclusive retain copyright and other intellectual property rights. This design and advise is not to be used for any other project with prior written consent from Geoman. For these purposes "Design" means the design attached to these conditions. This includes any design included in the specification and/ or any other design or technical advice provided by Geoman in connection with the Project, including any revisions, amendments and updates made by Geoman to these design and/or design advice. "Development" means the client's specified project location (where "client" include any contractor, architect, specifier or agent who is identified as the client) in connection with which the design is supplied.

Now it is hereby agreed in consideration of the payment of excluding VAT by to Geoman Ltd, receipt of which Geoman Ltd hereby acknowledges:

- Geoman Ltd (The Element Designer) warrants that it has exercised reasonable care and diligence in the performance of its services to (The Client) under the appointment.
 In the event of any breach of this agreement:
 - a. Subject to sub-clauses (b) and (c), The element designer shall be liable for the reasonable costs of repair, renewal and/or reinstatement of any part or parts of the development to the extent that the purchaser reasonably incurs such costs and the purchaser becomes liable or by way of financial contribution for such costs. The element designer shall not be liable for other losses incurred by the purchaser.
 - Without prejudice to any other exclusion or limitation of liability, damages, loss, expense or costs the element designer's liability for such costs of the repair, renewal or reinstatement in question shall be further limited to that proportion thereof as it would be just and equitable to require the element designer to pay having regard to the extent of the element designer's responsibility for the same and on the assumptions that:
 - i. all other element designers, consultants and advisors, contractors and subcontractors involved in the development have provided contractual undertakings on terms no less onerous than those set out in clause 1 to the purchaser in respect of the carrying out of their obligations in connection with the development and;
 - ii. that there are no exclusions of or limitations of liability nor joint insurance or co-insurance provisions between the purchaser and any other party referred to in this clause 2 and any such party who is responsible to any extent for such costs is contractually liable to the purchaser for the same and
 - iii. all the parties referred to in this clause 2 have paid to the purchaser such proportion of such costs that it would be just and equitable for them to pay having regard to their responsibilities for the same.
 - c. The element designer shall be entitled in any actions or proceedings by the purchaser to rely in any limitation or exclusion in the appointment and to raise the equivalent rights in defence of liability as it would have against the client under appointment.
 - d. The obligations of the element designer under or pursuant to this agreement shall not be released or diminished by the appointment of any person by the purchaser to carry out any independent enquiry into any relevant matter.
- 3. The element designer has exercised reasonable skill and care to see that, unless authorised by the client in writing, or where such authorisation is given orally, confirmed by the element Designer to the client in writing, materials specified by it for use in the development are in accordance with the guidelines contained in the edition of the publication 'Good Practice in Selection of Construction Materials' (Ove Arup & Partners) current at the date of its specification.
 - The purchaser shall have no authority to issue any direct or instruction to the element designer in relation to the appointment.
- 5. The element designer shall not be liable for any use by the purchaser, the client, the principal designer or its appointee of any of the documents for any purpose other than that for which the same were prepared by or on behalf of the element designer.
- 6. This design and advise provided to the principal engineer by the element designer is specific to the development stated in this design document. The client acknowledge that to complete this design, the element designer have relied upon the information, supplied by the client and any professional advisors working on this development. Geoman (the element designer) can take no responsibility for any failure or defect arising for incomplete, inaccurate or misleading information we have received for you or arising from any other third party engaged in this development. This design and advise have been provided on the basis that the element designer approved products will be used in construction. If any other products other than the element designer can no accept responsibility for the preformance of those products. This design and/or advise will not be valid for the use of any other products other than the element designer's approved products.
- 7. The element designer shall maintain professional indemnity insurance in an amount each year of not less than (...) pounds in the aggregate for the period of insurance in respect of each and every occurrence or series of occurrences arising out of one event for a period of five years from the date of practical completion of the design under this agreement and provided that such insurance is available at commercially reasonable rates. The element designer shall inform the purchaser if such insurance ceases to be available at reasonable commercial rates in order that the element designer and purchaser can discuss the best means of protecting their respective positions. The element designer shall on reasonable request provide evidence that such insurance is being maintained.
- 8. The purchaser may assign by way of absolute legal assignment only the benefit of this agreement to a third party who also takes an assignment of the purchaser's interest in the premises (The 'first assignee'). The First Assignee may assign by way of absolute legal assignment only the benefit of this agreement to a third party who also takes an assignment of the First Assignee's interest in the premises. Any such assignment shall only be effective if written notice thereof is given to the element designer. No further or other assignment of this agreement shall be permitted.
- 9. Any notice to be given by the element designer shall be deemed to be duly given if it is delivered by hand or sent by recorded (signed for) or special delivery to the purchaser at the above mentioned address; and any notice given by the purchaser shall be deemed to be duly given if it is delivered by hand or sent by recorded (signed for) or special delivery to the element designer at the above address. Any such notices shall be deemed to have been received 48 hours after being posted (subject to proof to the contrary).
- 10. No action or proceedings for any breach of this agreement shall be commenced against the element designer after the expiry of five years from the date of practical completion of the relevant part of the premises or, in the event that practical completion is not achieved, the date that the element Designer finished its services under the appointment.
- Nothing in this agreement confers or purports to confer on any third party any benefit or any right to enforce any term of this agreement pursuant to the Contracts (rights of third parties) Act 1999.
- 12. This agreement is subject to the law of England and Wales and the parties hereto subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of England and Wales.

As witness the hands of the parties hereto:

Signed by or on behalf of the Element Designer

For and on behalf of Geoman Ltd

Signed by or on behalf of the Client

For and on behalf of ... Ltd



Appendix F - Correspondence

Ijaz Akram

From:	Jackson, Dave <dave.jackson@liverpool.gov.uk< th=""></dave.jackson@liverpool.gov.uk<>	
Sent:	03 April 2018 14:00	
То:	ljaz Akram	
Subject:	RE: LV540 Naylor Street Development	

Ijaz

Site A and Site B are currently being used as car parks on compacted ground and are not drained by a positive surface water drainage system connected to a public sewer or watercourse so this area cannot be considered as brownfield for the purposes of the drainage assessment. However the information provided demonstrates that some of the car park discharges over the footpaths and is drained by the gullies located in the streets adjacent to the sites therefore 50% of the car park area can be considered to be drained for the purposes of the hydraulic calculations to determine the maximum surface water discharge limits.

The maximum surface water discharges should be as follows -

Site A - 12.5 I/s Site B - 13.2 I/s

I hope this is of assistance

Thanks

Dave Jackson I Engineer

Liverpool City Council I Cunard Building I Water Street I Liverpool I L13 1AH

T: 0151 233 0927 | E: <u>dave.jackson@liverpool.gov.uk</u>

Postal address:

Liverpool City Council I Cunard Building I Water Street I Liverpool I L3 1AH





From: Ijaz Akram [mailto:iakram@thomasons.co.uk] Sent: 14 March 2018 10:34 To: Jackson, Dave <Dave.Jackson@liverpool.gov.uk> Subject: LV540 Naylor Street Development

Hi Dave,

We are currently pulling together a drainage strategy for a development off Naylor Street. I have attached some pre planning drawings which show the proposals for the externals and existing drainage layout.

Although the development is under one application we are looking to split the development into two areas, Site A and Site B.

Could you please give your thoughts on discharge limits and what you would accept going forward in way of SUDS, positive drainage etc. A separate pre development enquiry is also being submitted for connections to the public sewer.

As the sites currently have sections of hardstanding with surface water run off directly onto the public highway we would consider both sites to be brownfield. As confirmation please see the topo survey with levels shown with flow arrows for hardstanding areas. With this in mind would you accept a 30% betterment for each site based on existing brownfield rates.

The development blocks have an underground car park so attenuation wise in the externals we are pretty limited for space.

Look forward to your response.

Regards

Ijaz Akram BEng Civil Engineer



2nd Floor, St Nicholas House, Old Churchyard, Chapel Street, Liverpool L2 8TX Tel: 0151 294 3908 | Mob: 07765 501 466

www.thomasons.co.uk

Offices in: Birmingham | Glasgow | Guildford | Leeds | Liverpool | London | Manchester | Southend-on-Sea



Thomason Partnership Limited trading as Thomasons | Registered office: 17 Brewery Yard, Deva City Office Park, Trinity Way, Manchester M3 7BB

Registered in England and Wales No. 2490195 | VAT No. 560 3108 77

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication and its attachments is strictly prohibited. Internet communications are not always secure and although this message has been swept for computer viruses it is not guaranteed to be virus free. Thomasons accepts no responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan the email and any attachments for viruses. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

Take care when opening email from unknown senders. This email has been automatically scanned for viruses and malicious content by Symantec Cloud Security. No email filtering system is 100% effective however and this is no guarantee of safety or validity. Always exercise caution when opening email, clicking on links, and opening attachments.

DISCLAIMER:

The information in this e-mail is confidential and may be read, copied or used only by the intended recipient(s). If you have received it in error please contact the sender immediately by replying to the e-mail or by telephoning a number contained in the body of the e-mail and please then delete the e-mail without disclosing its contents elsewhere. No responsibility is accepted for loss or damage arising from viruses or changes made to this message after it was sent. The views contained in this email are those of the author and not necessarily those of the authors employer.

This email has been automatically scanned for viruses and malicious content by Symantec Cloud Security for your protection, but this is no guarantee of safety

Ijaz Akram

From:	McDermott, Daniel <daniel.mcdermott@uuplc.co.uk></daniel.mcdermott@uuplc.co.uk>	
Sent:	27 March 2018 15:35	
То:	Ijaz Akram	
Cc:	Wastewater Developer Services	
Subject:	t: RE: PDE 4200020197: LV540 Naylor Street	

In reply, we have carried out an assessment of your application which is based on the information provided; this wastewater pre development advice will be valid for 12 months.

Foul

The foul water flows emanating from both the Norther parcel and Southern parcel will be allowed to freely drain in to the nearest available public foul water sewerage system located within the public highway and or crossing the site.

Surface Water

Northern Parcel - The surface water flows generated from the site must drain at a pass forward rate of 39 l/s and will be allowed to communicate with the public combined water sewer located within Orial Street.

Southern Parcel - The surface water flows generated from the site must drain at a pass forward rate of 42 I/s and will be allowed to communicate with the public combined water sewer located within Orial Street

Connection Applications

Although we may discuss and agree discharge points & rates in principle, please be aware that you will have to apply for a formal sewer connection. This is so that we can assess the method of construction, Health & Safety requirements and to ultimately inspect the connection when it is made. Details of the application process and the form itself can be obtained from our website by following the link below

http://www.unitedutilities.com/connecting-public-sewer.aspx

Please be aware that on site drainage must be designed in accordance with Building Regulations, National Planning Policy, and local flood authority guidelines, we would recommend that you speak and make suitable agreements with the relevant statutory bodies.

Please also note, if you intend to put forward your wastewater assets for adoption by United Utilities, the proposed detail design will be subject to a technical appraisal by an Adoption Engineer as we need to be sure that the proposals meets the requirements of Sewers for adoption and United Utilities Asset Standards. The proposed design should give consideration to long term operability and give United Utilities a cost effective proposal for the life of the assets. Therefore, further to this enquiry should you wish to progress a Section 104 agreement, we strongly recommend that no construction commences until the detailed drainage design, submitted as part of the Section 104 agreement, has been assessed and accepted in writing by United Utilities. Any works carried out prior to the technical assessment being approved is done entirely at the developers own risk and could be subject to change.

If I can be of any further assistance please don't hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Daniel McDermott Developer Services Engineer Developer Services and Planning Operational Services United Utilities T: 07795046445 Unitedutilities.com

From: Ijaz Akram [mailto:iakram@thomasons.co.uk] Sent: 14 March 2018 15:04 To: Wastewater Developer Services <<u>WastewaterDeveloperServices@uuplc.co.uk</u>> Subject: LV540 Naylor Street

Hi

Please find attached the pre development enquiry for Naylor street with attached supporting documents.

Should you require anything further please contact me.

Regards

Ijaz Akram BEng Civil Engineer



2nd Floor, St Nicholas House, Old Churchyard, Chapel Street, Liverpool L2 8TX Tel: 0151 294 3908 | Mob: 07765 501 466

www.thomasons.co.uk

Offices in: Birmingham | Glasgow | Guildford | Leeds | Liverpool | London | Manchester | Southend-on-Sea



Thomason Partnership Limited trading as Thomasons | Registered office: 17 Brewery Yard, Deva City Office Park, Trinity Way, Manchester M3 7BB

Registered in England and Wales No. 2490195 | VAT No. 560 3108 77

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication and its attachments is strictly prohibited. Internet communications are not always secure and although this message has been swept for computer viruses it is not guaranteed to be virus free. Thomasons accepts no responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan the email and any attachments for viruses. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

EMGateway3.uuplc.co.uk made the following annotations

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain legally privileged or confidential information or otherwise be exempt from disclosure. If you have received this Message in error or there are any problems, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message from your computer. You must not use, disclose, copy or alter this message for any unauthorised purpose. Neither United Utilities Group PLC nor any of its subsidiaries will be liable for any direct, special, indirect or consequential damages as a result of any virus being passed on, or arising from the alteration of the contents of this message by a third party.

United Utilities Group PLC, Haweswater House, Lingley Mere Business Park, Lingley Green Avenue, Great Sankey, Warrington, WA5 3LP Registered in England and Wales. Registered No 6559020

www.unitedutilities.com www.unitedutilities.com/subsidiaries