Application for approval of details reserved by condition. Town and Country Planning Act 1990 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

1. Applicant N	Jame, Address and Contact Details				
Title: Mr	First name: Elliot	Surname: Lav	vless		
Company name	Baltic Development Ltd				
Street address:	92 London Road		Country Code	National Number	Extension Number
	Seymour Chambers	Telephone number:			
		Mobile number:			
Town/City County:	Liverpool	Fax number:			
Country:	United Kingdom	Email address:			
Postcode:	L3 5NW				
	e, Address and Contact Details	s No			
Title: Mr	First Name: Falconer	Surname: Che	ester Hall		
Company name:	Falconer Chester Hall Ltd				
Street address:	12 Temple Street		Country Code	National Number	Extension Number
		Telephone number:		0151 2435800	
		Mobile number:			
Town/City	Liverpool	Fax number:			
County:	Merseyside (Met County)	Tux Humbon.			
Country:	United Kingdom	Email address:			
Postcode:	L2 5RH	info@fcharchitects.com	n		

As Size Address Details Plays and address of the site (including full postcode where available) Description: Surflix Surplix										
House many control of the proportion of location or a grid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed if postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed in postrode in the postrode is not known) agrid reference (must be completed in postrode in the postrode in the postrode is not known). If yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: If yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: If yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application once efficiently): Officer name: If yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application on one efficiently): Determine the pre-application advice received: Sale and Absorged in the sale and the complete in the back of paymenter interests plus interests pre-approach to the back of paymenter interests plus interests pre-approach to the back of paymenter interests plus interests pre-app										
Street address: Simpson Street Town/City: Liverpool County: Liverpool Despit four of tools and a gitl of reference (must be completed if postcode is not known): Easing: 34715 A. Pre-application Advice Her assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? A. Pre-application Advice Her assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? A. Pre-application Advice Her assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? A. Pre-application Advice Her assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? A. Pre-application advice the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: If the Most I first name (libabeth) Details of the pre-application advice received: Sacrame (libabet	Full postal address	of the	site (includino	g full posto	code where	e available)	٦	Description:		
Street address: Simpson Street	House:				Suffix:					
Every pool	House name:									
Description of location or a grid reference (must be completed if postcade is not known): Lesting \$34715 \$39937	Street address:	Simp	oson Street							
Postcription of focation or a gotf reference (most be completed if postcode is not known): Resting 334715 Northing: 399375 4. Pre-application Advice Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: If It Is a mare: Litzabeth Surname: Litza	Town/City:	Liver	pool							
Description of location or a grid reference (must be completed if postcode is not known): Easting: 344715 A. Pre-application Advice H. Wes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title: Mass First name: The size is bounded by fairly small streets; particularly Walthinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Parning framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be Parning framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be Parning framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings with he are an facting elevations. Even at 15 metres the Framework is completed in the sidential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings with he are a where a taller building would be logical. The framework scot out that sand facing buildings with the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework scot out that he regulate side and facing buildings and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Parning framework is considered to be a useful public buildings with sand overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Parning framework is considered to be a useful public buildings with the area where a taller building would be logical. Th	County:	Liver	pool							
A. Pre-application Advice Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? (A yes	Postcode:	L1 0/	ĄJ							
4. Pre-application Advice Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? (Fe) Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title Miss First name: Elizabeth Surname: Blake Reference: 44F/1333 Date (DD/MM/YYY): 25/87/2014 (Must be pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: 252eta and Massing The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Watkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7.8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storreys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing levelations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storreys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing levelations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surnounding buildings which are an who of 1.2 and 3.4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughfares or poers paces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building does not address any major thoroughfares or poers paces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building does not address any major thoroughfares or poers paces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building does										
4. Pre-application Advice Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Ves	Easting:		334715							
Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title: Miss: First name: Elizabeth Surname: Blake Reference: 44/1333	Northing:		389375							
Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title: Miss: First name: Elizabeth Surname: Blake Reference: 44/1333	4. Due annière		N al. al. a							
If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title: Miss				aht from t	the local ai	ıthority aho	out this application	nn?		No. Ves. No.
Officer name: Title: Miss						-				
Title: Miss First name: Elizabeth Surname: Blake Reference: [4F/1333] Date (DD/MM/YYYY): [25/07/2014] (Must be pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing and Massing the state of the pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing and the state of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing appropriate on streets of 12 merits. The two upper floors step back from the back of pawement Increasing this interest pay approximately 1.5m, although at this height lens era no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the framework recommends no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 merits. The two upper floors step back from the back of pawement Increasing this interes by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys in height while a building of storeys would be appropriated to 10 context is the surrounding buildings which are an into 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughbares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller buildings would be logical. The framework is considered to be a useful guide to buildings which are an while this guidation of natural lights of buildings, but the terms of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the framework. Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of modern design such the textured brickwork is supported and considered to be a positive response to the context.	if Yes, please comp	nete tr	ne following in	rormation	about the	advice you	i were given (this	wiii neip the autho	ority	y to deal with this application more efficiently):
Reference: [14F/1333] Date (DD/MM/YYYY): [25/07/2014] (Must be pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Watkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings which are a mix of 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major theroughfares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework sets out that there is a need to ensure new development is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of natural light to buildings (both in terms of the application site and facing buildings) and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Planning Framework is considered to be a useful guide to building heights and while this guidance is applied with a degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the Framework. Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern , referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of modern design su									_	
Date (DD/MM/YYYY): 25/07/2014 (Must be pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Watkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in helght while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys. The other key factor in lemss of context is the surrounding buildings which are a mix of 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughfares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework is a need to ensure new development is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of natural to buildings (both in terms of the application site and facing buildings) and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Planning Framework is considered to be a useful quide to building heights and while this guidance is applied his degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the Framework Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of modern design such the textured brickwork is supported and considere				Elizabeth				Surname:	В	Blake
Details of the pre-application advice received: Scale and Massing The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Watkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys: The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings which are a mix of 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughfares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework sets out that there is a need to ensure new development is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of natural light to buildings (both in terms of the application site and facing buildings) and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The framework is considered to be a useful guide to buildingh signals and while this guidance is applied with a degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the Framework. Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of modern design such the textured brickwork is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing					ا					
Scale and Massing The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Watkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing devations. Even at 15 metres the Framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings which are a mix of 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughfares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework sets out that there is a need to ensure new development is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of natural light to buildings (both in terms of the application site and facing buildings) and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Planning Framework is considered to be a useful guide to building heights and while this guidance is applied with a degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the Framework. Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of modern design such the textured brickwork is supported and considered to be a positive response to the context. The zigzag elevations at the upper level are	Date (DD/MM/YYY)	Y):	25/07/2014		(Must be	e pre-applic	ation submissior	1)		
The site is bounded by fairly small streets, particularly Walkinson Street which is just 7 metres wide. The widest street is Norfolk Street at 12 metres. The Baltic Triangle Planning Framework indicates that streets of 7-8 metres should have buildings of no more than 4 residential storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be appropriate on streets of 12 metres. The two upper floors step back from the back of pavement increasing this interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height there are no facing elevations. Even at 15 metres the framework recommends no more than 6 residential storeys. The other key factor in terms of context is the surrounding buildings which are an ix of 1-2 and 3-4 storey buildings. The building does not address any major thoroughfares or open spaces and is not located at a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework selected powent is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of buildings (building) to building be placed to a central or significant node within the area where a taller building would be logical. The framework selected powent is balanced to allow for suitable sense of enclosure and retention of buildings (building to building the place) and facing buildings and public spaces. A building of this height would dominate and overshadow nearby streets and buildings. The Planning Framework is considered to be a useful guide to building heights and while this guidance is applied with a degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the proposal suggest this is appropriate, this scheme more than doubles the recommended scale for the site and presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from the Framework. Appearance of the elevations Setting aside the objections to the scale and massing, the design approach to the appearance of the building is supported. The use of brick and the regular window pattern, referencing warehouse typology while bringing elements of moder	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pplica	tion advice red	ceived:						
	Planning Framewo appropriate on strethere are no facing The other key facto thoroughfares or o is a need to ensure and facing building The Planning Fram proposal suggest the Framework. Appearance of the Setting aside the o pattern, referencir the context. The zi Design Principles' fon from these prina A key characteristic in roofline are referenced at the extent falling into the the sidential Amenit The units meet the The inclusion of a reference the building and the large kitchen which cocupation rather and there does appstates that the city Conclusion While some aspect	rk indi eets of elevat or in te pen sp new c gs) anc eework his is a elevat bjectic g ward gzag e or the ciples i c of the eenced his mo n the v llly at th sse is p y minim oof-ga is sho on resid than st bear to will se s of th	cates that stre 12 metres. The stress of context of con	nets of 7-8 in two uppersons to state sure the sure of	metres sho per floors so the Frame rounding la at a central it to allow f ng of this he eful guide more than sing, the do foringing eli- evel are an e as those nding of the nes from la is statement ions. It is co l. i., there are ents. will provide most of the osting a gis commende sizes of accurresidentia	ould have bottep back from the process of a signification of the process of a signification of the process of t	ouildings of no moom the back of part mends no more which are a mix of ant node within the sense of enclosure and the part of the appearance of the there is the sense of enclosure and the process of enclosure and the process of enclosure and the encommended of the appearance of the encommended of	ore than 4 residential avement increasing than 6 residential: 1-2 and 3-4 storey he area where a talte and retention of overshadow nearble this guidance is a scale for the site a dramance of the building the textured brit roof lines of nearble the development industrial sheds we can often be present degree of articular all end user on the senity space, although, the recent appropact studio. Furthers of unit is provided is would also bring telopment is such the	ial si g thi stor buil ller I natu y stra appl ind I iickw y ind iickw y ind ta v ot a v ot a ta v ot a ta v ot a ta a pol iickw y ind ta v ot a ta a pol iickw y ind ta a ta a ta a ta a ta a ta a ta a ta	storeys in height while a building of 5 storeys would be his interface by approximately 1.5m, although at this height reys. Idings. The building does not address any major building would be logical. The framework sets out that there tural light to buildings (both in terms of the application site treets and buildings. blied with a degree of flexibility where the specific merits of the presents no specific merits to justify this large deviation from is supported. The use of brick and the regular window work is supported and considered to be a positive response to industrial sheds. While it is disappointing to see that the 'Key very different context and character, the site analysis following if the architectural response to the site. In various roof shapes and it is positive that these irregularities do with long unbroken rooflines and this scheme is to some in could be given to the roofline, although it is recognised that and the allocation of a significant degree of ground floor it is noted that very little communal space is provided within on Wapping included facilities such as function rooms and a ore, as the scheme is presented for general residential the units are likely to appeal only to the young single people e scheme closer in line with the Planning Framework which we can not offer it planning support at this stage. A building

. Description of Proposal								
Please provide a description of the approved development as shown on the decision letter:								
To erect part 5, 7 and 9 storey building comprising 12 Class B1 serviced office spaces (617.5sqm/7346 sqft) with a reception area ar studios over the upper storeys, together with a laundry, bin store, residents foyer, sub-station and plant room and internal cycle stores.								
Application reference number: 14F/1333	Date of decision:	02/04/2015						
Please state the condition number(s) to which this application relates:								
Condition number(s):								
10.0, 18.0, 22.0								
Has the development already started? Yes No If Yes, please state when the development was started:	30/	/08/2015						
Has the development been completed? Yes No								
6. Discharge of Condition(s)								
Please provide a full description and/or list of the materials/details that are being submitted for approval:								
Conditions: 10.0 - See document "8722-1350-02" (Acoustic Report) 18.0 - See document "Allpark_Ltd_Double_Stacker_Spec_Sheet" and drawing "P14-015-04-03-001" 22.0 - See document "8722-1350-02" (Acoustic Report)								
7. Part Discharge of Condition(s)								
Are you seeking to discharge only part of a condition? Yes No								
3. Site Visit								
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land? Yes No								
If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact? (Please select only on	e)							
The agent The applicant Other person								
If Other has been selected, please provide:								
Contact name:								
Title: Surname: Surname:								
Telephone number:								
Country code: National number: Extension number:								
Email Address:								
9. Declaration								
I/we hereby apply for planning permission/consent as described in this form and the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any								
opinions given are the genuine opinions of the person(s) giving them.	Date 07/	10/2015						