

7. Transport

Appendix 7.1

TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT



The People's Project - Goodison Park Legacy Project

Transport Assessment

December 2020

Mott MacDonald
Ground floor
Royal Liver Building
Pier Head
Liverpool L3 1JH
United Kingdom

T +44 (0)151 482 9910
mottmac.com

The People's Project - Goodison Park Legacy Project

Transport Assessment

December 2020

Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	July 2019	CR	KB/RB	DD	Draft issue to client
B	6 January 2020	CR	KB	DD	Draft issue for CBRE Review
C	29 January 2020	CR	KB	DD	Final
D	07 February 2020	CR	KB	DD	Final
E	30 th February 2020	KB	DC	DD	DRAFT amended scheme
F	30 th November 2020	KB	DC	DD	Draft
G	10 th December 2020	KB	DC	DD	Draft
H	14 th December 2020	KB	DC	DD	Final

Information class: Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Proposed development	1
1.3	December 2020 Planning Update	1
1.4	Scope of assessment	3
1.5	Public Consultation	4
1.6	Report structure	5
2	Policy context	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Unitary Development Plan (UDP) – Statutory Development Plan	6
2.3	Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (2008)	8
2.4	Design for Access for All SPD (2011)	8
2.5	National Planning Policy Framework (2019)	9
2.6	Liverpool Local Plan (May 2018 Submission Version)	10

2.7	Liverpool City Centre Strategic Investment Framework (2012)	12
2.8	Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (2011)	13
2.9	Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Transport Plan (2019)	13
2.10	Liverpool City Region Local Journeys Strategy (2018)	13
2.11	Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (2020)	14
2.12	Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy (2018)	14
2.13	Merseyside Active Travel Strategy (2011)	15
2.14	Summary	15
3	Baseline transport conditions	16
3.1	Introduction	16
3.2	Site observations	16
3.3	Site location	16
3.4	Highway access	21
3.5	Public transport	22
3.6	Active travel	26
3.7	Access to amenities	29
3.8	Road traffic collisions (RTCs)	31
3.9	Future development	33
3.10	Summary	34
4	Goodison Park baseline: matchday and non-matchday operation	35
4.1	Introduction	35
4.2	Everton facilities in the wider area	35
4.3	Stadium facilities	35
4.4	Non-match day uses	37
4.5	Matchday uses	38
4.6	Match day traffic management	39
5	Highway network traffic data	44
5.2	Traffic profile on key routes: Walton Lane and County Road	46
5.3	Local network junction analysis	53
5.4	Traffic Generation of Goodison Park	54
6	Proposed development	57
6.1	Introduction	57
6.2	Development description	57
6.3	Site access	60
6.4	Highway improvements	63
6.5	Car parking	66
6.6	Bicycle Parking	72
6.7	Football Match Residents Parking Zone	74
6.8	Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment	76

6.9	Construction	76
6.10	Planning contributions and future transport schemes	78
7	Trip generation and distribution	80
7.1	Introduction	80
7.2	Trip generation	80
7.3	Trip distribution	82
7.4	Traffic data used in the assessments	82
8	Traffic modelling	83
8.1	Introduction	83
8.2	Future year assessments	83
8.3	Signal junction assessments	84
8.4	Priority junction assessments	89
9	Summary & Conclusions	93
9.1	Introduction	93
9.2	Scheme alignment with policy	94
9.3	Conclusions	95
	Appendices	96
A.	Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessments (MASAs)	97
B.	TRICS Output Data	98
C.	Flow Distribution Diagrams	99
D.	Trip Generation Flow Diagrams	100
E.	Assessment Scenarios Flow Diagrams	101
F.	Walton Lane/Priory Road Full LinSig Outputs	102
G.	Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Full LinSig Outputs	103
H.	Walton Lane - Bullens Road Junctions 9 Output	104
I.	Walton Lane - Gwladys Street Junctions 9 Output	105

J.	Scoping Correspondence	106
K.	Proposed Highway Adoption Plan	107
L.	Technical Note: Car Parking & Trip Generation	108

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Everton Stadium Development Limited (hereafter 'Everton') has appointed Mott MacDonald to prepare this Transport Assessment (TA) to support an outline planning application for the proposed redevelopment of Goodison Park following Everton's relocation to Bramley-Moore Dock as part of 'The People's Project'. The outline planning application is submitted with all matters reserved.
- 1.1.2 The People's Project encompasses the development of Everton's new stadium at Bramley-Moore Dock and the Goodison Park Legacy Project - the redevelopment of Goodison Park stadium to form a mixed-use development which includes a range of community-led facilities as well as residential dwellings and commercial space.
- 1.1.3 Goodison Park is located in L4, Walton: approximately 4km north of Liverpool city centre. The site is well connected to the local road network and is well placed to take advantage of established public transport, pedestrian and cycle connectivity. A comprehensive baseline review of the existing site conditions has been undertaken in Section 3.
- 1.1.4 The main aims of this TA are:
- Assess baseline conditions on the local transport network.
 - Assess and analyse travel demand generated by the proposed development.
 - Demonstrate safe and effective multi-modal accessibility to the proposed development site.
 - Undertake junction modelling at key junctions adjacent to the site to assess development impact.
 - Identify, evaluate and propose mitigation measures for any transport related impacts that may arise from the proposed development.
- 1.1.5 In addition to this TA, a Framework Travel Plan (ref. 15/B) has been produced too. The Travel Plan sets out a range of robust measures which will be implemented as part of the proposed development to encourage sustainable travel.

1.2 Proposed development

- 1.2.1 The proposals for the site's redevelopment comprise a range of uses including a mix of residential dwelling types alongside a variety of community-oriented facilities.
- 1.2.2 Part of the footprint of the existing football pitch is to be retained as a park which the surrounding development will overlook. Additionally, the Church of St. Luke the Evangelist located adjacent to the site (north-west corner) is also retained with enhancements to the public realm and open space made to the surrounding area.
- 1.2.3 Further details of the proposed development are provided in Section 6 including the proposed site layout and the quantum of development to be delivered. The red line boundary plan for the planning application is shown as Figure 1.

1.3 December 2020 Planning Update

- 1.3.1 The Goodison Park Legacy Project planning application was submitted in April 2020 (application reference 20O/0997). The planning response to the application from Liverpool City Council

(LCC) Highways dated 11th May 2020 provided no objection subject to a number of suggested planning conditions.

- 1.3.2 Since the planning submission a number of changes have been made to the masterplan of the proposed development in response to feedback from LCC's Urban Design Officer, LCC's Conservation Officer and a design review by 'Places Matter'. Whilst a number of development parcels have moved position the overall quantum of development has not changed materially, nor the uses proposed or the overall level of car parking to be provided. The main changes in transport terms from the original planning submission are:
- the creation of two additional east – west connections between Goodison Road and Bullens Road (where previously there was only one);
 - a change in the balance between car parking provided 'on street' and 'off street'.
- 1.3.3 This revised version of the Transport Assessment takes into account these changes as well as stakeholder comments received since submission. Full details of the proposed scheme design development since the April 2020 submission are described in ES Chapter 5: Alternatives and Design Evolution, ES Volume II.
- 1.3.4 Amendments to this technical appendix have been made in relation to legislation/policy revisions, as there have been some associated updates to legislation/policy that are related to this assessment, and in relation to the post submission design changes discussed. There have been no changes to the baseline data since the initial April 2020 submission. This report has been updated as follows:
- Section 2: To take account of new Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Policy and Liverpool City Council active travel policies;
 - Section 6: To provide an updated overview of the proposed development as well as consultation responses;
 - Section 7: To provide analysis of trip generation and distribution of the revised layout;
 - Section 9: To provide updated conclusions based on the revised layout.

Figure 1: Planning application site boundary



Source: Planit

1.4 Scope of assessment

1.4.1 The scope of this TA has been discussed with Liverpool City Council (LCC) as the local planning and highway authority, prior to submission. The agreed elements to be included in the TA are as follows:

- A review of the baseline transport conditions in the area.

- A review of the proposed development, including site layout and access.
- Accident data analysis in the immediate vicinity of the site.
- A review of current traffic flows.
- Assessment of potential traffic generation of the development.
- Junction modelling and analysis for the following junctions:
 - Walton Lane/Spellow Lane/Langham Street.
 - Walton Lane/Priory Road.

1.4.2 Details of the scoping correspondence between Liverpool City Council and Mott MacDonald is included as Appendix J. Furthermore, this Transport Assessment takes account of meetings held with Liverpool City Council since planning submission in early 2020 to discuss the changes to the scheme layout, and formal planning consultation responses from Liverpool City Council and Merseytravel. A summary of this is provided in Appendix L.

1.5 Public Consultation

1.5.1 The proposed development has been subject to two public consultation stages:

Stage One Public Consultation

1.5.2 Stage One public consultation was undertaken in November and December 2018. This achieved over 20,000 responses. The consultation focussed upon the basic principles of the People's Project including the principle of the Club moving to Bramley-Moore Dock (BMD) and the principle of redeveloping the existing stadium site at Goodison Park.

1.5.3 Members of the public were able to participate by attending a roadshow or review material online. For the Goodison Park proposals 95% of respondents said they agreed that the Club's existing Goodison Park home should be redeveloped to create a legacy the community can benefit from, including residential, educational, community and health amenities

Stage Two Public Consultation

1.5.4 Stage Two public consultation took place in July and August 2019. Members of the public were able to participate by attending a roadshow at multiple locations within the City Region. The public was also able to participate online via a consultation website. For residents and businesses located around Goodison Park and Bramley-Moore Dock, consultation materials were mailed as well as a survey that could be completed and returned by post. More than 43,000 people responded to the second stage public consultation. The following summarises the main findings of the consultation in relation to the GPLP:

- 91% support of the proposed mix of uses;
- 92% support for the overall design and layout of the proposals;

1.5.5 It should be noted that in terms of suggestions or negative feedback on the development, transport was not a key theme.

1.5.6 Further details can be found in the Statement of Community Engagement, submitted as part of this planning application.

Figure 2: Illustrative image of how the GPLP masterplan could look (April 2020 design) – used in the Stage 2 Public Consultation Material



Source: Everton / Condy Lofthouse Architects

Note Figure 2 details the illustrative design at the time of the Stage 2 public consultation and this has since progressed to the proposed design set out in ES Chapter 3: Site Description & Development Proposals, ES Volume II.

1.6 Report structure

1.6.1 Based on the above requirements the TA is structured as follows:

- Section 2: Policy context.
- Section 3: Site location and baseline conditions.
- Section 4: Goodison Park baseline: Match day and non-match day operation.
- Section 5: Highway network traffic data
- Section 5: Proposed development.
- Section 6: Trip generation and distribution.
- Section 7: Traffic modelling.
- Section 8: Conclusions and recommendations.

2 Policy context

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 This section reviews current national, regional and local policy and guidance and examines how the proposed development accords with the policies therein.
- 2.1.2 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Section 70(2) of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 require planning applications to be determined in accordance with the statutory development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory development plan for the City of Liverpool currently comprises the Unitary Development Plan (adopted 2002).
- 2.1.3 The statutory development plan transport policies relevant to the application proposal are summarised below. The following policies and guidance are material considerations which also inform the Transport Assessment:
- Liverpool Local Plan (Submission Draft, May 2018);
 - National Planning Policy Framework (February 2019);
 - Planning Practice Guidance (March 2014); and
 - Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs).
- 2.1.4 In addition to planning policy guidance, there are overarching transport strategies relevant in the development of the application proposal, as follows:
- Merseyside Local Transport Plan (2011);
 - Liverpool City Region Transport Plan for Growth (2015);
 - Liverpool City Region Local Journeys Strategy (2018);
 - Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy (2018); and
 - Merseyside Active Travel Strategy (2011).
- 2.1.5 A summary of the relevant policies and guidance is provided below.

2.2 Unitary Development Plan (UDP) – Statutory Development Plan

- 2.2.1 The UDP was adopted in November 2002 and is a statutory document which provides the planning framework for the city. In 2007 the City Council discounted four of its policies, and the Joint Merseyside and Halton Waste Local Plan (adopted in 2013) replaced a further six. Under the new planning system, the remaining UDP policies form part of a 'saved plan', now acting as a Local Plan Document within the Local Plan Framework.
- 2.2.2 The aims of the Plan, with respect to transport issues, are covered under General Policy 6 (GEN6). GEN6 aims to provide a balanced provision of transport infrastructure which is inclusive, safe and accessible which meets the following:
- Provides access to employment, leisure, retail and other facilities for all of the City's residents;
 - Meets the transport needs of people who are economically and socially disadvantaged;
 - Allows for the safe, efficient and easy movement of goods into and throughout the City, in order to help secure the regeneration of the local economy;

- Protects & enhances the environment through reducing the reliance on the private car;
- Promotes, in conjunction with the Passenger Transport Authority, investment in the public transport network and associated facilities;
- Improves facilities for cyclists and pedestrians;
- Provides a framework for investment in the efficiency of the road system; and
- Reduces the availability of car parking facilities which would attract car borne commuters.

- 2.2.3 **Policy T4 (Taxis)** states that developments which are likely to be used by the public will be required to incorporate provision for taxi and Hackney Carriage facilities where there are no existing facilities in close proximity to the site, or where the scale and nature of development will generate a demand for taxi and Hackney Carriage facilities.
- 2.2.4 **Policy T6 (Cycling)** seeks to promote initiatives designed to maximise the role of cycling as a transport mode by: improving the condition of designated cycle routes in the City; catering for cyclists' needs in the design of all new highway improvement schemes, traffic management schemes, road safety schemes, the road maintenance programme, and giving consideration to the provision of safe cycling routes through all major development and redevelopment sites; improving road signage; introducing traffic calming measures, where appropriate; and requiring new developments to provide secure cycle parking facilities.
- 2.2.5 **Policy T7 (Walking and Pedestrians)** supports measures to encourage walking and make the pedestrian environment safer by improving signing, lighting, surfaces and visibility. All major development and redevelopment sites should cater for pedestrians' needs in the design of all new highway improvement schemes, traffic management schemes, the road maintenance programme, and giving consideration to the provision of safe and convenient walking routes.
- 2.2.6 **Policy T8 (Traffic Management)** paragraph 11.94 identifies football as an area of concern in this respect, relating to traffic and particularly car parking on match days. **Policy C7 (Football Clubs)** deals specifically with these issues, identifying traffic management measures which might alleviate the problems.
- 2.2.7 **Policy T9 (Road Safety)** reducing the number of road accident casualties and fatalities and minimising the risk of these accidents on the roads.
- 2.2.8 **Policy T11 (Major Road Corridors)** Riverside Corridor North: (including the A5036 Waterloo Road/Regent Road, A565 Great Howard Street/Derby Road and A5038 Vauxhall Road) has been identified for improvement measures. Along these corridors, resources will be targeted for the design and implementation of measures designed to improve the image of the City; improve conditions; facilitate the efficient operation of public transport services; and ensure the most efficient and effective use of the Major Road Corridors, in order to relieve sensitive locations of heavy traffic.
- 2.2.9 **Policy T12 (Car Parking Provision in New Developments)** states that all new developments including changes of use, which generate a demand for car parking will be required to make provision for car parking on site, to meet the minimum operational needs of the development. Additional space for non-operational car parking will be permitted up to a maximum standard. The need will be determined by: the nature and type of use; whether off-site car parking would result in a danger to highway and pedestrian safety; whether the locality in which the proposed development is located is served by public car parking facilities; whether off-site parking would result in demonstrable harm to residential amenity; the relative accessibility of the development site by public transport services; and the feasibility of levying commuted sums from developers in lieu of car parking provision for developments within the City Centre controlled parking zone.

- 2.2.10 **Policy T13 (Car Parking for the Disabled)** car parking for the disabled should be provided in accordance with the following specific standards: a minimum of 6% of the first hundred parking spaces in a development should be reserved for Orange Badge holders; thereafter, the number of spaces will be negotiable. Parking bays should be wide enough to facilitate the easy transfer of a wheelchair to and from a car; disabled parking bays should be clearly marked as such and be located close to the point of access to and from the development served; and within multi-storey car parks, disabled parking bays must be adjacent to lifts.
- 2.2.11 **Policy T15 (Traffic Impact Assessment)** where planning permission is sought for new development which is likely to result in a material change in the character or volume of traffic on the surrounding highway network, the applicant will be required to submit a full Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA). Proposals which exceed any of the parameters as set out in this policy, will generally require a TIA as part of the planning application. Where extra traffic generated by a proposed development requires road or public transport improvements in the vicinity of the scheme (or beyond), to the extent that works are necessary to enable the proposed development to proceed, conditions may be imposed on any planning permission making its implementation subject to the completion of the works. Where transport improvements will be needed to enable the proposal to go ahead, these should be provided first.

2.3 Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (2008)

- 2.3.1 This SPD was developed through a collaboration of the Merseyside local authorities and Merseytravel and was adopted in December 2008. The document provides guidance on the access and transport requirements for new development. The document identifies maximum car parking standards and minimum cycle parking standards applicable to development in Liverpool.
- 2.3.2 Transport Assessments will need to address sustainable access, the impact on the existing network and mitigating residual impacts.
- 2.3.3 The SPD recognises that good design can contribute to sustainable modes of travel and enhance the environmental quality of a scheme. The SPD requires new developments to be supported by a MASA (Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment).

2.4 Design for Access for All SPD (2011)

- 2.4.1 Liverpool City Council's Design for Access for All Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) was designed to "highlight the most important principles in designing inclusive buildings, which meet the needs of all users including disabled people" (p.3).
- 2.4.2 Within Chapter 3, the SPD sets out design guidance for providing an inclusive approach for access to new developments. A particular focus is given to providing accessible pathways noting key requirements such as a minimum width of 2 metres for pavements to accommodate wheelchairs, as well as the provision of dropped kerbs complemented with tactile paving at all crossing points.
- 2.4.3 The provision of disabled parking is also identified as a key consideration whereby spaces should be reserved for disabled drivers.
- 2.4.4 This TA acknowledges the importance of providing inclusive access to the proposed development and strives to meet minimum provisions outlined in this, and other, inclusive mobility planning documents.

2.5 National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

- 2.5.1 The most recent iteration of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in February 2019. The framework sets out the government's policies on planning for England and how it expects these to be applied. The NPPF also provides a framework for local authorities and people to work within whilst still reflecting the needs of the local community.
- 2.5.2 The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development and there is therefore a presumption in favour of sustainable development, in economic, social and environmental terms, within the NPPF. It is recognised, however, that proposals must still be considered against the latest Local Plan and be approved where they fall in line with it or refused if they conflict (unless other material considerations indicate otherwise).
- 2.5.3 Chapter 9 paragraphs 108-111 of the NPPF discuss the importance of promoting sustainable transport within new developments by setting out key issues, planning policy requirements and necessary development proposal assessments.
- 2.5.4 In assessing sites that may be allocated for development in plans, or specific applications for development it should be ensured that:
- Appropriate opportunities to promote sustainable transport modes have been taken up, given the type of development and its location.
 - Safe and suitable access can be achieved for all users.
 - Any significant impacts from the development on the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion), or on the highway safety, can be cost effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree.
- 2.5.5 The NPPF also states that “development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety or the residual cumulative impact on the road network would be severe” (para. 109).
- 2.5.6 Within this context, the NPPF also states that applications for development should:
- “Give priority first to pedestrian and cycle movements, both within the scheme and with neighbouring areas; and second -so far as possible – to facilitating access to high quality public transport, with layouts that maximise the catchment area for bus or other public transport services, and appropriate facilities that encourage public transport use.
 - Address the needs of people with disabilities and reducing mobility in relation to all modes of transport.
 - Create places that are safe, secure and attractive – which minimise the scope of conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles, avoid unnecessary street clutter, and respond to local character and design standards.
 - Allow for the efficient delivery of goods, and access by service and emergency vehicles.
 - Be designed to enable charging of plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles in safe, accessible and convenient locations” (para. 110).
- 2.5.7 Finally, the NPPF states that “All developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed” (para. 111).

2.6 Liverpool Local Plan (May 2018 Submission Version)

- 2.6.1 The Liverpool Local Plan, as consulted on in 2018 as a pre-submission draft, provides a “long-term spatial vision, strategic priorities and policies for future development in the city over the next 15-20 years” (para. 1.2).
- 2.6.2 The Plan recognises the high level of expected growth across the city, with £14bn worth of regeneration projects on site or in the pipeline. The consequences for the Local Plan are ultimately to manage this growth and where it should be allocated.
- 2.6.3 In relation to transport, the Local Plan outlines several policies as summarised below.
- 2.6.4 **Policy TP1 (Improving Accessibility and Managing Demand for Travel)** states that:
- Development proposals should make the best use of existing transport infrastructure. Where this cannot be achieved, development should be phased to coincide with new transport infrastructure provision
 - Developments which singly or in combination have a significant impact on the movement of people or goods, should, through the provision of Travel Plans, positively manage travel demand and contribute to the improvement of accessibility in general, particularly by more sustainable modes of transport including walking, cycling and public transport
 - Development proposals should not compromise existing transport infrastructure or schemes programmed in “A Transport Plan for Growth”, “The Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan”, “The Liverpool City Region Local Journeys Strategy” and actions that are planned. These include: Protecting routes where necessary and supporting improvement of facilities that support the use of public transport; increasing the network of, and protecting and enhancing, safe cycling and walking routes, based on programmes in the Local Transport Plan’s (LTP) Active Travel Strategy and the longer term plan to complete the comprehensive Liverpool City Region Cycle Network; and initiatives designed to provide car and cycling facilities at rail stations by protecting land where necessary;
 - All developments should address the accessibility of pedestrians and cyclists, as well as public transport users and other users of the transport and movement networks within the City and make a positive contribution to the connection between different transport modes, the reduction and mitigation of climate change and road safety issues.
 - The City Council will support and facilitate proposals involving the construction or upgrading of passenger bus, ferry and rail facilities and those which will improve the efficiency of the movement of freight transport to, through and across the City.
- 2.6.5 **Policy TP2 (Transport Assessments)** requires development proposals that generate significant amounts of movement to be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment. In order to ensure that free and safe movement is not compromised consideration will be given to the effect on safety, congestion and the environment when dealing with development proposals that involve:
- New or altered access to the transport network.
 - Improvement work to the transport network.
 - The creation of new transport infrastructure.
 - The generation of additional trips on the transport network
- 2.6.6 Development proposals will only be permitted where:
- Accesses, junctions and new road layouts would be safe and operate efficiently;

- The development would not individually or cumulatively with other projects, have a severe impact on the functioning of the network;
- The proposal would not be detrimental to the safety of all users of the transport network, and in particular pedestrians and cyclists.
- The proposal makes provision for walking, cycling and the use of public transport.
- The proposal would not generate regular movement of heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) on unsuitable roads, or on roads without easy access to Liverpool City Region's Freight Route Network.
- Vehicle and cycle parking, turning and servicing appropriate to the scale and nature of the development is provided.

2.6.7 **Policy TP5 (Cycling)** states that proposals for new development should:

- Demonstrate that they will have a positive impact on the cycling network and its users;
- Be designed to encourage cycling.
- Provide appropriate cycle access and sufficient, secure cycle parking facilities in accordance with the City Council's current standards.
- Demonstrate best practice in design for cyclists and ensure that the layout is fully accessible for cyclists and encourages and facilitates cycle usage.

2.6.8 **Policy TP6 (Walking and Pedestrians)** states that new development proposals should

- Be designed to actively encourage walking through a well-designed pedestrian environment within the development site;
- Provide appropriate pedestrian access in accordance with the City Council's current standards; and
- Demonstrate best practice in design for pedestrians and ensure that the layout is fully accessible for pedestrians, and encourages and facilitates walking.

2.6.9 **Policy TP7 (Taxis)** developments which are likely to be used by the public and where it would be practicable to do so, will be required to make provision for taxi and Hackney Carriage facilities where there are no existing facilities in close proximity to the site, or where the scale and nature of development will generate a demand for taxi and Hackney Carriage facilities.

2.6.10 **Policy TP8 (Car Parking and Servicing)** states that new developments which generate a demand for car parking or servicing will be required to make provision to meet such demand on site, appropriate to the scale and nature of the development, having regard to road safety considerations and the City Council's standards (including disabled parking), and should incorporate a reasonable percentage of spaces with charging points available at the time the site is first occupied together with provision for additional points over time.

2.6.11 Car Parking should be considered as an integral part of the overall design of the scheme. Development proposals should consider the following key principles in the design to address car parking issues:

- Ensure car parking is usable, safe and secure.
- Avoid car parking dominating the street-scene.
- Use discreet and innovative solutions for car parking.
- Ensure parked cars are unobtrusive.
- Set car parking behind the front of the dwellings where possible.
- Not impede cycling infrastructure

- 2.6.12 All development proposals should ensure that emergency and refuse vehicles are not impeded by car parking. Within commercial, industrial and non-residential developments adequate provision should be made for parking, servicing and loading without having an impact on the operational effectiveness of development and safe movement of people, vehicles and goods. Proposals should also provide a minimum of 5% of all parking spaces in the development with an electric charging point. Other spaces should also have the capacity to easily retrofit a recharge point for communal use.
- 2.6.13 **Policy TP9 (Public Transport)** states that public transport usage is an integral part of the design process and in particular should be considered within transport assessments and it should be clear how the issue of ensuring public transport usage as a realistic alternative to private car trips has been addressed wherever appropriate.
- Where a development proposal is of sufficient scale to include an internal highway circulation system for vehicles the design should ensure that it can accommodate bus access.
 - Where a development proposal would require the introduction of new public transport infrastructure, including the creation of a new bus service, or the extension of an existing service, then the development should provide suitable financial support for the construction or implementation of appropriate facilities including bringing all properties within 400m of the bus network wherever possible;
 - Transport assessments should consider how opportunities could be taken to provide good access to the bus network, including where appropriate provide and improve the infrastructure necessary to support such greater accessibility. Where this is not upon a new highway layout created by the development, then the development should introduce new infrastructure, or enhance existing infrastructure including where possible the quality of the services available, at appropriate locations on the current public transport network, if this is required to create good access arrangements
 - Transport assessments should consider how opportunities could be taken to provide good access to the rail network, including where appropriate the infrastructure necessary to support such access. Design and Access Statements should address how the proposed development relates to and improves access to rail services and networks in terms of:
 - Walking distance and walking routes to stations
 - Cycling distance and cycling routes to stations
 - Convenience of bus access to stations
 - Principal destinations served from the rail stations (covering those destinations with frequent trains and then other appropriate destinations where a change of train may be required)
 - Details of the service frequencies, hours of operation and principal destinations compared with the opening hours and demands of the development during the day, and
 - Whether the station offers attractive waiting facilities, adequate shelter, perceived social safety and facilities for ease of access for all.

2.7 Liverpool City Centre Strategic Investment Framework (2012)

- 2.7.1 The Liverpool City Centre Strategic Investment Framework (SIF) presents an ambitious strategy to guide investment across the City Centre over the 15 years following its adoption in 2012. The SIF has been designed to *“promote strategically identified economic priorities... to play to, and enhance, the city’s competitive strengths”* (p. 7).

- 2.7.2 The SIF recognises the City Centre's relationship with the recently created Mayoral Development Zones, particularly North Liverpool Zone in which Goodison Park lies.

2.8 Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (2011)

- 2.8.1 The Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP3) became active in April 2011 with a vision to provide "a city region committed to a low carbon future, which has a transport network and mobility culture that positively contributes to a thriving economy and the health and wellbeing of its citizens and where sustainable travel is the option of choice" (para. 8).
- 2.8.2 To achieve this vision, six goals have been set out which include the need to "provide and promote a clean, low emission transport system", "ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health, wellbeing and road safety" and "ensure equality of travel opportunity for all, through a transport system that allows people to connect easily with employment, education, healthcare, other essential services and leisure and recreational opportunities" (para. 10).
- 2.8.3 Both short and long-term aspirations to support the wider regeneration of North Liverpool emerged as priorities for the LCR, with Chapter 5 – 'The Strategy' of the LTP3 calling for; *"highway and/or UTC improvements to support commercial, leisure and residential schemes"* with specific recognition of supporting football stadium developments (p.106).

2.9 Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Transport Plan (2019)

- 2.9.1 The Combined Authority Transport Plan articulates the Liverpool City Region Combined Authority's (LCRCA's) vision for transport. It focuses on delivery over the short term. It links closely with the city region's Transforming Cities Funding programme, which is part of the Strategic Investment Fund.
- 2.9.2 The Transport Plan's core vision is to develop a transport system which:
- is comprehensive, affordable and reliable;
 - is integrated and easy to use;
 - supports the development of new and existing communities;
 - is green, and
 - is healthy

2.10 Liverpool City Region Local Journeys Strategy (2018)

- 2.10.1 The Local Journeys Strategy presents the Liverpool City Region Combined Authority's framework for guiding the development of services and infrastructure that support sustainable short trips across the City Region. The Strategy assists the City Region in delivering its aspirations for economic growth by supporting the use of low-carbon modes and improving access to jobs and services.
- 2.10.2 As part of the key objectives for achieving its long-term vision, the plan states that; "Planning and promoting sustainable transport options as part of an integrated approach to development and regeneration can help create the conditions for healthier, happier and more economically productive places where people have a genuine choice about how they get around" (para. 5.1).

2.11 Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (2020)

2.11.1 The LCRCA Local Journeys Strategy (2017 referenced in para 2.10) sets out the drivers and evidence base for increasing sustainable travel in the LCR. The Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) demonstrates an implementation plan to support this strategy. The purpose of the LCWIP is to demonstrate the LCR plan to build a network of cycling and walking routes with the aim of making it more feasible and desirable for people to walk or cycle journeys instead of using unsustainable modes. The LCWIP document details how the plan has been developed and sets out a prioritised programme for its delivery.

2.11.2 Its objectives are:


- Gather information to understand existing patterns of walking and cycling in the LCR and engage with Stakeholders to understand their requirements for the LCR walking and cycling network;
- Develop a walking and cycling network for the LCR that will increase the uptake in active travel by providing routes that are safer, accessible, comfortable, direct, coherent and adaptable.
- Ensure integration of the network with transport and land use planning policies and programmes of the LCRCA and the six Local Authorities;
- Prioritise routes for delivery; and
- Develop an implementation plan for delivery of the network.

2.11.3 The LCWIP sets priority walking and cycling routes for improvements in 3 phases all to be completed by 2029.

2.12 Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy (2018)

2.12.1 The Liverpool City Region (LCR) Long Term Rail Strategy sets out a “systematic and evidence-based approach to developing the rail network” (p.1) across the LCR. In short, the strategy presents “an ambitious vision of a network that meets future passenger needs and opens up economic opportunity” (p.5), developed via the process summarised in Figure 3.

Figure 3: The Long-Term Rail Strategy Process



Source: Liverpool City Region Combined Authority

- 2.12.2 For the City Region, a range of developments for the rail network are put forward with the aim to ensure the network meets the LCR's needs over the next 30 years and beyond. Within the committed projects package, the replacement of the current Merseyrail rolling stock, expected to commence delivery from 2020, would see both increased capacity and reduced journey time on the network.

2.13 Merseyside Active Travel Strategy (2011)

- 2.13.1 The Merseyside Active Travel Strategy (MATS) is included within Appendix 6 of the LTP3 and is concerned with walking and cycling, collectively known as active travel.
- 2.13.2 It seeks "to deliver health, economic, low carbon and social benefits through improving the walking and cycling environment, enabling interventions and targeted marketing to incite behavioural change."
- 2.13.3 Its aims are:
- (a) Improving the cycling and walking environment by creating a clear route network, infrastructure improvements and facilities that will encourage a greater number of walking and cycling trips;*
 - (b) To support adults and children to be able to choose cycling and walking by providing enabling interventions and information; and*
 - (c) Behaviour change marketing of active travel modes to raise awareness of, encourage and sustain walking and cycling so that they become the mode of choice for short distance trips.*
- 2.13.4 To achieve these aims a number of interventions have been proposed. Those which are of particular interest to the proposed redevelopment of Goodison Park are:
- (a) ensuring the road user hierarchy is used to create safe pedestrian and cycle friendly environments in residential areas and centres;*
 - (d) providing connections between cycle and pedestrian friendly areas to create routes for active travellers;*
 - (l) continuing to provide information in the most relevant and accessible format.*

2.14 Summary

- 2.14.1 This section has outlined the statutory policies as well as transport strategies and guidance relevant to the proposed development.
- 2.14.2 The proposed development, as set out in detail in the Planning Statement Addendum and Design and Access Statement Addendum, which are included in the suite of planning application documents, aligns with and complements many of the policies, guidance and strategies summarised within this Section. It is important to note that the proposals within this TA seek to ensure that residents, visitors and staff at the site will have a range of transport options available to them.
- 2.14.3 A review of how the proposed development complies with the appropriate policies is presented within our conclusions in Section 9.

3 Baseline transport conditions

3.1 Introduction

- 3.1.1 This section provides details of the existing transport conditions in the vicinity of the proposed development site, in particular this section highlights highway access and accessibility through sustainable modes including public transport, walking and cycling.

3.2 Site observations

- 3.2.1 A site visit was undertaken on Tuesday 2nd April 2019 to understand existing conditions on a non-matchday. The visit covered a morning and consisted of a walkover of the local roads around the site, the key highway routes in the area, as well as exploring the active travel links to Kirkdale Station.
- 3.2.2 Our site visit and traffic surveys (explored in more detail in Section 5) have informed the development of this TA, and the production of this baseline section which details the existing transport conditions around the proposed site.

Figure 4: Goodison Road



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 5: Gwladys Street



Source: Mott MacDonald

3.3 Site location

- 3.3.1 The proposed site is located in Walton, an inner suburb of Liverpool approximately 4km north of the City Centre. This area of Walton is predominantly residential, characterised by compact terraced housing on the surrounding streets. Goodison Road and Gwladys Street in Figure 4 and Figure 5 are typical of the residential streets that surround the stadium.
- 3.3.2 The Church of St Luke the Evangelist is located adjacent to the north west corner of the site and several pubs and shops are situated in the area, supporting current matchday activities and local residents. The Club's community facilities: the Blue Base, the People's Hub, and Everton Free School and Football College are all located to the west of the stadium. Within the local area, there are large open spaces with Stanley Park and Anfield Cemetery situated to the east and south of the site.

- 3.3.3 The site is well served by road with three primary routes situated close to the site; namely the A59 County Road to the west, A580 Walton Lane to the east and south and A5058 Queens Drive located further north. Figure 6 shows the strategic location of Goodison Park in relation to the highway and rail network in the region. Figure 7 illustrates the site location in more local context with Figure 8 highlighting the local road network.


KEY

- MOTORWAY
- KEY A ROAD
- RAILWAY LINE
- RAIL STATION

© Mott MacDonald
This document is issued for the purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.


Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 7: Local Context



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 8: Local Road Network



Source: Mott MacDonald

3.4 Highway access

Strategic routes

- 3.4.1 A580 Walton Lane runs to the southern and eastern boundary of the site and forms part of the Liverpool City Region's 'Key Route Network'. A580 Walton Lane runs northwards past the development site to the junction with the A5058 Queens Drive, which is the inner-city ring road for Liverpool. Queens Drive connects Bootle and the Port of Liverpool to the north of the city centre with Aigburth to the south of the city centre and includes a direct link to The M62. From the junction with the A5058 Queens Drive, Walton Lane becomes the A580 Walton Hall Avenue which continues eastwards to Greater Manchester (this section is more commonly known as the East Lancashire Road). In the vicinity of the site Walton Lane is a four-lane dual carriageway subject to a 30mph speed limit (pictured in Figure 9).
- 3.4.2 A59 County Road is located approximately 250m west of the site and runs from the city centre in the south to Switch Island in the north for access to the M57 and M58. County Road forms part of the Liverpool City Region's 'Key Route Network'. In the vicinity of the development site A59 County Road is a four-lane single carriageway road subject to a 30mph speed limit (Figure 10).

Figure 9: A580 Walton Lane



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 10: A59 County Road



Source: Mott MacDonald

Local Access

- 3.4.3 Highway access to the site is from Goodison Road, Bullens Road and Gwladys Street which form boundaries to the development site. All these streets are residential streets with footways along both sides of the carriageway. The streets are subject to a 30mph speed limit.
- 3.4.4 Vehicular access to the site is via Goodison Road to the stadium car park, to the south of the stadium building. A secondary access is also available on Bullens Road however this is typically only used on match days.
- 3.4.5 Spellow Lane, Barlows Lane and Westminster Road are also 30mph, which provide connectivity between the area's A-road network.

- 3.4.6 The local highway network is identified in Figure 8. There are a series of one-way streets that operate throughout the residential streets in the surrounding area, these are identified in Figure 8 showing the direction of movement. Most of the local residential roads close to Goodison Park which join County Road such as Andrew Street (Figure 11) and Nimrod Street (Figure 12) are subject to a 20mph speed limit and are used primarily for local access.

Figure 11: Andrew Street



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 12: Nimrod Street



Source: Mott MacDonald

Parking

- 3.4.7 The local road network in the immediate vicinity of the site is subject to parking restrictions in the form of a Football Match Residents Parking Zone (FMRPZ). This extent of this area described in more detail in Section 4.6.
- 3.4.8 The restrictions mean that parking on the streets surrounding Goodison Park and also nearby Anfield Stadium is limited to residents, businesses and their visitors only (displaying a permit). The restrictions are in place:
- Monday to Friday 5pm to 10pm; and
 - Saturday and Sunday 10am to 6pm;
 - Between 1st August and 31st May.

The reason the restrictions are in place is to protect the amenity of residents and businesses local to Goodison Park and Anfield Stadium so that when matches are played, or major events held that local streets do not become congested with traffic.

3.5 Public transport

Bus travel

- 3.5.1 Goodison Park is served by multiple bus routes that offer frequent services running from Liverpool City Centre to a range of local centres within the city region. Bus services provide access to a range of destinations including Kirkby, Bootle, Aigburth, Aintree, Maghull, Netherton, Wavertree, Croxteth and Skelmersdale, most of which link directly to Liverpool City Centre.

3.5.2 Table 1 shows the key bus routes that have been reviewed as part of this baseline analysis, outlining the routes and levels of frequency that serve Goodison Park. The routes are illustrated in Figure 13. All these services can be caught from A580 Walton Lane or the A59 County Road.

Table 1: Bus Services Serving Goodison Park

Route No.	Route	Frequency Per Hour			Operator
		Peak	Mon-Sat Daytime	Evening and Sun	
19	Liverpool – Gil Moss, Croxteth, Kirkby or Kirkby Admin	6	6	4	Stagecoach
20	Liverpool – Tower Hill	5	5	3	Stagecoach
21	Liverpool - Northwood	5	5	3	Stagecoach
58/58A	Liverpool – Netherton	2	2	1	Arriva
68/68A/68E	Bootle - Aigburth Vale or Old Swan	4	3	2	Arriva
159	Aintree University Hospital – Walton Park, Bootle	2	2	1	Merseytravel
310	Liverpool – Maghull or Skelmersdale	2	2	1	Arriva
345	Liverpool – Waddicar	2	2	1	Arriva
X3	Liverpool – Kirkby/Knowsley Industrial Park	1	1	0	Stagecoach

Source: Merseytravel


3.5.3 The key bus corridor is along the A59 County Road which has up to 20 services operating along the route at peak periods in each direction. There are also services operating along Walton Lane and Priory Road, albeit of less frequency, linking to areas to the east of Goodison Park. The levels of frequency are shown in Figure 14, as well as the bus stops that are situated in the area around the site.

Figure 13: Local Bus Routes



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 14: Local Bus Frequencies



Source: Mott MacDonald

Train travel


- 3.5.4 The closest rail station to Goodison Park is Kirkdale. This is situated on Merseyrail's Northern Line and supports services from Liverpool Central to both Ormskirk and Kirkby. The station is located approximately 1km (around 12 minutes' walk) to the west of the site.
- 3.5.5 Trains operate throughout the day from Kirkdale Monday to Saturday at a frequency of 8 trains per hour towards Liverpool. This equates to 15-minute frequency towards Kirkby and Ormskirk respectively. This level of service is reduced by half on both lines (30-minute frequency) in early morning and evenings, as well as on Sundays.
- 3.5.6 From Kirkdale, interchange with Liverpool Lime Street for regional and national services including to Manchester, Wigan, Preston, St. Helens and Warrington is available via Moorfields (change to the Wirral Line) or Liverpool Central (a short connecting walk). All services running to the city centre from Kirkdale call at Moorfields and Liverpool Central.
- 3.5.7 Sandhills station, situated one stop south of Kirkdale, offers interchange on the Merseyrail network to access services between Southport and Hunts Cross, including onward connectivity to Liverpool John Lennon Airport. Bank Hall is also located on this line, one stop north of Sandhills and is approximately 2km to the west of the site (around 25 minute walk).
- 3.5.8 Services run between Hunts Cross and Southport at a 15-minute frequency in each direction Monday to Saturday daytime and evening. On Sunday services run at 30-minute frequency in each direction.
- 3.5.9 Although both Kirkdale and Bank Hall stations may be outside of some people's comfortable walking distance, they are both located well within cycling distance. Furthermore, at Kirkdale station the 159 bus stops directly outside. This service also stops on the A59 County Road, close to the development site, so connecting travel by bus to the train station is available.

3.6 Active travel

- 3.6.1 The study area benefits from a well-connected network of walking routes. The site is located within a well-established residential area and the local standard of footways near the site reflect this. The roads in the immediate area consisting of Goodison Road, Bullens Road and Gwladys Street feature footways on both sides of the carriageway with dropped kerbs and tactile paving to provide suitable crossing points within the area.
- 3.6.2 There are also several signalised crossings in the wider area, particularly on key highway routes including the A59 County Road and A580 Walton Lane. Several of these crossings are signal controlled and support safe pedestrian and cyclist movements across the roads. This limits severance in the area caused by highways and encourages pedestrian movements between key destinations to/from the site including Kirkdale station, Stanley Park and local shops and services particularly along the A59 County Road.
- 3.6.3 The importance of high-quality pedestrian and cyclist crossing points ensures that communities remain connected in the area and reduce road traffic collisions, particularly involving vulnerable road users. The location of signalised pedestrian crossings where provided as standalone facilities or as part of signal junctions is shown in Figure 7.
- 3.6.4 Figure 15 shows the on-road and off-road cycle routes that are signed within the study area, as well as marked crossing points that support cycle movements to cross the highway network at certain locations.

- 3.6.5 There is an on-road cycle route signed from Stanley Park past the stadium via Bullens Road, Gwladys Street and Goodison Road, northwards to the A59/A5058 junction. This route provides a signed route linking from the city centre via Stanley Park northwards towards Bootle and Aintree. There are several other signed routes in the area that are signed on-road for cyclists including on Walton Breck Road to the south and to the west along Melrose Road and Westminster Road.

Figure 15: Cycle Routes




Source: Mott MacDonald

3.7 Access to amenities

- 3.7.1 Figure 16 shows the proximity to amenities from the site, including distances to retail and supermarkets, health facilities, schools and rail stations. Overall, Goodison Park is well served by local amenities with the A59 County Road serving as a local centre featuring many retail uses and related services. This local centre is situated within 300m of Goodison Park
- 3.7.2 There are large parcels of open space surrounding Goodison Park, including Stanley Park and Walton Hall Park, as well as smaller pockets of green space extending beyond 1km. Within 1km of the site there are a variety of schools, with the Everton Free School & Football College and Gwladys Street Primary School within 250m of the site.
- 3.7.3 There are several medical centres in the area, both Westminster and Kirkdale Medical Centres are situated approximately 750m away from the site. There is also a large medical centre situated 1000m north of the site at the A5058/A59 junction, which is Breeze Hill Neighbourhood Health Centre.
- 3.7.4 We consider that the site is well located for access to local amenities within a reasonable walking distance. We consider that on account of this many future residents will not resort to car travel for day to day shopping or leisure trips. Similarly, the uses proposed within this application, which could include a new healthcare centre, open space, education facility and other community uses, are to be located in a residential area, which means that existing residents in the local area will be able to travel to these new facilities by active modes or public transport.

Figure 16: Access to Local Amenities



Source: Mott MacDonald

3.8 Road traffic collisions (RTCs)

- 3.8.1 A review of RTC data provided by LCC for the immediate area surrounding the stadium has been undertaken. The area includes parts of Walton Lane, Spellow Lane, Goodison Park Road, Gwladys Street and Bullens Street. The data obtained covers a 5-year period of January 2014-December 2018. Figure 17 shows the distribution of RTCs across this 5-year period.
- 3.8.2 In total, 26 RTCs have been recorded of which 20 resulted in slight injuries and 6 resulted in serious injuries. No RTCs resulting in fatalities have been recorded within this period. A further breakdown of RTC severity by year is also provided below in Table 2.
- 3.8.3 Of the 26 collisions, 8 involved pedestrians, 3 involved cyclists and 6 involved motorcyclists. There are a few clusters of incidents which have been observed, the most notable being at the Walton Lane/Spellow Lane/Langham Street junction. This junction has experienced a total of 7 collisions including 1 serious collision involving a pedestrian. The junctions of Walton Lane/Priory Road and Goodison Road/City Road/Gwladys Street both show small clusters of RTCs with a total of four RTCs at both sites respectively.
- 3.8.4 We consider that given the volume of traffic that passes on Walton Lane (approximately 24,000 vehicles per day), this level of accident frequency is not unusual for an urban network. It should be noted that Liverpool City Council are committed to improving the Walton Lane junctions with the A59 and Priory Road. These improvements will be implemented by the end of 2019 / early 2020 and will include enhanced pedestrian crossing facilities at the junctions.
- 3.8.5 It should be noted that in scoping the Transport Assessment, LCC did not identify that there was an existing RTC problem within the study area nor that there were any known accidents. This review of RTCs concludes that there are not any significant road safety issues that exist on the local highway network surrounding the site. As such, the proposed development is not expected to negatively impact upon local road safety in the area.

Table 2: Collision Severity Overview

Year	Slight	Serious	Fatal	Total
2014	2	2	0	4
2015	7	0	0	7
2016	7	0	0	7
2017	3	3	0	6
2018	1	1	0	2
Total	20	6	0	26

Source: Liverpool City Council

KEY

- SLIGHT
- SERIOUS
- PEDESTRIAN
- CYCLIST

Map showing the area around the Football Ground (Everton FC) and Landing Stage. The map includes a key for accident types: Slight (yellow circle), Serious (orange circle), Pedestrian (P in a circle), and Cyclist (C in a circle). The map shows streets such as Kew St, Bodmin Road, Milman Road, Bardsay Road, Leta Street, Gwladys Street, Muriel St, Diana St, Bullens Road, and Alton Lane. The Football Ground (Everton FC) is a large central area. A Landing Stage is located at the bottom. A north arrow is in the top right corner. The map shows several accident hotspots, with a cluster of yellow circles (Slight) and orange circles (Serious) near the top left, and a cluster of yellow circles (Slight) and orange circles (Serious) near the bottom right. Pedestrian (P) and Cyclist (C) accident hotspots are also marked.

Source: Mott MacDonald

3.9 Future development

- 3.9.1 This section provides an overview of the committed developments in the area, taking into account any proposed transport interventions that have been included within the proposals. In scoping MM has discussed with LCC Highways the proposals in the vicinity of the development site which could have an impact on the transport network.

Walton Lane 18F/1316

- 3.9.2 Application reference 18F/1316 has been approved by Committee and is awaiting the completion of a Section 106 Agreement before the decision notice is issued. The scheme is a residential development located directly to the east of Goodison Park. The site is bounded by Walton Lane, Bullens Road and Diana Street, as shown in Figure 18.

Figure 18: Walton Lane Development – Proposed Site Plan



Source: AFL Architects

- 3.9.3 The proposals are for a multi-storey block comprising 106 flats together with associated landscaping and ancillary works and including a surface level car park with 42-spaces. Vehicular access is proposed via Bullens Road.
- 3.9.4 The application states that the development would not be considered to have a material impact on the functioning of the surrounding highway network. There is no assessment of traffic impact of the development in the Transport Statement which accompanied the planning application on account of the moderate scale of development and limited parking spaces provided.
- 3.9.5 The site is currently in use as a car park for match day use. Outside of match days the site is not currently in use.

Liverpool City Council highway improvements

3.9.6 LCC have commissioned two highway improvement schemes at the following junctions listed below:

- Walton Lane / Spellow Lane- Improved pedestrian facilities and new traffic signal equipment
- Walton Lane/Priory Road- Improved pedestrian facilities and new traffic signal equipment

3.9.7 The two Walton Lane schemes, which are currently being constructed at time of writing (December 2020) are focussed at enhancing pedestrian facilities at these locations. The new signal timings and stages for these schemes at Priory Road and Spellow Lane have been provided by LCC to inform Mott MacDonald's junction assessments (Section 8).

3.10 Summary

1.1.1 This section has reviewed the accessibility of Goodison Park by road, public transport, walking and cycling. It also provides an overview of RTCs occurring in the local area and future developments.

- Goodison Park is well located for access by road with good connections to the A580 Walton Lane and A59 County Road and wider strategic routes.
- The development site is located within a 15-minute walk (1km) of Kirkdale train station which provides high frequency services between Liverpool City Centre, Ormskirk and Kirkby. The site is located within 2km of Bank Hall train station which provides high frequency services between Southport and Hunts Cross.
- A59 County Road and A580 Walton Lane are both key bus corridors and are located close to the site. Between these routes bus service frequency is around 28 buses per hour through the day.
- The site is well located for active travel. As a well-established residential area pedestrian provision is of a good standard and there are a variety of on and off-street cycle routes in the area.
- Goodison Park is well located for access to local amenities with local shops, health facilities, schools and community facilities within walking distance. We consider that on account of this many future residents will not resort to car travel for day to day shopping or leisure trips. Similarly, the uses proposed within this application, which could include a new healthcare centre, open space, education facility and other community uses, are to be located in a residential area, which means that existing residents in the local area will be able to travel to these new facilities by active modes or public transport.
- A review of RTC's in the local area demonstrates that there is not a serious road safety issue in the vicinity of the development site.
- Future developments in the local area include a residential development adjacent to the site on Bullens Road and junction improvements currently being implemented at time of writing (December 2020) by Liverpool City Council on Walton Lane. These will be taken account of in the impact assessments included in Section 8.

4 Goodison Park baseline: matchday and non-matchday operation

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 This section provides an overview of the current operation and use of the stadium on match days and non-match days in transport terms. The review provides detailed context to the baseline transport conditions explored in the previous section and the review of traffic data which is included as Section 5. The section covers the following topics:

- Everton related community facilities in the local area.
- Stadium uses, staffing and car parking on match days and non-match days;
- Match day traffic management measures;

It should be noted that the study considers 'normal' matchday operation. At the time of writing the ongoing Covid 19 pandemic has meant that all fixtures towards the end of the 2019/2020 season and the start of the 2020/2021 season have taken place without spectators present. At the time of writing it is not known when the full capacity of supporters will be back at football matches.

4.2 Everton facilities in the wider area

4.2.1 Figure 19 illustrates the location of Goodison Park in the context of other community led facilities run by Everton in the local area including:

- Blue Base: Salop Street community facility;
- Everton Free School & Football College: Spellow Lane educational facility for young people aged between 14 and 16 years.
- Everton in the Community People's Hub: Spellow Lane community facility;
- Everton One: Walton Lane club shop.
- Everton in the Community (EitC) Offices: Goodison Road offices within existing terraced housing units.

4.2.2 It should be noted that these nearby facilities do not form part of this planning application. It is intended that these facilities – with the exception of the club shop - will remain in place and continue to be operational following the redevelopment of Goodison Park. Everton's presence within the Walton community will continue. The club shop is not owned by the club and is leased. Following relocation, the club does not anticipate renewing this lease.

4.3 Stadium facilities

4.3.1 The capacity of Goodison Park currently sits at around 39,500 supporters. Goodison Park has been the home of Everton Football Club since 1892 and is referred to as the first major football stadium built in England. The four stands cover much of the application site, with the exception of the southern portion of the site, which comprises hardstanding (surface car parking). The pitch occupies a north-south orientation and is surrounded by four stands:

- Goodison Road stand or Main Stand (west)
- Gwladys Street stand (north)
- Bullens Road stand (east); and Park End stand (south)

Figure 19: Existing Goodison Park Stadium Layout



Source: Mott MacDonald

4.4 Non-match day uses

Stadium

- 4.4.1 The stadium houses hospitality facilities in the Main Stand and Park End which operate throughout the week. These are currently used internally by the Club for training and EitC events and are also made available for hire by other parties for various purposes, typically banqueting, events (such as weddings), meetings and conferences.
- 4.4.2 Interactive stadium tours also take place on non-match days, at least five days a week. The stadium Box Office at the Park End is open through the week and on Saturdays. The club also operates offices and two receptions (one at the Park End and another on Goodison Road) through the working week.

Staff

- 4.4.3 The majority of the stadium's on-site non-match day employees comprise a mixture of maintenance, hospitality and security staff, alongside Everton and EitC operational staff. Table 3 provides an approximate typical breakdown of non-match day staff that work within the stadium. It should be noted that the staffing levels can increase with the hosting of a major event in the hospitality facilities.

Table 3: Non-Matchday Staff Breakdown

Staff Category	Number of Staff	Breakdown
Operational Staff	12	Office Based – 9 IT On-Site – 2 Reception – 1
Maintenance & Ground Staff	10	
Hospitality	10	
Security Staff	3	Patrol/Control Room – 3
Total	35	

Source: Everton Football Club

Car park

- 4.4.4 On non-matchdays, the stadium car park is accessible primarily via Goodison Road. The results of a manual survey undertaken by car park staff is provided in Table 4 which shows typical car park occupancy through the working weekday. The car park is sometimes also used by EitC staff and Free School staff.
- 4.4.5 It should be noted that all operations, maintenance and security staff will be relocated to Bramley-Moore Dock once the new stadium is open. The intention is for EitC to be relocated on-site as part of the proposed development in new offices which will include allocated off-street parking. Staff at the Free School who currently park at Goodison Park will park at the existing car park at the Blue Base facility once the stadium is redeveloped.

Table 4: EFC Car Park Staff Survey – Friday 10th May 2019 07:00-10:00

Staff Category	Number of Vehicles
Everton Staff	23
EitC Staff	11
Sodexo (catering)	2
Free School Staff	12
Blue Base	0
Hub	0
Cleaners	1
Lounge Workers & Builders	23
Security Staff	1
Minibuses	7
Pool Cars	5
Total	85

Source: Everton Football Club

- 4.4.6 The site has capacity for around 300 cars on non-match days, accordingly it is clear that on non-matchdays the car park is underutilised.
- 4.4.7 It should be noted that on non-match days the Park End car park is occasionally used for football parking associated with matches at Anfield Stadium. On these occasions vehicles may enter and exit the car park via the main access on Goodison Road and also the secondary access on Bullens Road.

4.5 Matchday uses

Typical frequency of fixtures

- 4.5.1 On average, over the past five complete seasons up to and including the 2018/2019 season there have been a total of 24 first team fixtures per season played at Goodison Park between August and May. The variations in this figure depend on the participation and stage of progression in cup competitions, which, across the past five seasons, have included the FA Cup, the EFL Cup and the Europa League.
- 4.5.2 On average, two thirds of all fixtures are played on a weekend while all weekday fixtures (excluding bank holidays) kick-off no earlier than 19:45. As is generally consistent with major English football stadia, the majority of fixtures occur on a Saturday with most of these matches kicking-off at 15:00. Sunday fixtures are more spread over the three-hour period between 13:30 and 16:30.

Staff

- 4.5.3 On match days around 1,200 staff work at the site. It should be noted that match day staff are classed as people who are working at the stadium on match day, accordingly this includes people not directly employed by the Club including media, police and ambulance. A breakdown of staff for a typical matchday is provided in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Matchday Staff Breakdown

Category	Number of Staff
Hospitality Staff	250
Media Staff	200
Information & Hosting Staff	170
Security & Safety Staff	500
Management Staff	60
Other	30
Total	1,210

Source: Everton Football Club

Car park

- 4.5.4 On matchdays the Park End car park is reduced in size to accommodate the Everton Fan Zone as well as broadcasting / media vehicles. Accordingly, the capacity of the car park is reduced to around 150 vehicles.
- 4.5.5 Additional off-site parking is accommodated in Stanley Park car park, approximately 1km from the stadium at the Priory Road / Utting Avenue junction. This car park is only used on matchdays and is also used by Liverpool Football Club for their match day requirements.
- 4.5.6 Supporter coaches currently park on Priory Road to the south east, approximately 0.5km from the stadium.

4.6 Match day traffic management

- 4.6.1 There are several traffic management elements in place to ensure safe and efficient access and egress for match day traffic. The key components are:
- Matchday pedestrian zone.
 - Football Match Residents Parking Zone (FMRPZ).
 - Matchday traffic management strategy.

Match day pedestrian zone

- 4.6.2 Figure 20 overleaf shows the boundary of the site and the Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs) that are in operation in the immediate area.
- 4.6.3 TROs are in place to regulate the speed, movement and parking of vehicles. On match days signing enforces a pedestrian zone and restrictions to loading and waiting around the stadium. In this way all of Gwladys Street, Muriel Street, Diana Street, Bullens Road, and part of Goodison Road and City Road become pedestrian zones with access for traffic limited and prohibited.

Figure 20: Traffic Regulation Orders and Extent of Highway Adoption



Source: Mott MacDonald


Football Match Residents Parking Zone (FMRPZ)

- 4.6.4 On-street parking around Goodison Park is restricted by a Football Match Residents Parking Zone (FMRPZ), the boundary of which is indicated in Figure 21. This area covers both Goodison Park and Anfield Stadium to restrict match day parking for fixtures at both stadia. The restrictions mean that public on-street parking is generally unavailable between 10:00 and 00:00 every day from August to June inclusive.
- 4.6.5 Only residents, authorised visitors and businesses can park on street within the zone. Residents and businesses are issued with parking permits and visitor permits which must be displayed within the car. Non authorized vehicles parked on street within the zone are subject to fines and parking enforcement by Liverpool City Council.

Matchday traffic management strategy

- 4.6.6 The current matchday strategy for Goodison Park is focused around a series of road closures in the vicinity of the stadium to ensure crowd safety and restrict vehicle access into the area. The road closures are shown in Figure 22 below.
- 4.6.7 For the pre-match period, all local roads around the stadium close around one hour prior to kick off as identified in Figure 22. Following kick off the roads are re-opened for the main part of the game. The roads are then closed again approximately 15 minutes prior to full time. In the post-match period, the roads remain closed until crowds have dispersed sufficiently to re-open them.
- 4.6.8 Following the full-time whistle Walton Lane is closed in both directions at the junction with Spellow Lane for between approximately 15 and 30 minutes. This closure is enforced to support pedestrian egress from the stadium following the match and maintain crowd safety. The road is re-opened once crowds have dispersed.

Figure 21: FMRPZ Boundary



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 22: Matchday Traffic Management



Source: Mott MacDonald

5 Highway network traffic data


Introduction

- 5.1.1 This section provides a review of existing traffic flows on the local highway network and provides an evidence base for the subsequent impact assessment in Section 8 of this TA.
- 5.1.2 The section firstly concentrates upon traffic on the local highway network in terms of the study area agreed with Liverpool City Council. Following this a review of the specific traffic generation of Goodison Park is provided. In summary:
- Traffic profile on County Road and Walton Lane;
 - Local network junction analysis;
 - Traffic generation of Goodison Park.

Traffic surveys

- 5.1.3 In order to assess the volume of traffic on nearby streets and of the Goodison Park car park itself, two-week traffic counts were commissioned between 14th March and 27th March 2019 at the following locations:
- County Road (A59);
 - Walton Lane (A580);
 - Goodison Road site access;
 - Bullens Road site access.
- 5.1.4 Manually Classified Counts (MCC) turning count data was also undertaken for the following junctions between 07:00 - 10:00 and 16:00 – 18:00 on 14th March 2019 (non-matchday):
- Walton Lane / Bullens Road.
 - Walton Lane / Spellow Lane/Langham Street.
 - Walton Lane / Priory Road.
 - Spellow Lane / Goodison Road.
 - Goodison Road / City Road / Gwladys Street / Andrew Street / Nimrod Street.
 - Bullens Road / Gwladys Street.
- 5.1.5 All survey locations are illustrated overleaf in Figure 23. The extent of the traffic survey area was agreed in scoping with Liverpool City Council.

Figure 23: Traffic Survey Locations Map



Source: Mott MacDonald

5.2 Traffic profile on key routes: Walton Lane and County Road

5.2.1 It should be noted that the first week of the two-week survey period for Walton Lane and County Road coincided with a weekend matchday at Goodison Park (versus Chelsea Sunday 17th March 16:30 kick off). The weekday traffic analysis considers the full 2-week dataset, while the weekend and 7-day average profiles incorporate data from the second week only (incorporating the non-matchday weekend). Following this a comparison of weekend traffic- match day and non-match day is provided.

5.2.2 Walton Lane is the busier of the two routes accommodating around 24,000 vehicles on a daily basis compared with County Road which accommodates around 18,000 traffic movements daily.


County Road

5.2.3 The weekday average profile provided in Figure 24 shows clear AM and PM peak hours, both these peaks see maximum hourly flows of around 1,400 vehicles per hour (veh/hr) while inter-peak flows throughout the day stay at around 1,200 Veh/hr.

5.2.4 The weekend average profile provided in Figure 25 shows a wider single peak which experiences its highest flows during the afternoon between midday to around 17:00, during which it shows a maximum flow of just under 1,300 veh/hr. The average afternoon traffic of around 1,200 veh/hr mirrors the weekday interpeak flows.


5.2.5 The 7-day average profile (Figure 26) rises to just under 1,200 Veh/hr at around 07:00 before gradually climbing up to around 1,400 Veh/hr at 4-5pm before decreasing into the evening.

Figure 24: County Road Traffic Profile – Weekday Average




Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 25: County Road Traffic Profile – Weekend Average (non-match)



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 26: County Road Traffic Profile – 7-Day Average (non-match)




Source: Mott MacDonald

Walton Lane

- 5.2.6 The weekday average profile provided in Figure 27 shows clear AM and PM peaks which both exceed 2,000 Veh/hr for at least one hour. Interpeak flows remain relatively constant at around 1,500 Veh/hr, while traffic begins to decrease around 18:00.
- 5.2.7 As with all scenarios, the PM northbound and southbound flows are very similar while the AM southbound flows into the city centre are significantly higher, particularly for the weekday average.


- 5.2.8 The weekend average profile provided in Figure 28 shows a single gradual peak which reaches around 1,700 Veh/hr at midday. Southbound flows are again higher during the morning hours, but not to the degree of the weekday average profile.
- 5.2.9 The 7-day average profile (Figure 29) shows the same interpeak flows of around 1,500 Veh/hr as the weekday average, although the AM and PM peaks are not as prominent, without exceeding 2,000 Veh/hr throughout the day.

Figure 27: Walton Lane Traffic Profile – Weekday Average (non-match)




Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 28: Walton Lane Traffic Profile – Weekend Average (non-match)



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 29: Walton Lane Traffic Profile – 7-Day Average (non-match)




Source: Mott MacDonald

Combined summary


- 5.2.10 Figure 30, Figure 31 and Figure 32 provide a comparison between the two routes for the three respective scenarios. All figures show combined traffic profiles for two-way traffic only.
- 5.2.11 In terms of the weekday average profiles, Walton Lane experiences higher volumes of traffic and features more prominent AM and PM peaks. These peaks coincide with the shallower peaks experienced on the County Road. There are minimal differences in flows during weekends between the two routes.
- 5.2.12 The 7-day average profiles also show similar trends, with higher traffic flows and more defined AM and PM peaks experienced on Walton Lane when compared to County Road.

Figure 30: County Road/Walton Lane Combined Traffic Profile – Weekday Average (non-match)




Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 31: County Road/Walton Lane Combined Traffic Profile – Weekend Average (non-match)



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 32: County Road/Walton Lane Combined Traffic Profile – 7-Day Average (non-match)




Source: Mott MacDonald

Matchday vs non-matchday

- 5.2.13 To assess the impact of a fixture at Goodison Park, traffic profiles for a matchday and a non-matchday scenario were derived from the survey data for comparison. The matchday scenario used in this case is Sunday 17th March 2019, on which Everton hosted a Premier League match against Chelsea, with an attendance of 39,356 (99% capacity), representing a full sell out match.
- 5.2.14 The two scenarios for comparison are as follows:
- Sunday 17th March 2019 – Matchday (16:30 Kick-Off (KO)).
 - Sunday 24th March 2019 – Non-Matchday.
- 5.2.15 Figure 33 and Figure 34 show the traffic profiles for County Road and Walton Lane for the two scenarios respectively. It should be noted that Walton Lane was temporarily closed following the match as part of the post-match traffic management strategy. The closure took place at around 18:30.
- 5.2.16 County Road (Figure 33) experiences similar transport demand for both scenarios until 16:00, 30 minutes prior to kick off. Traffic flow to this point on matchday is similar to a non-matchday. Traffic flow in the post-match period are approximately 200 Veh/hr above that of the non-matchday scenario.
- 5.2.17 The difference in traffic flow on Walton Lane is more prominent, with the midday peak increasing from 1,400 to 1,600 Veh/hr and remaining at 300 Veh/hr above the non-matchday profile at 2pm. The temporary post-match closure of Walton Lane is also clear on the profile, shown by the sharp decline in traffic flow from 6pm. Following its reopening, traffic rises to near 1,400 Veh/hr at 19:00, approximately 400 Veh/hr higher than the non-matchday profile, before decreasing to mirror the non-matchday scenario by 21:00.


- 5.2.18 Comparing the two routes, Walton Lane experiences a greater increase in traffic flow in the matchday scenario, showing this route accommodates a higher proportion of traffic generated by football at Goodison Park.

Figure 33: County Road Traffic Profile (Sunday) – Matchday vs Non-Matchday



Source: Mott MacDonald

Figure 34: Walton Lane Traffic Profile (Sunday) – Matchday vs Non-Matchday



Source: Mott MacDonald

5.3 Local network junction analysis

- 5.3.1 Traffic demand around Goodison Park has been surveyed at key junctions surrounding the site (Figure 24). The surveys were undertaken between 07:00 - 10:00 and 16:00 – 18:00 on 14th March 2019 to capture the morning and evening weekday peak hours.
- Walton Lane / Bullens Road.
 - Walton Lane / Spellow Lane/Langham Street.
 - Walton Lane / Priory Road.
 - Spellow Lane / Goodison Road.
 - Goodison Road / City Road / Gwladys Street / Andrew Street / Nimrod Street.
 - Bullens Road / Gwladys Street.
- 5.3.2 Traffic flows at these junctions for the weekday morning and evening peak hours are included In Appendix E to give an indication of the distribution of traffic on the surrounding road network.
- 5.3.3 Across all scenarios, the two signalised junctions on Walton Lane experience the highest volume of turning traffic compared to all the other junctions surveyed. At the junction of Walton Lane / Spellow Lane and Langham Street 2,784 vehicles passed through the junction in the weekday AM peak hour and 2,602 in the PM peak hour. At the Walton Lane junction with Priory Road further east 2,679 vehicles passed in the weekday AM peak hour and 2,370 in the PM peak.

Figure 35: Walton Lane/Spellow Lane/Langham Street Junction



Source: Google Maps

Figure 36: Walton Lane/Priory Road Junction



Source: Google Maps

- 5.3.4 The Spellow Lane/Goodison Road junction experienced a total of 852 vehicles in the weekday AM peak and 1,003 vehicles during the PM peak. At all other junctions surveyed in the predominantly residential area traffic flows through the junctions surveyed were below 500 vehicles per hour in any peak hour.

5.3.5 Overall the weekday AM and PM scenarios show similar turning count volumes across the junctions surveyed, with the AM scenario experiencing marginally higher levels of total turning counts.

5.3.6 The performance of the junctions surveyed is assessed further through detailed junction modelling using LinSig, contained in Section 8 of this TA.

5.4 Traffic Generation of Goodison Park

5.4.1 The traffic generation of the existing Goodison Park car park located directly to the south of the Park End has been assessed by traffic survey over the two-week period between the 14th March – 27th March 2019. The location of the stadium car park and its surveyed access points from Goodison Road and Bullens Road are shown previously in Figure 23. It should be noted that the secondary car park access point via Bullens Road is only usually used under two circumstances:

- To accommodate match day traffic ingress and egress;
- On non-EFC match days when the car park is being used as a paying public car park for Anfield Stadium traffic.


5.4.2 The following section contains a review of the traffic surveys for the following scenarios:

- Non matchday typical usage;
- Matchday vs non-matchday comparison;
- Matchday usage for Goodison Road and Bullens Road access points.

5.4.3 Based on the survey data, the maximum car park occupancy on each day throughout the two-week period is provided in Figure 37. From this analysis an average typical occupancy of 67 vehicles was identified. This value excludes the following days due to the respective non-typical circumstances:

- Sunday 17th March: Premier League fixture at Goodison Park.
- Saturday 23rd March: Friendly fixture at Anfield Stadium- Goodison Park car park hired out for use.

Figure 37: Goodison Park car park maximum occupancy distribution



Source: Mott MacDonald

Non matchday weekday traffic generation of Goodison Park

- 5.4.4 Table 6 shows the average weekday combined access and egress movements with respect to the wider network peak hours. On average the car park generates 39 daily traffic movements in the morning network peak hour and 25 in the evening network peak. On a daily basis the site generates a total of around 420 traffic movements.

Table 6: Combined Access Average Weekday Peak Hour Movements

Peak Hour	In	Out	Total
08:00-09:00	32	7	39
17:00-18:00	9	16	25

Source: Mott MacDonald

Matchday traffic generation of Goodison Park

- 5.4.5 On the match day of Sunday 17th March (16:30 KO), the car park generated a total of 545 traffic movements. It should be noted that on Sunday 24th March, a non-match day, the site generated significantly less traffic with 100 movements recorded for the day.
- 5.4.6 Peak match day traffic generation of the site is summarised in Table 7 below. These peak periods coincide with the busiest pre-match arrival period and the post-match departure period.

Table 7: Match Day Traffic Generation of Goodison Park

Peak Hour	In	Out	Total
14:00-15:00	53	6	59
19:00-20:00	27	118	145

Source: Mott MacDonald

Conclusions

- 5.4.7 This section has explored in some detail traffic volumes on the highway network surrounding the site and the traffic generation of Goodison Park on match days and non-match days. This information will be used in the impact assessment included as Section 8. This data will also be used in the Environmental Impact Assessment which is submitted as part of the planning application.

6 Proposed development

6.1 Introduction

- 6.1.1 The development site is bound by Goodison Road to the west, Spellow Lane to the south-west, Walton Lane to the south, Bullens Road to the east, Gwladys Street to the north and Goodison Place and Church of St Luke the Evangelist to the north-west.
- 6.1.2 This section details the proposals for the Goodison Park Legacy Project, noting the assumed vehicular and pedestrian access arrangements and parking provisions.

6.2 Development description

- 6.2.1 The formal description of development is as follows:

Application for Outline Planning Permission for the demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site for a mix of uses, comprising residential units (Use Class C3); residential institution (Use Class C2); shops (Use Class A1); financial & professional services (Use Class A2); food and drink use (Use Class A3); drinking establishments (Use Class A4); hot food takeaways (Use Class A5); business use (Use Class B1); non-residential institutions (Use Class D1); and open space, with associated access, servicing, parking and landscaping. All matters (Access, Appearance, Landscaping, Layout and Scale) are reserved for future determination.

- 6.2.2 The submitted parameter plans, which indicate how the development could come forward in the future, is provided in Figure 38 and the maximum development quanta schedule is shown in Table 8 below.

Table 8: Maximum Development Quanta

Location	Land Use	Maximum Total Floorspace (SQ.M. GEA) / Dwellings	Land Use type assessed for Transport purposes
Plot A	B1 Business Use	4,762 sqm	B1 Office Use
	A1/A2/A3/A4/A5 Shops / Financial & professional services / Food & drink / Drinking establishments / Hot food takeaways	600 sqm	A1 Retail
	C3 Dwellings	9 units (houses)	9 Houses
Plot B	C3 Dwellings	72 units (8 houses, 64 apartments)	8 houses, 64 apartments
Plot C	A1/A2/A3/A4/A5 Shops / Financial & professional services / Food & drink / Drinking establishments / Hot food takeaways	150 sqm	A1 Retail
	C3 Dwellings	36 units (10 houses, 26 apartments)	10 houses, 26 apartments
Plot D	C2 Residential Institution	78 bed care home and 24 beds extra care	Retirement & Care Community
Plot E	C3 Dwellings	25 units (apartments)	25 apartments
	D1 Non-Residential Institution	4,383sqm	Community Education
Plot F	D1 Non-Residential Institution	5,615 sqm	3,069 sqm 'Walk in' Health Centre
			2,546 sqm Primary School
Plot G	C3 Dwellings	31 units (houses)	31 houses

Source: Planit-IE .

Figure 38: Parameter Plan



Source: Planit-IE

Potential Uses

- 6.2.3 As this planning application has been made in outline with all matters reserved, the detail of the specific development type and potential end users of each development plot are not yet known. Accordingly, this assessment is based on robust assumptions on the potential land uses which could come forwards at each plot. For instance, the D1 'non-residential institution' use encompasses a wide range of potential uses including places of worship, premises for education, museums, libraries, medical centres and exhibition halls. Furthermore, the C3 use includes houses and apartments. Discussion with the Club has been undertaken to ascertain the most likely potential type of development to come forwards at the site within each proposed use class and assumptions have been made to inform the most robust form of assessment for each.
- 6.2.4 The development type for each plot assessed as part of this planning application is referenced in Table 8. Further detail on land use assumptions used in parking calculations is included in Section 6.5, and Section 7.2 for trip generation.

6.3 Site access

The outline planning application

- 6.3.1 It should be noted that within this outline planning application, access is a reserved matter and therefore details of vehicular / pedestrian access are shown indicatively at this stage, with further details to be provided through future planning submissions.

Pedestrian and cycle access

- 6.3.2 It is anticipated that the site will be accessible to pedestrians from all directions with a minimum of 2-metre wide footways provided around the entire site perimeter. Crossing points with dropped kerbs and tactile paving will be provided at all site access points where vehicles may enter and exit the site.
- 6.3.3 Three internal roads are shown on the submitted parameter plans, connecting Goodison Road to the west with Bullens Road to the east. These will be accessible by all modes including bicycle and will have footways. An internal network of pedestrian routes will be created so that north - south and east - west routes are established, as shown indicatively in Figure 39.
- 6.3.4 The roads surrounding the site support pedestrian movement through an existing network of footways, complemented by dropped kerbs and tactile paving at key crossing points. All residential roads in the area are also controlled by vertical traffic calming measures to ensure a safe pedestrian environment.
- 6.3.5 It is envisaged that uncontrolled pedestrian crossings will be provided across Goodison Road, Gwladys Street and Bullens Road at appropriate locations, so the site is well connected to the existing pedestrian and cycle network.


Vehicular access

- 6.3.6 Vehicle circulation around the site will be enabled by the existing perimeter roads of Goodison Road, Gwladys Street and Bullens Road with Walton Lane to the south and internally through the three new vehicle routes proposed between Goodison Road and Bullens Road.
- 6.3.7 Roughly half of all car parking proposed (200 spaces) will be provided within 'off street' podium spaces within Plots A, B, D & E. The podium car parks will be accessed from the network of new streets created and it is anticipated that there will be a single access/egress point for each

car park. Please note that as the planning application is made in outline the number and location of the proposed access points is not fixed at this stage.

- 6.3.8 153 car parking spaces are proposed on the new streets created within the development site. In addition, 49 car parking spaces will be created on Goodison Road, Bullens Road and Gwladys Street. At present on these routes parked cars, particularly on Gwladys Street and Bullens Road can inhibit two-way traffic movement. No parking is currently permitted on Bullens Road, protected by double yellow lines. Parking is only permitted on the northern side of Gwladys Street and on the eastern side of Goodison Road. The new spaces proposed on these roads will be formed by creating new street space. In this way parked cars will not obstruct passing cars or vehicles parking on the opposite side of the road.

Figure 39: Access and Movement Parameter Plan



Source: Planit-IE

Highway adoption and management

6.3.9

It is proposed that all internal vehicular and pedestrian routes including the new streets and parking areas within them will be privately maintained and will not form part of the adopted public highway. It is proposed that the on-street parking bays within existing streets and the new footways behind them will form part of the adopted highway. A plan showing the area to be adopted are shown in Appendix K.


- 6.3.10 In this way, of the 402 new car parking spaces provided, some 353 will be managed privately and will be the responsibility of the developer and future management company once the site is built and occupied. More detail on parking provision is shown in Table 9. The management company will implement a parking management plan which will ensure that the parking spaces under their control are used appropriately by residents, visitors and employees at the site. The remaining 49 on street spaces will become part of the adopted highway. It is envisaged that similar to existing on street spaces in the local area that the existing parking controls (FMRPZ restrictions described in Section 4.6) which restrict parking to residents or businesses will also be implemented here.

6.4 Highway improvements

Active travel improvements

- 6.4.1 As part of the proposed development there are highway works proposed to be carried out in the area by the Club to enhance the Spellow Lane/Goodison Road junction and improve pedestrian safety in the area on account of traffic generated by the development. The proposals are shown in Figure 40 below. It is envisaged that these works will be secured via a Section 278 agreement associated with any outline permission.
- 6.4.2 The proposed works will expand the footway on the north side of Spellow Lane and remove the existing left turn lane onto Goodison Road. The existing priority junction with Spellow Lane / Goodison Road will be realigned to form a traditional 'T' junction with improved accessibility for vehicles. In addition to this, an uncontrolled crossing featuring dropped kerbs and tactile paving will be provided on Spellow Lane west of the Goodison Road/Spellow Lane junction.

Figure 40: Proposed highway improvement Spellow Lane



Source: Mott MacDonald

- 6.4.3 As the Goodison Park Legacy Project is built out it will be important that the site connects well with existing pedestrian infrastructure around the site. As the planning application is made in outline it is not possible at the moment to identify where exactly the connection points will be located. As further reserved matters submissions are made the specific location and form of the crossings will be confirmed. Figure 41 illustrates potential indicative locations.

Figure 41: Illustrative pedestrian crossing locations



Source: Planit-IE

Public Transport Improvements

- 6.4.4 The consultation response from Merseytravel received in relation to the original planning application (reference 200/0997) highlighted that five bus stops in the vicinity of the site on Walton Lane were in need of upgrade. These are as follows:
- S40072D – Spellow Lane – Walton Lane - installation access kerbs required;
 - S40072D - Walton Lane – Goodison Road - installation of access kerbs required;
 - S40072C - Walton Lane – Goodison Road - installation of access kerbs required;
 - S40103A - Walton Lane – Priory Road – installation of access kerbs and a shelter required;
 - S40119B - Walton Lane – Newby Street – installation of access kerbs required.
- 6.4.5 MM has undertaken a site visit in December 2020 to identify these stops. It should be noted that there are no stops named “Walton Lane / Goodison Road” on Walton Lane nor Goodison Road. Furthermore, the code S40072D has been used to identify two individual stops on Merseytravel’s list.
- 6.4.6 Following review of the site stops in the vicinity of the site we consider that the two Walton Lane / Goodison Road stops referred to by Merseytravel are in fact the Bullens Road and Spellow Lane stops on Walton Lane. The plan below illustrates the location of the stops and the facilities present at them:



- 6.4.7 Highway works are underway on Walton Lane and all bus stops above were in the process of being upgraded with access kerbs and bus shelters at time of writing (December 2020). The only stops lacking the facilities requested by Merseytravel are.

- S40072D Spellow Lane - Walton Lane: which lacks an access kerb;
- S40103A Walton Lane - Priory Road: which lacks a bus shelter.

We consider it an omission that these stops are not being upgraded as part of the Walton Lane improvements in line with the other stops given that these are in use by local residents and also on match days.

Notwithstanding this the Club will fund the upgrade of these bus stops as follows:

- S40072D Spellow Lane - Walton Lane: provision of access kerbing subject to suitability. Footway on Spellow Lane may be too narrow to accommodate. Also subject to the facility not being provided by LCC upon completion of the ongoing Walton Lane Highway Works
- S40103A Walton Lane - Priory Road: provision of a bus shelter subject to the facility not being provided by LCC upon completion of the ongoing Walton Lane Highway Works.

6.5 Car parking

6.5.1 This section provides an illustrative breakdown of the car parking proposals and sets out a justification for this level of provision to align with LCC's parking standards as described in LCC's Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (April 2008).

6.5.2 As noted previously, this application is made in outline only with all matters reserved. The proposed parking quanta for the land uses is provided below in Table 9 alongside LCC's standard requirements for each use. A plan illustrating the outline parking allocations is shown as Figure 42. This is an illustrative masterplan which accompanies this outline planning application and is subject to defining the specific end-users, exact quantum of development (we are applying for maximums) and associated car parking requirements. This therefore shows one way in which the maximum number of car parking spaces could be delivered and details will be confirmed at Reserved Matters stage.

Table 9: Proposed Parking and LCC Standards

Location	Use Class / Assumed Use	Floorspace / Dwellings	LCC Maximum Standard	Proposed Parking Quanta based on illustrative masterplan
Plot A	A1 Non Food Retail	600 sqm	1 space per 22 sqm	20 spaces (8-on private street, 12 on adopted street)
	B1 Office	4,762 sqm	1 space per 45 sqm	93 podium spaces (60 within plot A, 33 in plot E).
	C3 Dwellings	9 houses	1.5 spaces per house	12 spaces on private street
Plot B	C3 Dwellings	72 units (8 houses, 64 apartments)	1.5 spaces per house, 1 space per apartment	45 podium spaces plus 4 street spaces
Plot C	A1 Non-Food Retail	150 sqm	1 space per 22 sqm	Shares on street spaces with Plot A retail
	C3 Dwellings	36 units (10 houses, 26 apartments)	1.5 spaces per house, 1 space per apartment	13 spaces for houses and 16 for apartments on private streets
Plot D	C2 Residential Institution	78 bed care home and 24 beds extra care	1 space per 3 staff 1 space per 6 residents	30 podium spaces, 6 spaces on private street, 4 spaces on adopted street.
Plot E	C3 Apartments	25 units (apartments)	1 space per apartment	8 spaces on private street and 7 within the podium.
	D1 Non – Residential Institution	4,383 sqm Community Education	1 space per 2 staff	25 podium spaces, 6 spaces on adopted street
Plot F	D1 Non-Residential Institution	3,069 sqm 'Walk in' health centre	1 space per 3 staff 2 spaces per consulting room	35 spaces on private street. 7 spaces on adopted street
	D1 Non-Residential Institution	2,546 sqm Primary School	1 space per 2 staff	30 spaces on private street
Plot G	C3 Houses	31 houses	1.5 spaces per house	21 spaces on private street. 20 spaces on adopted street.
TOTAL				402 including 200 podium, 153 on private streets & 49 on adopted streets.

source: Mott MacDonald, LCC's Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (April 2008).

Disabled parking

6.5.3

Planit-IE has confirmed that there is sufficient space available so that 5% of the total number of parking spaces will be disabled accessible across the site. For the range of uses provided LCC's Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD recommends a minimum of 2% to 4% disabled

provision where over 200 parking bays are provided depending on the proposed use. The provision of 5% disabled parking is therefore in excess of this minimum LCC requirement.

Original planning application (April 2020)

- 6.5.4 The level of car parking proposed is generally in keeping with the parking ratios provided in the original application. The main difference is now there is a reduced reliance on 'off street' parking and a significant provision of 'on street' parking, the vast majority of which is provided on privately managed streets.
- 6.5.5 The level of on street provision on adopted streets (Goodison Road, Bullens Road, Gwladys Street) is slightly higher than the original application. However, the bulk of this is provided for houses, as in the original application. All residential properties on existing streets park on the public highway so this is in keeping with existing practice.
- 6.5.6 More detail on the level of car parking proposed in comparison with the initial planning submission is included as Appendix L.

Figure 42: Outline parking allocations based on illustrative masterplan (indicative only)



Source: Planit-IE

Parking Strategy

6.5.7

In developing the parking strategy, it should be noted that this planning application is made in outline with all matters reserved. Accordingly, it is the purpose of this section to justify the level

of parking provision across the site to demonstrate that there is sufficient space within the site to align with the principles set out in LCC's Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD. Following the granting of planning permission, once more detail on the end users of the site is known and the specific use of each building and quantum of development, the exact number of spaces to be allocated to each building or use will be determined.

- 6.5.8 Given the mix of uses proposed there is great potential for linked trips. Residents and employees at the site will also make use of the facilities and amenities provided. Furthermore, it has been agreed in scoping with Liverpool City Council that residential parking does not need to be provided to the maximum standard possible. This is on account of the high accessibility of the site (as explained in Section 3) and the relatively low car ownership levels in the area. In the County ward of Liverpool, within which Goodison Park is located 57% of households do not own cars (2011 Census). This is somewhat higher than the wider Liverpool local authority area where on average 46% of households do not own a car (2011 Census) and the Northwest average where 27% of households do not own a car.
- 6.5.9 It should also be noted that the nearby consented residential development detailed in Section 3.9 of this report (18F/1316) was granted planning permission with 60% of units having no parking space. This was justified on account of the good public transport and active travel connections available. There is therefore precedent in this area for car parking being provided below the maximum standards in the local area.
- 6.5.10 The following commentary is based on the assumptions made about the specific end uses of plots, in order to inform this Transport Assessment, as detailed in Section 6.2.

Residential Use in Plots A, B, C, E & G

- 6.5.11 In terms of residential parking provision, the 9 houses in Plot A have a provision of 12 spaces, this is only one parking space below an average provision of 1.5 spaces per dwelling. All these spaces will be privately managed.
- 6.5.12 Within Plot B the 8 houses will have a provision of 1.5 spaces per dwelling with 12 spaces-; 4 provided in a private street and 8 within the on-site podium. The 64 apartments will have 37 spaces available to them within the podium car park. Apartments will therefore be provided with parking slightly above the 50% rate.
- 6.5.13 Within Plot C the 13 spaces on private streets will be available for the 10 houses- a provision just below the 1.5 per dwelling recommended by LCC. For the apartments 16 spaces will be available for the proposed 26 apartments. This level of provision is above 60%.
- 6.5.14 Within Plot E 25 apartments are proposed with 15 car parking spaces: 8 of which will be provided on private streets and 7 within the podium. The parking ratio for the residential parking in this plot is 60%.
- 6.5.15 Within Plot G, 41 car parking spaces are proposed for the 31 houses, 21 of which will be provided on private streets and 20 on the adopted street. The parking ratio is approximately 1.3 spaces per dwelling.

Plot A & C A1 retail & A2/3/4/5 uses.

- 6.5.16 The range of uses proposed encompasses shops, financial & professional services, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments and hot food takeaway uses. Due to the variety of proposed public facilities, parking for the retail and food / restaurant uses will be shared..

- 6.5.17 In total, 750m² of use classes A1-A5 is proposed across Plots A and C. Previously for this use (A1-A5) in the initial planning application some 62 spaces were provided across the site for 2,756m² of use, which was accepted as appropriate by Liverpool City Council.
- 6.5.18 For the revised provision of 750m², applying broadly the same ratio, 20 spaces are proposed in total. This will encompass some 12 spaces on the adopted street and 7 spaces on private streets. Accordingly, parking is provided at the same rate as the previous application at around 2.5 spaces per 100m² of development.

Plot E & F Non-Residential Institutions

- 6.5.19 Plot E is assessed in this TA as a community education facility. It is to be allocated 25 spaces in a podium with an additional 6 spaces on street directly outside on Goodison Road. Considering LCC's standards for D1 education use this provision would be adequate for a maximum of 62 staff working at the centre at any one time. We therefore consider that this is an appropriate level of provision for the proposed use.
- 6.5.20 At Plot F the parcel is assessed as a primary school and a Health Walk in centre. Thirty spaces are proposed on private streets for this use. This would be sufficient for 60 staff based on the LCC standards of 1 space per 2 staff.
- 6.5.21 Depending on the final use of Plots E and F there could be some scope for the sharing of the 61 parking spaces across these two plots for education purposes.
- 6.5.22 At Plot F the potential for a medical 'walk in' centre has been identified. LCC's parking standards for this use are based on the number of consulting rooms in the development and number of staff. As the application is in outline this information is not known at this stage. Accordingly, parking has been calculated using other similar facilities in Liverpool as a precedent, as follows:

• Edge Hill Health Centre	2,694m ² - 55 spaces
• Townsend Lane Neighbourhood Health Centre	2,482m ² - 52 spaces
• Breeze Hill Neighbourhood Health Centre	2,238m ² - 38 spaces.

- 6.5.23 Based on these developments, an average of one parking space per 51m² is calculated. A provision of 42 spaces is proposed at the development which is only 18 spaces below this average. It should be noted that visitors and staff at the drop-in centre will be able to use on street bays as well as public parking areas associated with the restaurants and retail areas if necessary.

Plot D Residential Institution

- 6.5.24 The proposed residential institution located in Plot D has been allocated 40 parking spaces- comprising 30 podium spaces, - 6 on private streets and 4 spaces on the adopted road network. LCC's parking standards permit a maximum of 1 space per 5 residents and 1 space per 3 staff for care homes. Therefore, the parking provided complies with LCC standards and based on likely splits, this would accommodate 102 residents and 42 staff which is an acceptable initial provision relative to the size of the facility.

Discouraging inappropriate on street parking

- 6.5.25 As set out in Section 4.6 the development site is located in the Goodison Park and Anfield Stadium FMRPZ. With the proposed development completed, these restrictions will stay in place in the local area and surrounding streets. In this way local residents and businesses will not be negatively impacted by congestion and car parking when football matches and major

events take place at Anfield Stadium. These restrictions will prevent visitors and employees at the proposed development from parking on street outside the development site and encourage the use of public transport and active travel modes.

Development phasing and parking provision

- 6.5.26 Given that parking will be provided in a mix of 'on street' parking and podia it is important to demonstrate that sufficient parking will be provided in each phase of development to accommodate the needs of the development. More information is provided of this in Appendix L, based on an indicative phasing plan.

6.6 Bicycle Parking

- 6.6.1 As this is an outline application the scheme design is indicative. However, it is important to note that cycle parking will be provided throughout the site both in the form of communal cycle parking outside buildings for visitors but also sheltered / internal parking for staff and residents. This will be secured through the planning process as subsequent reserved matters planning submissions are made following the granting of any outline planning approval.
- 6.6.2 Cycle parking areas across the development site will be provided in general accordance with LCC's Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (April 2008). This will ensure people accessing the site will have a range of sustainable travel modes to choose as an alternative to private car travel. The cycle parking minimum standards are replicated below to provide a guide to reserved matters applications:
- A1 Retail- 1 space per 300sqm for staff & per 200sqm for customers
 - A2 Professional Services - 1 space per 300sqm for staff & per 200sqm for customers
 - A3/4/5 Food & Drink Uses- 1 space per 300sqm for staff & per 300sqm for customers
 - B1 Business- 1 space per 45 sqm
 - C2 Residential Institutions- 1 per 5 staff plus 1 per 20 residents
 - C3 Houses- no minimum standards
 - C3 Apartments- 1 space per apartment & 10% additional spaces for visitors
 - D1 Health Centres- 1 per 5 staff plus 2 per consulting room
 - D1 Primary School - 1 space per 5 staff & 1 per 10 students
 - D1 Secondary School & Adult Education- 1 space per 5 staff & 1 per 4 students
- 6.6.3 Planit-IE have provided an illustration of potential locations for how public cycle parking could be provided across the site (Figure 43). The illustration provides a potential location for a 'cycle hub' a high capacity storage facility. Depending on the eventual uses being built out on site a communal facility may be feasible if desirable. Residential cycle parking for residents would likely be provided within the curtilage of their building however some public parking will be needed for all uses. The illustration also shows the potential for a City Bike location.

Figure 43: Illustrative Cycle Parking Locations




Source: Planit-IE

6.7 Football Match Residents Parking Zone

- 6.7.1 In scoping, LCC has requested that Mott MacDonald analyse the extent of the FMRPZ which operates around Goodison Park and Anfield Stadium and whether the extent should be changed once Goodison Park is redeveloped. Following the relocation of Everton FC from the area, the FMRPZ will remain in operation to serve Liverpool FC fixtures at Anfield Stadium. Therefore, this analysis assesses walking times from Anfield Stadium and the area this covers.
- 6.7.2 For the new stadium planning application at Bramley-Moore Dock, LCC has requested that Mott MacDonald adopt a 30-minute walk-time to determine the extent of the parking restrictions that will be required as part of that application. Using this walk time as a guide for this analysis Figure 44 illustrates walking time isochrones from Anfield Stadium to assess the extent of the area covered within a 30-minute walk.
- 6.7.3 LCC noted the area to consider for removal from the FMRPZ is the area north of Breeze Hill. The area in question is roughly bounded by Taylors Lane and Herbarth Close and Gladstone Road to the north, Breeze Hill/Queens Drive to the south, Manobier Crescent and Moor Lane to the east and Stuart Road to the west.
- 6.7.4 The analysis shows that the area highlighted by LCC is located partially within the 30-minute walk isochrone from Anfield Stadium, with the northern limit located marginally outside the 30-minute walk isochrone. Therefore, if this area was to be removed from the FMRPZ, it is likely that on match or event days at Anfield Stadium, this area north of Breeze Hill may be occupied by parked vehicles associated with demand from Anfield Stadium.
- 6.7.5 Therefore, the conclusion of this analysis recommends that there are no changes required to the FMRPZ in terms of the area it covers following the relocation of Everton FC from Goodison Park. This is because the existing FMRPZ suitably covers the area around Anfield Stadium within a 30-minute walk and matches and events at Anfield Stadium require the FMRPZ in the current form to enforce illegal parking within residential streets.

Figure 44: FMRPZ Analysis: Walk times from Anfield Stadium (when Everton have moved from Goodison Park)



Source: Mott MacDonald

6.8 Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment

6.8.1 In line with LCC's Supplementary Planning Document 'Ensuring a Choice of Travel', a Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment (MASA) has been undertaken. The MASA is a tool which assesses the accessibility of a proposed development.

6.8.2 Due to the layout and varying use classes associated with the proposed development, a number of MASAs have been produced to cover the main uses proposed. MASAs have been provided for the following:

- Plot A, B, C, E & G – use class: C3 (dwelling houses).
- Plot A – use class: B1 (office).
- Plot E & F – use class: D1 (non-residential institutions).

6.8.3 A breakdown of the results is presented in the table below:

Table 10: Goodison Legacy: MASA Breakdown

Assessment Criteria	Residential Use		Office Use		D1 Use	
	R	A	R	A	R	A
Access on Foot	4	4	4	4	4	4
Access by Cycle	5	5	5	5	5	5
Access by Public Transport	5	5	6	6	6	6
Vehicular Parking	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total	15	15	16	16	16	16

Source: Mott MacDonald (R = score required, A = score achieved)

6.8.4 It should be noted that MASAs have been provided for the 'worst-case' use classes – the blocks which required the highest score to pass. As demonstrated in Table 10, these use classes meet the required criteria to pass the MASA.

6.8.5 Full MASAs are found in Appendix A.

6.9 Construction

6.9.1 The access strategy for the demolition and construction phases of development is set out in Chapter 4 *Construction Strategy* in Volume II of the ES. The proposed site access and egress strategy for plant and other construction vehicles during these phases is set out as Figure 45 below. It is estimated by the Club that with a start date in 2024 (when Everton's new stadium at Bramley-Moore Dock is open) that the entire redevelopment of Goodison Park would be complete in 2028.


Plant and construction traffic

6.9.2 Construction traffic will enter the site from Walton Lane via its junction with Spellow Lane. All exit will be via Bullens Road back onto Walton Lane. A one-way system will be in operation within the site. The Club envisage that the vast majority of construction traffic will originate from

the motorway network, the most appropriate route to the site being from M57 Junction 4 then using the A580 East Lancashire Road dual carriageway to Walton Lane. On departure to the site construction traffic would take the same route.

- 6.9.3 The Construction Management plan sets out that the traffic generation of the construction of the site would peak at around 57 two way movements per day (114 total movements).

Figure 45: Demolition & construction access strategy



Source: Everton

Construction workers

- 6.9.4 The vast majority of construction staff would not be permitted to park inside the Goodison Park site during construction works. Furthermore, they would be restricted from parking on the streets outside in the immediate vicinity of the stadium on account of the existing parking restrictions surrounding the site as discussed in Section 4.6.
- 6.9.5 Some parking will be set aside within the Blue Base on Salop Street for construction worker vehicles. Vehicles not able to park within this area will need to park within publicly available or private car parks in the local area. Accordingly, construction worker traffic will be spread wide across the road network. Prior to development commencing a Construction Travel Plan will be prepared as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan which will be prepared following any approval of planning permission. This will assist in the management of construction worker traffic. Construction workers will be actively encouraged to utilise public transport, walking, cycling and car share wherever practical.

Construction Impact

- 6.9.6 The impact of the construction phases is assessed in the Transport Chapter of the EIA (Chapter 7, ES Volume II), which accompanies this planning application. The traffic generation of the

demolition and construction phases is much lower than that of the operation stages, which is set out in Section 7 below.

6.10 Planning contributions and future transport schemes

- 6.10.1 Consultation with Liverpool City Council has revealed that contributions to improving active travel infrastructure will be sought from this application via commuted sums (Section 106) should the Council be minded to approve the application. The money would be used by LCC to contribute towards the following schemes:
- Modal filters on local streets.
 - Cycle improvements / facilities on Priory Road & Anfield Cemetery.
- 6.10.2 At time of writing LCC has stated that there are no definitive schemes designed for these improvements nor a cost estimate available. Notwithstanding this LCC has indicated that all forthcoming planning applications in the local area will be requested to contribute to these schemes.
- 6.10.3 The improvements are justified by LCC in their consultation letter response on the planning application dated 11th May 2020 “to enable the development to link in with the Council’s proposals for active travel” and also to “reduce the dominance of vehicles and demands for parking within the development”.

Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and modal filters

- 6.10.4 LCC has stated that in future they may seek to consult local residents in the vicinity of Goodison Park on the implementation of ‘modal filters’ on specific streets close to the site. This would have the effect of closing off traffic to certain routes within each neighbourhood. LCC has stated that in future the area considered could include Bullens Road, Diana Street, Muriel Street as well as terraced residential streets between County Road and Goodison Road. LCC has stated that they are in dialogue with the charitable foundation ‘the Freshfield Foundation’ to select the most appropriate neighbourhood in the city to implement its first ‘low traffic neighbourhood’. Clearly extensive dialogue would be needed with residents and business in the local area prior to the implementation of any specific measures. In addition, LCC has stated that trials of such measures may be considered before permanent implementation.
- 6.10.5 Whilst we recognise the benefits of the traffic reducing effects that modal filters can have if applied correctly, careful consideration of the resulting increase in traffic on routes which traffic will divert to must also be part of this evaluation. Closing the connection of the aforementioned routes to main roads would have the impact of increasing traffic on other routes such as Spellow Lane, Goodison Road, Gwladys Street, City Road, and those streets between County Road and Goodison Road which do not have modal filters.
- 6.10.6 The Environmental Impact Assessment which accompanies this planning application identified no significant worsening on the local environment in terms of air quality, noise and traffic as a result of development. Whilst we consider LCC’s aspirations to reduce traffic commendable as a point of principle, this must be done where there is a clear message that the residents are in favour of it and implemented where it is needed. Certainly, the assessments which accompany this application do not point towards a significant worsening in environmental amenity as a result of the proposed development. As such we consider that the impact of the development does not warrant the implementation of modal filters on residential streets to make it acceptable in transport terms. Notwithstanding this the implementation of these measures could encourage walking and cycling on the streets in question to a greater extent and reduce the dominance in use of the private car for travel.

Cycle improvements at Priory Road and Anfield Cemetery

Priory Road

- 6.10.7 LCC state this scheme would connect the site to the proposed LCC cycle route “LCWIP 2a” along the entire length of Priory Road, together with improvements to the public realm/reduction in traffic dominance at the district shopping centre along this road. The scheme is not included specifically in the LCRCA Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.
- 6.10.8 Mott MacDonald has commented to LCC on the feasibility of the scheme in terms of the existing use of Priory Road as a coach hub on match days at Liverpool FC home games which will endure when Everton relocate to Bramley-Moore Dock. LCC has stated that the scheme would consist of on street segregated or advisory cycle lanes of approximate 1.5m width. LCC has stated that they are content that a scheme is feasible here which accommodates the use of Priory Road on match days and non-match days.

Anfield Cemetery

- 6.10.9 LCC has indicated that an improvement scheme here could include enhancements at the start and end of the existing off street route through the cemetery at Priory Road and Cherry Lane to make the presence of the cycle route more visible. LCC has also indicated that the scheme could include measures to make the route more appealing for use in hours of darkness. LCC note that the route through the cemetery is not fully open on a 24 hour basis.
- 6.10.10 MM notes that any improvement scheme here will need to take account of the Grade II* Registered status of the cemetery and the various listed elements within it and be sympathetic to this. Similar to the Priory Road scheme whilst we do not consider that improvements here are necessary to make the development acceptable in transport terms, improvements may go some way to encouraging active travel to a greater extent and reducing reliance on the private car.

7 Trip generation and distribution

7.1 Introduction

- 7.1.1 This section provides details on the vehicular traffic expected to be generated by the development and the different types of trips associated with the various land uses. This assessment will inform the impact assessment provided later in this report.

7.2 Trip generation

- 7.2.1 TRICS (Trip Rate Information Computer System) is a database of trip rates for developments used in the United Kingdom for transport planning purposes, specifically to quantify the trip generation of new development. It gives an indication of the number of journeys expected to arrive, and depart, through the day for different land use types. TRICS has been utilised to gain an understanding of the amount of vehicular traffic generated by the proposed development.

Proposed land uses and trip rates

- 7.2.2 As this planning application has been made in outline with all matters reserved, the detail of the specific uses, exact quantum and potential end users of each development plot are not yet known. Accordingly, this assessment is based on robust assumptions on the potential land uses and maximum floorspace which could come forwards at each plot. An overview of the trip generation parameters used for each development type is provided here.

Residential Use

- 7.2.3 The residential uses are generic in nature and for apartments the 'flats-privately owned' land use in TRICS has been used, for houses the 'houses- privately owned' category is used. It is likely that not all residential properties will be privately owned, some will be rented (either private rent or social rent). Notwithstanding this the 'privately owned' categories have been used to provide a robust assessment. These generally produce higher trip rates per unit than the rented categories.

A1 Retail, A2 Professional Services, A3/4/5 Food & Drink

- 7.2.4 For this use the retail 'local shops' category has been used. We consider that using this land use will provide a robust assessment of these potential uses. Of all the potential uses proposed under this use class it will generate the most trips per metre squared of development.

D1 Primary Education

- 7.2.5 For the non-residential institution use at Plot F it is assumed that this will be a proposed education facility for the purposes of this Transport Assessment. As it is not confirmed whether this could be a primary school, secondary school or for adult education, for the purpose of this assessment the facility is assumed to be a primary school to represent a worst-case scenario. This will ensure a robust assessment is carried out. Primary schools typically generate more traffic per square metre of floorspace than secondary schools or colleges / universities.

D1 Community Education / Gallery / Museum / Arts

- 7.2.6 For Plot E, the end user or exact use of the plot is not yet known. A range of potential uses are covered by Use Class D1, including community education, gallery, museum or arts facility. Of

these uses the community education use will generate the most traffic per square metre of development during the network peak hours. Accordingly, this use has been selected within TRICS for this assessment.

Residential Institution

- 7.2.7 For Plot D the TRICS land use 'retirement & care community' land use best suits the proposed development.

Health Centre

- 7.2.8 For Plot F a health centre has been assumed; however, the end user for the site and what type of health centre and the ailments it will treat are also not confirmed. For this reason, the 'NHS Walk In centre' use has been used so that a robust assessment is provided.

Trip Generation

- 7.2.9 Table 11 below provides the expected arrivals and departures for the AM (08:00 – 09:00) and PM (17:00 – 18:00) peaks based on the proposed quantum of development and assumed uses. The full TRICS output data is provided in Appendix B.

Table 11: Trip Generation

Plot	TRICS Land Use	AM Peak			PM Peak		
		Arrivals	Departures	Total	Arrivals	Departures	Total
Plot A	A1 Retail	19	17	35	28	30	57
Plot A	B1 Commercial	56	5	61	7	41	48
Plot A	C3 Houses	1	3	4	3	1	4
Plot B	C3 Apartments	4	15	19	13	6	19
Plot B	C3 Houses	1	3	4	3	1	4
Plot C	A1 Retail	5	4	9	7	7	14
Plot C	C3 Apartments	2	6	8	5	2	7
Plot C	C3 Houses	1	3	4	3	2	5
Plot D	C2 Residential Institution	12	7	19	7	12	19
Plot E	C3 Apartments	2	6	8	5	2	7
Plot E	D1 Community Education	40	5	45	31	45	76
Plot F	D1 Primary Education	79	64	143	7	10	17
Plot F	D1 Walk In Health	36	10	46	9	21	29
Plot G	C3 Houses	4	10	14	10	5	15
Total		262	158	420	138	185	323

Source: Mott MacDonald * Please note figures may not total exactly due to rounding

- 7.2.10 Based on the TRICS calculations the development will generate 420 traffic movements in the weekday morning peak hour and 323 in the weekday evening peak hour.

Committed development trip generation

- 7.2.11 The traffic to be generated by the proposed residential development adjacent to the application site (ref: 18F/1316) has also been considered in the assessment. This development comprises 106 flats together with associated car parking, landscaping and ancillary works. As no traffic assessment was included in this planning application Mott MacDonald has undertaken an

assessment using the same trip rates for 'C3 flats' that were employed for the trip generation exercise for the redevelopment of Goodison Park. Based on these trip rates a total of 31 traffic movements is calculated for the weekday morning peak and 31 movements in the weekday evening peak.

7.3 Trip distribution

7.3.1 The development proposal consists of a number of different land uses which will generate differing types of trips in terms of their likely origins and destinations. Accordingly, different land uses will require different trip distribution methods. The methodology to distribute traffic on the network is set out below:

- **Census journey to work data** – 2011 Census 'journey to work' data has been gathered from the Datashine Commute website, which provides information on the origin/destination of employment related trips. This has been used to determine the trip distribution for the employment, adult education and residential land uses at the development.
- **Existing turning movements** – Trips for the primary education use have been distributed using the surveyed turning movements on Walton Lane.
- **Pass-by trips and diverted trips** – this method has been applied to the retail generated traffic on the network. Retail trips are assumed to be travelling through the area already and, therefore, will not be new trips on the road network. Instead, these are viewed as existing trips which will be diverted to the site.
- **Existing turning movements and percentage split** – traffic approaching the development on Walton Lane from the north has several route choices to access the site. Before arriving at the Walton Lane / Spellow Lane junction, traffic has the option of accessing the site via Luxmore Road, Gwladys Street or Bullens Road. To take account of this route choice, for traffic with an origin or destination to the south east of the site, 30% has been allocated via Bullens Road and 70% via the Walton Lane / Spellow lane junction. We consider this a robust approach given that traffic would also have the choice of using Luxmore Road or Gwladys Street for these destinations.

7.4 Traffic data used in the assessments

7.4.1 The original planning application (April 2020) included a slightly larger quantum of development than that now proposed. Accordingly, the traffic generation of the proposed development as assessed in the original planning application is higher than that in this revised planning application. A comparison is set out below:

- Original application: AM Peak- 443 vehicle trips, PM Peak- 387 vehicle trips;
- Revised application: AM Peak- 420 vehicle trips, PM Peak- 323 vehicle trips;

7.4.2 In terms of the change in layout, the modest changes in terms of the location and concentration of uses will not have a material impact on traffic distribution. Traffic will still access and egress the Goodison Park area from the same basic directions, unaffected by the changes to the exact location of uses and parking. The technical note in Appendix L provides a detailed analysis of this and demonstrates there will not be a material change in traffic distribution between that shown for the original planning application and the revised masterplan.

7.4.3 Taking this into account LCC has agreed that the traffic data for the original planning application remains valid for this revised application, and traffic modelling does not need to be updated. Accordingly the traffic data used for this application is presented in Appendix C, D & E.

8 Traffic modelling

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 In this section an overview of the traffic modelling undertaken to assess the impact of the proposed development is provided. Firstly, an overview of the assessment scenarios and methodology is provided, followed by the junction analysis results.

8.2 Future year assessments

Traffic growth

8.2.1 The proposed opening year of the development with all aspects built out and occupied is 2028, a further future year assessment of 2032 is also provided, representing five years after opening. In order to take account of the traffic growth which will take place in Liverpool from present to these future years, TEMPro (Trip End Model Presentation Program) has been used. This program developed by the Department for Transport uses planning data to calculate changes in transport demand in the future. For the purposes of this assessment, TEMPRO uses a base year of 2019. The TEMPro factors used to assess traffic growth on the local transport network are summarised below.

- **Weekday 2028 AM:** 1.121
- **Weekday 2028 PM:** 1.116
- **Weekday 2032 AM:** 1.166
- **Weekday 2032 PM:** 1.159

Assessment scenarios

8.2.2 The 2019 surveyed traffic has been factored to 2028 and 2032 levels and is shown in Appendix E. Committed development traffic from the adjacent development has been added to this growthed traffic to calculate the 2028 and 2032 baseline. Development traffic has been added to this base traffic to calculate the 2028 and 2032 base plus development traffic scenarios. This process is summarised in Table 12 below.

Table 12: Scenario Breakdown

Scenario	Description
2019 Survey	Based on a traffic survey covering both the AM and PM peaks, carried out on a weekday in March 2019.
2028 Base	The '2019 Survey' scenario with traffic growthed to 2028 and added committed development flows, generated by planning application reference 18F/1316.
2028 Base + Development	The '2028 Base' flows with the additional traffic generated by the proposed development added.
2032 Base	The '2019 Survey' scenario with traffic growthed to 2032 and added committed development flows, generated by planning application reference 18F/1316.
2032 Base + Development	The '2032 Base' flows with the additional traffic generated by the proposed development added.

Source: Mott MacDonald

8.2.3 Traffic flow diagrams for each scenario are provided in Appendix E.

- 8.2.4 In scoping with LCC it was agreed that the following junctions would need to be assessed in terms of traffic impact using computer modelling.
- Walton Lane/Priory Road junction
 - Spellow Lane/Walton Lane/Langham Street
- 8.2.5 In addition to these signal junctions the following priority junctions have been assessed as these will also accommodate some development traffic flow:
- Walton Lane/Bullens Road
 - Walton Lane/Gwladys Street
- 8.2.6 It should be noted that no allowance has been made in the traffic calculations to remove existing traffic from the network which travels to and from Goodison Park in the morning and evening peaks. This has been done to ensure a robust assessment is undertaken.


8.3 Signal junction assessments

- 8.3.1 To assess the signal junctions LinSig has been used, a traffic signal junction modelling tool, the most widely used traffic signal design package in the transport planning industry. In LinSig a Practical Reserve Capacity (PRC) value of 5% or more indicates that a junction is operating within its available capacity and is able to accommodate future growth. The Degree of Saturation (DoS) gives an indication of how much demand an arm is experiencing compared to its total capacity, and a DoS less than 90% indicates that the arm is operating comfortably within its capacity. The Mean Maximum Queue (MMQ) indicator gives an estimate of the average maximum queue length in vehicles which could materialise at each arm of the junction.

Walton Lane/Priory Road

- 8.3.2 This is a three-arm signalised junction, located to the south-east of the proposed development. The network layout is presented in Figure 46 below. The development scenarios described in Table 12 have each been modelled, and the full LinSig output is included in Appendix F.

Figure 46: Walton Lane North/Priory Road/Walton Lane South Network Layout



Source: Liverpool City Council/LinSig

8.3.3 It should be noted that this LinSig model was provided by LCC and takes account of the junction upgrade which is currently in progress at this location (December 2020).. All traffic flow data input into the model however has been calculated by Mott MacDonald.

8.3.4 Results for the AM peak period are summarised in Table 13 below.

Table 13: Walton Lane North/Priory Road/Walton Lane South Model Results – AM Peak

Arm	2028 Base		2028 Base + Dev		2032 Base		2032 Base + Dev	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	79.9	18.1	83.0	19.4	83.0	19.4	86.3	21.3
Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	80.7	19.4	83.8	20.9	83.9	21.0	86.8	22.7
Priory Road Left	79.8	11.8	81.8	12.4	83.0	12.8	84.9	13.5
Priory Road Right	21.3	2.7	21.3	2.7	22.2	2.9	22.2	2.9
Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	65.1	14.1	68.4	15.3	67.7	15.2	71.0	16.5
Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	81.9	8.8	83.2	9.0	85.1	9.3	86.3	9.6

Arm	2028 Base		2028 Base + Dev		2032 Base		2032 Base + Dev	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
PRC	9.9%		7.4%		5.8%		3.6%	

Source: LinSig. MMQ = Mean Max Queue, the average maximum length of queue expected in the hour.

- 8.3.5 In the '2028 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 9.9%. This falls to 7.4% in the '2028 Base + Development' scenario. In the '2032 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 5.8%. This falls to 3.6% in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario. These results indicate that the junction is operating above the 5% PRC threshold in all scenarios apart from the '2032 Base + Development'. Nevertheless, the decrease when compared with the '2032 Base' scenario is small (-2.2%).
- 8.3.6 The degree of saturation (DoS) gives an indication of how much demand an arm is experiencing compared to its total capacity, and a DoS less than 90% indicates that the arm is operating comfortably within its capacity. In all scenarios, the arm with the highest DoS is Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right. However, in the worst-case scenario ('2028 Base + Development'), the value is 86.3%, and is lower than the 90% DoS threshold. This indicates that the arm is capable of accommodating more vehicular traffic.
- 8.3.7 Results for the PM peak period are summarised in Table 14 below.

Table 14: Walton Lane North/Priory Road/Walton Lane South Model Results - PM

Arm	2028 Base		2028 Base + Dev		2032 Base		2032 Base + Dev	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	63.2	12.3	67.0	13.4	65.7	13.0	69.5	14.2
Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	64.2	13.2	68.2	14.4	66.7	13.9	70.6	15.2
Priory Road Left	62.8	5.5	64.8	5.8	65.2	5.8	67.1	6.1
Priory Road Right	19.2	1.9	19.2	1.9	19.9	1.9	19.9	1.9
Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	61.6	12.4	67.0	14.7	63.9	13.4	69.4	15.8
Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	62.5	9.7	66.1	10.2	66.1	10.3	69.8	10.8
PRC	40.1%		32%		35%		27.5%	

Source: LinSig


- 8.3.8 In the '2028 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 40.1%. This falls to 32% in the '2028 Base + Development' scenario. In the '2032 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 35%. This falls to 27.5% in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario. Nevertheless, these results are above the 5% PRC threshold. This indicates that the junction is comfortably within its operational capacity and can accommodate more growth if necessary.
- 8.3.9 In all scenarios, each arm has a similar DoS, barring the Priory Road Right Turn Arm. Nevertheless, no arm reaches a DoS of over 70% in any scenario, which indicates that all arms are capable of accommodating much more vehicular traffic.

- 8.3.10 In each scenario, the arm with the highest MMQ fluctuates between Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead and Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead, although they are very similar. When comparing the '2028 Base' and '2032 Base + Development' scenarios, the MMQ along Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead increases from 12 to 14. In addition to this, the MMQ along Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead increases from 12 to 16. The difference between the MMQ increase on both of these arms is negligible.

Spellow Lane/Walton Lane east/Walton Lane south/Langham Street

- 8.3.11 This is a four-arm signalised junction, located to the south-west of the proposed development, and has been modelled using LinSig. The network layout is presented in Figure 47 below. The development scenarios described in Table 12 have each been modelled, and the full LinSig output is included in Appendix G.

Figure 47: Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Network Layout



Source: Liverpool City Council/LinSig

- 8.3.12 It should be noted that this LinSig model was also provided by LCC, and takes account of the upgrade which is currently underway at this location (December 2020). All traffic flow data input into the model however has been calculated by Mott MacDonald. Results for the AM peak period are summarised in Table 15 below.

Table 15: Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Model Results - AM

Arm	2028 Base		2028 Base + Dev		2032 Base		2032 Base + Dev	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
Walton Lane SB [Ahead Right]	75.4	23.0	79.9	25.2	78.4	24.9	82.9	27.2
Walton Lane SB [Ahead]	74.2	22.3	78.8	24.5	77.3	24.2	82.0	26.6
Walton Lane NB [Ahead Left]	46.6	10.3	53.3	12.0	48.4	10.8	55.2	12.7
Walton Lane NB [Ahead]	46.8	10.3	53.3	12.0	48.7	10.9	55.3	12.7
Spellow Lane [Left]	37.0	6.9	36.8	7.1	38.4	7.2	38.2	7.4
Spellow Lane [Right]	76.4	8.7	80.4	10.7	79.1	9.2	82.7	11.3
PRC	17.8%		12.0%		13.8%		8.6%	

Source: LinSig

8.3.13 In the '2028 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 17.8%. This falls to 12% in the '2028 Base + Development' scenario. In the '2032 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 13.8%. This falls to 8.6% in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario. Nevertheless, these results are above the 5% PRC threshold. This indicates that the junction is comfortably within its operational capacity and can accommodate more growth if necessary. The DoS is under the 90% threshold on every arm in all scenarios.

8.3.14 Results for the PM peak period are summarised in Table 16 below.

Table 16: Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Model Results - PM

Arm	2028 Base		2028 Base + Dev		2032 Base		2032 Base + Dev	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
Walton Lane SB [Ahead Right]	59.2	14.8	64.4	16.5	61.4	15.8	66.8	17.6
Walton Lane SB [Ahead]	58.8	14.7	64.1	16.5	61.0	15.7	66.5	17.5
Walton Lane NB [Ahead Left]	53.1	11.7	60.7	13.7	55.1	12.3	62.9	14.4
Walton Lane NB [Ahead]	54.0	12.1	61.6	13.9	56.1	12.7	63.6	14.6
Spellow Lane [Left]	57.3	12.7	57.1	13.2	59.5	13.4	59.1	13.8
Spellow Lane [Right]	59.5	7.5	62.7	9.1	61.6	7.8	64.8	9.5
PRC	51.2%		39.7%		46%		34.7%	

Source: LinSig

- 8.3.15 In the '2028 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 51.1%. This falls to 39.7% in the '2028 Base + Development' scenario. In the '2032 Base' scenario, the junction has a PRC of 46%. This falls to 34.7% in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario.
- 8.3.16 The DoS on all arms in all scenarios is well below the 90% threshold, with the highest value found along Walton Lane SB [Ahead Right] in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario, representing an MMQ of 17.6. When compared with the '2028 Base' scenario, this represents an increase in DoS of 7.6%, and an MMQ of 2.8, both of which would be difficult to notice by drivers passing through the junction.
- 8.3.17 It should be noted that the traffic data used in the assessment include existing traffic to and from Goodison Park. This traffic has not been removed from the background traffic. This has been done so that a robust assessment is undertaken.


8.4 Priority junction assessments

- 8.4.1 The priority junctions have been modelled using Junctions 9. In Junctions 9, an RFC (ratio of flow to capacity) value of 0.85 or above indicates that the junction could begin to experience queuing and congestion as traffic flow is close to design capacity. An RFC value of 1 or above indicates a junction is operating over its design capacity and will experience congestion and delay.

Walton Lane / Bullens Road

- 8.4.2 Initial model runs of this junction showed that vehicles turning right out of Bullens Road could be delayed on account of the volume of opposing traffic on Walton Lane. This occurred only in the morning peak in the '2032 Base + Development' scenario when there would be an additional 37 vehicles turning out of the junction onto Walton Lane, on account of development. We consider that is reasonable to assume that a proportion of traffic would instead re-route to the Walton Lane / Spellow Lane signal junction to avoid any delay.
- 8.4.3 The majority of this development traffic is generated by the residential Plot A, care home Plot D, as well as the educational Plot F. Therefore, vehicles with an origin or destination in this area will be familiar with the network and know that alternative routes are available. We have re-routed 16 vehicles through the Walton Lane / Spellow Lane signal junction to the Walton Lane / Bullens Road junction in the '2032 Base + Development AM' scenario only.
- 8.4.4 The network layout is presented in Figure 48 below. Traffic flows through the junction input to the model for all other scenarios are as shown in Appendix E. The full Junctions 9 output is provided in Appendix H. Junction modelling results are shown in
- 8.4.5 **Table 17** below.

Figure 48: Walton Lane / Bullens Road Junction Layout



Source: Mott MacDonald

Table 17: Walton Lane / Bullens Road Junction Model Results

Stream	AM		PM	
	RFC	Queue	RFC	Queue
2028 Base				
Stream B-AC	0.55	1.2	0.14	0.2
Stream C-B	0.02	0.0	0.02	0.0
2028 Base + Dev				
Stream B-AC	0.84	4.4	0.31	0.5
Stream C-B	0.11	0.1	0.09	0.1
2032 Base				
Stream B-AC	0.77	3.1	0.15	0.2
Stream C-B	0.02	0.0	0.02	0.0
2032 Base + Dev				
Stream B-AC	0.84	4.3	0.39	0.6
Stream C-B	0.11	0.1	0.10	0.1

Source: Junctions 9

8.4.6

As can be seen, the RFC values at this junction are below the 0.85 threshold in all scenarios, for both the AM and PM peak periods for the years 2028 and 2032 base and base plus development. From this information we conclude that the development would not have a severe impact on the operation of the junction.

Spellow Lane/Walton Lane Sensitivity Test

- 8.4.7 The 16 re-routed vehicles from the Bullens Road / Walton Lane junction would instead utilise the Walton Lane / Spellow Lane junction. These additional vehicles have been added to the worst-case scenario in the LinSig model ('2032 Base + Dev AM') and a sensitivity test has been undertaken. The results of the test are provided in Table 18 below.

Table 18: Spellow / Walton Lane Sensitivity Test

Arm	2032 Base + Dev AM	
	Deg. Sat.	MMQ
Walton Lane SB [Ahead Right]	84.0	27.9
Walton Lane SB [Ahead]	83.4	27.4
Walton Lane NB [Ahead Left]	56.2	12.8
Walton Lane NB [Ahead]	56.3	12.8
Spellow Lane [Left]	37.4	7.2
Spellow Lane [Right]	83.4	11.9
PRC	7.2%	


Source: LinSig

- 8.4.8 When compared with Table 15, the DoS along Spellow Lane [Right] (which is the lane right-turning traffic would utilise) rises from 82.7% by 0.7% to 83.4%. The MMQ rises from 11.3 by 0.6 to 11.9. These increases are marginal and would not be noticeable in reality. Additionally, the junction will operate with a PRC of 7.2% - which is above the 5% threshold. This means that the junction would be capable of accommodating additional future growth.

Walton Lane / Gwladys Street

- 8.4.9 Baseline traffic flows at this junction have been calculated using the traffic survey results from the Walton Lane/Priory Road survey, Gwladys Street / Bullens Road and Walton Lane / Bullens Road Survey. The network layout is presented in Figure 49 below. The full Junctions 9 output is provided in Appendix I.

Figure 49: Walton Lane / Gwladys Street Junction Layout



Source: Mott MacDonald

8.4.10

The results of the assessment are shown below in Table 19.

Table 19: Walton Lane / Gwladys Street Junction Model Results

Stream	AM		PM	
	RFC	Queue	RFC	Queue
2028 Base				
Stream B-AC	0.15	0.2	0.11	0.1
Stream C-B	0.07	0.1	0.00	0.0
2028 Base + Dev				
Stream B-AC	0.33	0.5	0.19	0.2
Stream C-B	0.26	0.4	0.11	0.1
2032 Base				
Stream B-AC	0.17	0.2	0.12	0.1
Stream C-B	0.07	0.1	0.00	0.0
2032 Base + Dev				
Stream B-AC	0.38	0.6	0.21	0.3
Stream C-B	0.27	0.1	0.03	0.0

Source: Junctions 9

The results indicate that the junction is operating well under the 0.85 RFC threshold in all scenarios in the AM and PM peaks. When comparing the '2032 Base AM' with the '2032 Base + Dev AM' scenario, the RFC increases from 0.17 by 0.21 to 0.38. Additionally, the queue length increases from 0.2 by 0.4 to 0.6. Both increases indicate that the impact of the proposed development on the junction is to be negligible, and the junction is capable of accommodating additional vehicular traffic in the future.

9 Summary & Conclusions

9.1 Introduction

- 9.1.1 Everton Stadium Development Limited (hereafter 'Everton') has appointed Mott MacDonald to prepare this Transport Assessment (TA) to support an outline planning application for the proposed redevelopment of Goodison Park following Everton's proposed relocation to Bramley-Moore Dock as part of 'The People's Project'. The outline planning application is submitted with all matters reserved (application reference 20O/0997).
- 9.1.2 The People's Project encompasses the development of Everton's new stadium at Bramley-Moore Dock and the Goodison Park Legacy Project - the redevelopment of Goodison Park stadium to form a mixed-use development which includes a range of community-led facilities as well as residential dwellings and commercial space.
- 9.1.3 Goodison Park is located in L4, Walton: approximately 4km north of Liverpool city centre. The site is well connected to the local road network and is well placed to take advantage of established public transport, pedestrian and cycle connectivity
- 9.1.4 A planning application for the development was submitted in April 2020. Since then the design of the development has changed to take account of urban design related comments from Liverpool City Council and the 'Places Matter' review panel. These amendments incorporated changes to the layout of the proposed development, however in transport terms the main changes to the masterplan are the creation of two additional east-west routes through the development site between Goodison Road and Bullens Road, and the reconfiguration of parking from standalone car parks to managed spaces located on privately maintained streets. This Transport Assessment takes account of the revised scheme and the changes included.
- 9.1.5 The scope of this TA has been agreed with LCC. A review of accessibility has been undertaken and the site benefits from excellent walking, cycling and public transport connections; all of which are well-utilised for the site's current use of hosting football matches. It is therefore considered that the future residents, employees and visitors of the site will have a good choice of travel modes to and from the site.
- 9.1.6 The proposed uses at the development have passed the MASA (Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment) which has been undertaken in accordance with Liverpool City Council's 'Ensuring a Choice of Travel Supplementary Planning Document. The Transport Assessment demonstrates that the proposed development has sufficient parking provided for all uses proposed in general accordance with LCC's parking standards as set out in the aforementioned document.
- 9.1.7 Traffic generation has been calculated using the TRICS database. Additionally, 2011 Census data has been used to distribute traffic to and from the development site. Junction modelling was undertaken for the following junctions:
- Walton Lane/Priory Road;
 - Spellow Lane/Walton Lane/Langham Street;
 - Walton Lane/Bullens Road;
 - Walton Lane/Gwladys Street.

- 9.1.8 The junction modelling shows that the development will not have a severe impact on the surrounding road network. As noted, prior, the assessment represents a worst-case scenario which uses robust parameters and the existing traffic generated by Goodison Park has not been removed from the capacity assessment calculations.

9.2 Scheme alignment with policy

- 9.2.1 Following the policy context presented in Section 2, it is clear that that the proposed development supports and fulfils the policy guidelines and regulations relevant to it. An overview of alignment with policy is now provided.

National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

- 9.2.2 The Goodison Park Legacy Project complies with the policy guidance set out in the NPPF (2019) paragraphs 108-111, which requires all developments that will generate significant amounts of movements to be supported by a Transport Assessment.
- 9.2.3 The work undertaken to develop the Transport Assessment has been developed in line with the NPPF to take into account whether “appropriate opportunities to promote sustainable transport modes have been taken up”, that “safe and suitable access... can be achieved” and that “any significant impacts from the development on the transport network... can be cost effectively mitigated...” (para. 108). This has been demonstrated within the development proposals description in Section 6 and in the traffic impact analysis included as Section 8.
- 9.2.4 The development proposals and the measures set out within the Framework Travel Plan, which accompanies this planning application, will encourage sustainable travel where practical. The transport demand generated by the development has been assessed with the conclusion that it will not have a material impact on the operation of the transport network (Section 8). We consider that the impact on the operation of the transport network would not be severe (the threshold identified in NPPF paragraph 109).

Unitary Development Plan (UDP) (2002)

- 9.2.5 The Goodison Park Legacy Project also aligns with the requirements of the City of Liverpool's Unitary Development Plan (2002). This document highlights the need to manage private car usage, promote public transport usage and encourage active travel uptake (Policies GEN6, T4, T6, T7, T8, T11, T12, T13 & T15). As described in some detail, the proposed development is well placed to take advantage of existing public transport, walking and cycling measures as outlined in Section 3 and the proposed development will be well connected to the surrounding sustainable transport networks as described in Section 6. The impact of the proposed development on the operation of the surrounding road network is set out in detail in Section 8.

Liverpool Local Plan (2018)

- 9.2.6 Liverpool's Local Plan (submission draft, 2018) states that development proposals should make the best use of existing transport infrastructure. Where this cannot be achieved, development should be phased to coincide with new transport infrastructure provision (Policy TP1). Policy TP2 requires that the impact of developments is considered in Transport Assessments. Policies TP5, TP6, TP7, & TP8 require that all developments are accessible by walking, cycling, and public transport and can be adequately serviced. The work undertaken within this TA assesses the available carrying capacity of the existing highway network and demonstrates that the development can be accommodated without capacity enhancements in Section 8. It is clearly demonstrated that the site is accessible by walking, cycling and public transport in Sections 3 &

6 of this Transport Assessment. The impact of the development on the road network is set out in Section 8.

Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (2008)

- 9.2.7 The Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (2008) provides guidance on access and transport requirements for new development. The document identifies that for the proposed range of land uses a series of prescribed standards should apply.
- 9.2.8 The proposed development, as described in Section 6, adheres to these standards. In addition, the SPD outlines details of the Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment which must be completed for developments. This is also included in Section 6. The proposed development meets the requirements of the MASA.

Design for Access for All SPD (2011)

- 9.2.9 The Design for Access for All SPD highlights the most important principles in designing inclusive developments, which meet the needs for all users including disabled people. Part 3 of the SPD sets out design guidance to provide accessible footways and crossings, as well as appropriate provision of disabled parking. These principles will be taken into account at the detailed design stage, when future reserved matters submissions are made.

9.3 Conclusions

- 9.3.1 In conclusion, this Transport Assessment has demonstrated that the proposed Goodison Park Legacy Project can be accommodated by the existing transport network and the measures proposed. It is considered that the application proposal is acceptable in transport planning terms (having regard to the statutory development plan and other material considerations).

Appendices

A.	Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessments (MASAs)	97
B.	TRICS Output Data	98
C.	Flow Distribution Diagrams	99
D.	Trip Generation Flow Diagrams	100
E.	Assessment Scenarios Flow Diagrams	101
F.	Walton Lane/Priory Road Full LinSig Outputs	102
G.	Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Full LinSig Outputs	103
H.	Walton Lane - Bullens Road Junctions 9 Output	104
I.	Walton Lane - Gwladys Street Junctions 9 Output	105
J.	Scoping Correspondence	106
K.	Proposed Highway Adoption Plan	107
L.	Technical Note: Car Parking & Trip Generation	108

A. Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessments (MASAs)

Proposal	Goodison Legacy (D1) - Other Urban - Major & Large		
Address:	Former Goodison Park Site, Walton Lane Block H - Use Class: D1		
Completed By:	JMc		
Has a diagram been submitted which shows how people move to and through the development and how this links to the surrounding roads, footpaths and sight lines? (This can be included within the Design and Access Statement, see Section 2.25.) If a diagram has not been submitted your application may not be processed.			
			Yes / No
Access on Foot		Points	Score
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian access to and within the site, and for pedestrians passing the site (2m minimum width footpath on both sides of the road)? If no your application must address safe pedestrian access.		Yes
Location	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	0
Internal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1
		No	0
External Layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting.	There are barriers	-2
		There are no barriers	1
Other	The development links to identified recreational walking network (see Accessibility Map 1). If no, please provide reasons why not.		Yes
			Total (B)
			4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	4	Comments to correct shortfall: N/A
	Box B: Actual Score	4	
Access by Cycle		Points	Score
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.		No
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.		Yes
Location	Residential Development Is the development within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	0
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1
		No	0

External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.		1	1
	The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.		-1	
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
			Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Actual Score	5		
Access by Public Transport			Points	Score
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2
		No	0	
	Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail stations? ^{i.e.} A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.	There are barriers	0	1
		There are no barriers	1	
Frequency	High (four or more bus services or trains an hour)		2	2
	Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)		0	
	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)		0	
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site		1	0
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site		1	1
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service		1	0
			Total (B):	6
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	6	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Total Score	6		
Vehicle Access and Parking			Points	Score
Vehicle access and circulation	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.			Yes
	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.			Yes
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.			No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.			Yes
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.			N/A
	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type.	Yes	1	0
		No	0	

Parking	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)		Yes	2	0
			No	0	
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?		Yes	1	0
			No	0	
	Supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces), or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)		Yes	1	1
			No	0	
Total (B): 1					
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct shortfall:		
	Box B: Total Score	1			

Proposal	Goodison Legacy (C3) - Other Urban - Major & Large		
Address:	Former Goodison Park Site, Walton Lane Block A - Use Class: C3		
Completed By:	JMc		
<p>Has a diagram been submitted which shows how people move to and through the development and how this links to the surrounding roads, footpaths and sight lines? (This can be included within the Design and Access Statement, see Section 2.25.) If a diagram has not been submitted your application may not be processed.</p>			
Access on Foot			Points
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian access to and within the site, and for pedestrians passing the site (2m minimum width footpath on both sides of the road)? If no your application must address safe pedestrian access.		Score
Location	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
	Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	No	0
Internal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1
		No	0
External Layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting.	There are barriers	-2
		There are no barriers	1
Other	The development links to identified recreational walking network (see Accessibility Map 1). If no, please provide reasons why not.		Yes
			Total (B)
			4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	4	Comments to correct shortfall: N/A
	Box B: Actual Score	4	
Access by Cycle			Points
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.		Score
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.		Yes
Location	Residential Development Is the development within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	0
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1
		No	0

External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.		1	1
	The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.		-1	
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
			Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Actual Score	5		
Access by Public Transport			Points	Score
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2
		No	0	
	Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail stations? ^{i.e.} A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.	There are barriers	0	1
		There are no barriers	1	
Frequency	High (four or more bus services or trains an hour)		2	2
	Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)		0	
	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)		0	
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site		1	N/A
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site		1	N/A
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service		1	N/A
			Total (B):	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Total Score	5		
Vehicle Access and Parking			Points	Score
Vehicle access and circulation	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.			Yes
	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.			Yes
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.			No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.			Yes
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.			N/A
	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type.	Yes	1	0
		No	0	

Parking	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)		Yes	2	0
			No	0	
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?		Yes	1	0
			No	0	
	Supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces), or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)		Yes	1	1
			No	0	
Total (B): 1					
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct shortfall:		
	Box B: Total Score	1			

Proposal	BMD (B1) - Other Urban - Major & Large		
Address:	Former Goodison Park Site, Walton Lane Block D1 - Use Class: B1		
Completed By:	JMc		
Has a diagram been submitted which shows how people move to and through the development and how this links to the surrounding roads, footpaths and sight lines? (This can be included within the Design and Access Statement, see Section 2.25.) If a diagram has not been submitted your application may not be processed.			
Access on Foot		Points	Score
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian access to and within the site, and for pedestrians passing the site (2m minimum width footpath on both sides of the road)? If no your application must address safe pedestrian access.		Yes
Location	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
	No	0	
Internal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1
		No	
External Layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting.	There are barriers	1
		There are no barriers	
Other	The development links to identified recreational walking network (see Accessibility Map 1). If no, please provide reasons why not.		Yes
		Total (B)	4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	4	Comments to correct shortfall: N/A
	Box B: Actual Score	4	
Access by Cycle		Points	Score
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.		No
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.		Yes
Location	Residential Development Is the development within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1
		No	

External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.		1	1
	The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.		-1	
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
			Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Actual Score	5		
Access by Public Transport			Points	Score
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2
		No	0	
	Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail stations? ^{i.e.} A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.	There are barriers	0	1
		There are no barriers	1	
Frequency	High (four or more bus services or trains an hour)		2	2
	Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)		0	
	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)		0	
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site		1	0
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site		1	1
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service		1	0
			Total (B):	6
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	6	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Total Score	6		
Vehicle Access and Parking			Points	Score
Vehicle access and circulation	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.			Yes
	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.			Yes
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.			No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.			Yes
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.			N/A
	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type.	Yes	1	0
		No	0	

Parking	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)		Yes	2	0
			No	0	
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?		Yes	1	0
			No	0	
	Supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces), or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)		Yes	1	1
			No	0	
Total (B): 1					
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct shortfall:		
	Box B: Total Score	1			

Proposal	BMD (B1) - Other Urban - Major & Large		
Address:	Former Goodison Park Site, Walton Lane Block D2 - Use Class: B1		
Completed By:	JMc		
Has a diagram been submitted which shows how people move to and through the development and how this links to the surrounding roads, footpaths and sight lines? (This can be included within the Design and Access Statement, see Section 2.25.) If a diagram has not been submitted your application may not be processed.			
Access on Foot		Points	Score
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian access to and within the site, and for pedestrians passing the site (2m minimum width footpath on both sides of the road)? If no your application must address safe pedestrian access.		Yes
Location	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
	No	0	
Internal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1
		No	
External Layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting.	There are barriers	1
		There are no barriers	
Other	The development links to identified recreational walking network (see Accessibility Map 1). If no, please provide reasons why not.		Yes
		Total (B)	4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	4	Comments to correct shortfall: N/A
	Box B: Actual Score	4	
Access by Cycle		Points	Score
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.		No
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.		Yes
Location	Residential Development Is the development within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1
		No	

External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.		1	1
	The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.		-1	
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
			Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Actual Score	5		
Access by Public Transport			Points	Score
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2
		No	0	
	Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail stations? ^{i.e.} A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.	There are barriers	0	1
		There are no barriers	1	
Frequency	High (four or more bus services or trains an hour)		2	2
	Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)		0	
	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)		0	
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site		1	0
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site		1	1
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service		1	0
			Total (B):	6
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	6	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Total Score	6		
Vehicle Access and Parking			Points	Score
Vehicle access and circulation	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.			Yes
	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.			Yes
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.			No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.			Yes
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.			N/A
	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type.	Yes	1	0
		No	0	

Parking	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)		Yes	2	0
			No	0	
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?		Yes	1	0
			No	0	
	Supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces), or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)		Yes	1	1
			No	0	
Total (B): 1					
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct shortfall:		
	Box B: Total Score	1			

Proposal	Goodison Legacy (C3) - Other Urban - Major & Large		
Address:	Former Goodison Park Site, Walton Lane Block E - Use Class: C3		
Completed By:	JMc		
<p>Has a diagram been submitted which shows how people move to and through the development and how this links to the surrounding roads, footpaths and sight lines? (This can be included within the Design and Access Statement, see Section 2.25.) If a diagram has not been submitted your application may not be processed.</p>			
Access on Foot			Points
Safety	Is there safe pedestrian access to and within the site, and for pedestrians passing the site (2m minimum width footpath on both sides of the road)? If no your application must address safe pedestrian access.		Score
Location	Housing Development: Is the development within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
	Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	No	0
Internal Layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all; with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1
		No	0
External Layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting.	There are barriers	-2
		There are no barriers	1
Other	The development links to identified recreational walking network (see Accessibility Map 1). If no, please provide reasons why not.		Yes
			Total (B)
			4
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	4	Comments to correct shortfall: N/A
	Box B: Actual Score	4	
Access by Cycle			Points
Safety	Are there safety issues for cyclists either turning into or out of the site or a road junctions within 400m of the site (e.g. dangerous right turns for cyclists due to the level of traffic)? If yes, you must address safety issues in your application.		Score
Cycle Parking	Does the development meet cycle parking standards, in a secure location with natural surveillance, or where appropriate contribute to communal cycle parking facilities? If no, you must address cycle parking standards and cycle parking facilities.		Yes
Location	Residential Development Is the development within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Other development: Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2
		No	0
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes; with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1
		No	0

External Access	The development is within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route and / or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.		1	1
	The development is not within 400m of an existing or proposed cycle route.		-1	
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities and lockers for cyclists	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
			Total (B)	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Actual Score	5		
Access by Public Transport			Points	Score
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m safe and convenient walking distance of a bus stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2
		No	0	
	Are there barriers on direct and safe pedestrian routes to bus stops or rail stations? ^{i.e.} A lack of dropped kerbs; Pavements less than 2m wide; A lack of formal crossings where there is heavy traffic; or bus stop infrastructure.	There are barriers	0	1
		There are no barriers	1	
Frequency	High (four or more bus services or trains an hour)		2	2
	Medium (two or three bus services or trains an hour)		0	
	Low (less than two bus services or trains an hour)		0	
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site		1	0
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site		1	0
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new bus service		1	0
			Total (B):	5
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (from Table 3.1)	5	Comments to correct shortfall:	
	Box B: Total Score	5		
Vehicle Access and Parking			Points	Score
Vehicle access and circulation	Is there safe access to and from the road? If no, you must address safety issues.			Yes
	Can the site be adequately serviced? If no, you must address service issues.			Yes
	Is the safety and convenience of other users (pedestrians, cyclists and public transport) affected by the proposal? If yes, you must address safety issues.			No
	Has access for the emergency services been provided? If no, you must provide emergency service provision.			Yes
	For development which generates significant freight movements, is the site easily accessed from the road or rail freight route networks (i.e. minimising the impact of traffic on local roads and neighbourhoods) (see Accessibility Map 3 in Appendix F)? If no, please provide an explanation.			N/A
	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type.	Yes	1	0
		No	0	

Parking	The off-street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development)		Yes	2	0
			No	0	
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?		Yes	1	0
			No	0	
	Supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces), or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)		Yes	1	1
			No	0	
Total (B): 1					
Summary	Box A: Minimum Standard (From Table 3.1)	1	Comments to correct shortfall:		
	Box B: Total Score	1			

B. TRICS Output Data

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0559

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 03 - RESIDENTIAL
 Category : C - FLATS PRIVATELY OWNED
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	ES EAST SUSSEX	1 days
03	SOUTH WEST	
	DC DORSET	1 days
04	EAST ANGLIA	
	CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE	2 days
	SF SUFFOLK	1 days
05	EAST MIDLANDS	
	NT NOTTINGHAMSHIRE	2 days
09	NORTH	
	CB CUMBRIA	1 days
	TV TEES VALLEY	1 days
10	WALES	
	DB DENBIGHSHIRE	1 days
11	SCOTLAND	
	EB CITY OF EDINBURGH	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Number of dwellings
 Actual Range: 14 to 135 (units:)
 Range Selected by User: 6 to 215 (units:)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Percentage of dwellings privately owned: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 05/06/18

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	2 days
Tuesday	4 days
Wednesday	3 days
Friday	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	11 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	10
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	1

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	7
No Sub Category	4

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

C3 11 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

1,001 to 5,000	3 days
10,001 to 15,000	2 days
20,001 to 25,000	2 days
25,001 to 50,000	3 days
50,001 to 100,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

25,001 to 50,000	1 days
50,001 to 75,000	3 days
125,001 to 250,000	2 days
250,001 to 500,000	5 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	3 days
1.1 to 1.5	8 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

No 11 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present 11 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/C - FLATS PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	11	54	0.062	11	54	0.134	11	54	0.196
08:00 - 09:00	11	54	0.064	11	54	0.229	11	54	0.293
09:00 - 10:00	11	54	0.084	11	54	0.130	11	54	0.214
10:00 - 11:00	11	54	0.075	11	54	0.090	11	54	0.165
11:00 - 12:00	11	54	0.082	11	54	0.080	11	54	0.162
12:00 - 13:00	11	54	0.080	11	54	0.072	11	54	0.152
13:00 - 14:00	11	54	0.079	11	54	0.085	11	54	0.164
14:00 - 15:00	11	54	0.105	11	54	0.109	11	54	0.214
15:00 - 16:00	11	54	0.110	11	54	0.077	11	54	0.187
16:00 - 17:00	11	54	0.110	11	54	0.092	11	54	0.202
17:00 - 18:00	11	54	0.196	11	54	0.094	11	54	0.290
18:00 - 19:00	11	54	0.134	11	54	0.080	11	54	0.214
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			1.181			1.272			2.453

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	14 - 135 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 05/06/18
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	11
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704107-191112-1132

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 02 - EMPLOYMENT

Category : A - OFFICE

VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	HC HAMPSHIRE	1 days
	SC SURREY	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	WM WEST MIDLANDS	1 days
08	NORTH WEST	
	LC LANCASHIRE	1 days
09	NORTH	
	TW TYNE & WEAR	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 1800 to 10293 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 1000 to 20000 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 14/03/19

*This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.*Selected survey days:

Monday	1 days
Tuesday	3 days
Friday	1 days

*This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.*Selected survey types:

Manual count	5 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

*This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.*Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	5
------------------------------------	---

*This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.*Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	3
Built-Up Zone	1
No Sub Category	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

B1	5 days
----	--------

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

5,001 to 10,000	1 days
10,001 to 15,000	1 days
25,001 to 50,000	3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

125,001 to 250,000	1 days
250,001 to 500,000	2 days
500,001 or More	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	2 days
1.1 to 1.5	3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes	1 days
No	4 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	5 days
-----------------	--------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	HC-02-A-12	HMRC	HAMPSHIRE
	NORTHERN ROAD		
	PORTSMOUTH		
	COSHAM		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	No Sub Category		
	Total Gross floor area:		10100 sqm
	Survey date: MONDAY		23/11/15
2	LC-02-A-09	OFFICES	LANCASHIRE
	FURTHERGATE		
	BLACKBURN		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Built-Up Zone		
	Total Gross floor area:		2600 sqm
	Survey date: TUESDAY		04/06/13
3	SC-02-A-17	PHARMACEUTICALS	SURREY
	ST GEORGE'S AVENUE		
	WEYBRIDGE		
	THE HEATH		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Gross floor area:		10293 sqm
	Survey date: TUESDAY		18/10/11
4	TW-02-A-08	HOUSING ASSOCIATION OFFICE	TYNE & WEAR
	BENTON PARK ROAD		
	NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE		
	LONGBENTON		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Gross floor area:		4800 sqm
	Survey date: FRIDAY		19/10/18
5	WM-02-A-04	OFFICE	WEST MIDLANDS
	BOURNVILLE LANE		
	BIRMINGHAM		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Gross floor area:		1800 sqm
	Survey date: TUESDAY		10/11/15

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

Mott MacDonald Pier Head Liverpool

Licence No: 704107

TRIP RATE for Land Use 02 - EMPLOYMENT/A - OFFICE
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00	1	10100	1.109	1	10100	0.168	1	10100	1.277
07:00 - 08:00	5	5919	0.774	5	5919	0.081	5	5919	0.855
08:00 - 09:00	5	5919	1.183	5	5919	0.112	5	5919	1.295
09:00 - 10:00	5	5919	0.720	5	5919	0.223	5	5919	0.943
10:00 - 11:00	5	5919	0.253	5	5919	0.176	5	5919	0.429
11:00 - 12:00	5	5919	0.189	5	5919	0.206	5	5919	0.395
12:00 - 13:00	5	5919	0.277	5	5919	0.399	5	5919	0.676
13:00 - 14:00	5	5919	0.311	5	5919	0.341	5	5919	0.652
14:00 - 15:00	5	5919	0.193	5	5919	0.402	5	5919	0.595
15:00 - 16:00	5	5919	0.149	5	5919	0.672	5	5919	0.821
16:00 - 17:00	5	5919	0.196	5	5919	0.865	5	5919	1.061
17:00 - 18:00	5	5919	0.145	5	5919	0.858	5	5919	1.003
18:00 - 19:00	5	5919	0.027	5	5919	0.348	5	5919	0.375
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:		5.526				4.851			10.377

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	1800 - 10293 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 14/03/19
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	5
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704107-191112-1124

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 03 - RESIDENTIAL
 Category : O - RETIREMENT AND CARE COMMUNITY
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	KC KENT	1 days
	OX OXFORDSHIRE	1 days
	SC SURREY	1 days
03	SOUTH WEST	
	BR BRISTOL CITY	2 days
	DV DEVON	1 days
	NS NORTH SOMERSET	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Number of dwellings
 Actual Range: 39 to 137 (units:)
 Range Selected by User: 36 to 149 (units:)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Percentage of dwellings privately owned: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 27/11/15

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Tuesday	2 days
Wednesday	2 days
Thursday	2 days
Friday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	7 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	3
Edge of Town	3
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	1

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	7
------------------	---

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

Not Known 1 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

5,001 to 10,000	1 days
15,001 to 20,000	1 days
20,001 to 25,000	2 days
25,001 to 50,000	3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

50,001 to 75,000	1 days
100,001 to 125,000	2 days
125,001 to 250,000	2 days
250,001 to 500,000	1 days
500,001 or More	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	1 days
1.1 to 1.5	6 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes	1 days
No	6 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	7 days
-----------------	--------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	BR-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	BRI STOL CITY
	HOLLWAY ROAD		
	BRISTOL		
	STOCKWOOD		
	Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	58	
	Survey date: TUESDAY	22/09/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
2	BR-03-O-02	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	BRI STOL CITY
	MEG THATCHERS GARDENS		
	BRISTOL		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	49	
	Survey date: FRIDAY	18/09/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
3	DV-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	DEVON
	ST MARYCHURCH ROAD		
	TORQUAY		
	ST MARYCHURCH		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	45	
	Survey date: TUESDAY	29/09/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
4	KC-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	KENT
	RUMFIELDS ROAD		
	BROADSTAIRS		
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	40	
	Survey date: THURSDAY	19/11/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
5	NS-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	NORTH SOMERSET
	DIAMOND BATCH		
	WESTON SUPER MARE		
	WORLE		
	Edge of Town		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	137	
	Survey date: THURSDAY	24/09/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
6	OX-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	OXFORDSHIRE
	RUSKIN ROAD		
	BANBURY		
	EASINGTON		
	Edge of Town		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	70	
	Survey date: WEDNESDAY	11/11/15	Survey Type: MANUAL
7	SC-03-O-01	RETIREMENT VILLAGE	SURREY
	WESTFIELD ROAD		
	WOKING		
	Edge of Town		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Number of dwellings:	39	
	Survey date: WEDNESDAY	18/11/15	Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/O - RETIREMENT AND CARE COMMUNITY
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	7	63	0.059	7	63	0.030	7	63	0.089
08:00 - 09:00	7	63	0.114	7	63	0.064	7	63	0.178
09:00 - 10:00	7	63	0.212	7	63	0.135	7	63	0.347
10:00 - 11:00	7	63	0.146	7	63	0.158	7	63	0.304
11:00 - 12:00	7	63	0.153	7	63	0.151	7	63	0.304
12:00 - 13:00	7	63	0.123	7	63	0.146	7	63	0.269
13:00 - 14:00	7	63	0.176	7	63	0.192	7	63	0.368
14:00 - 15:00	7	63	0.158	7	63	0.185	7	63	0.343
15:00 - 16:00	7	63	0.148	7	63	0.183	7	63	0.331
16:00 - 17:00	7	63	0.132	7	63	0.132	7	63	0.264
17:00 - 18:00	7	63	0.071	7	63	0.116	7	63	0.187
18:00 - 19:00	7	63	0.066	7	63	0.066	7	63	0.132
19:00 - 20:00	7	63	0.039	7	63	0.046	7	63	0.085
20:00 - 21:00	7	63	0.030	7	63	0.057	7	63	0.087
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			1.627			1.661			3.288

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: 39 - 137 (units:)
 Survey date range: 01/01/11 - 27/11/15
 Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): 7
 Number of Saturdays: 0
 Number of Sundays: 0
 Surveys automatically removed from selection: 0
 Surveys manually removed from selection: 0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704107-191111-1119

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 04 - EDUCATION
 Category : A - PRIMARY
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

04	EAST ANGLIA	
	SF SUFFOLK	1 days
05	EAST MIDLANDS	
	LN LINCOLNSHIRE	1 days
	NR NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	1 days
07	YORKSHIRE & NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE	
	WY WEST YORKSHIRE	2 days
08	NORTH WEST	
	MS MERSEYSIDE	1 days
09	NORTH	
	TW TYNE & WEAR	1 days
10	WALES	
	MT MERTHYR TYDFIL	1 days
11	SCOTLAND	
	DU DUNDEE CITY	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 1000 to 3756 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 1000 to 4800 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 15/03/19

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	3 days
Wednesday	2 days
Thursday	3 days
Friday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	9 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	7
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	2

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	9
------------------	---

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

D1

9 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

5,001 to 10,000

2 days

10,001 to 15,000

3 days

15,001 to 20,000

1 days

20,001 to 25,000

1 days

25,001 to 50,000

2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

50,001 to 75,000

2 days

75,001 to 100,000

1 days

125,001 to 250,000

1 days

250,001 to 500,000

3 days

500,001 or More

2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0

5 days

1.1 to 1.5

4 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes

1 days

No

8 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present

9 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	DU-04-A-01 FALKLAND CRESCENT DUNDEE BROUGHTY FERRY Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: MONDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 3288 sqm 21/05/12	DUNDEE CITY	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
2	LN-04-A-01 GONERBY HILL FOOT GRANTHAM Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: WEDNESDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 1990 sqm 12/06/13	LINCOLNSHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
3	MS-04-A-02 BOOKER AVENUE LIVERPOOL ALVERTON Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: THURSDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 2500 sqm 13/06/13	MERSEYSIDE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
4	MT-04-A-01 BRECON ROAD MERTHYR TYDFIL Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: FRIDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 1000 sqm 18/10/13	MERTHYR TYDFIL	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
5	NR-04-A-03 BOOTH LANE NORTH NORTHAMPTON Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: THURSDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 2635 sqm 24/03/16	NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
6	SF-04-A-03 ENSTONE ROAD LOWESTOFT KIRKLEY Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: WEDNESDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 2550 sqm 10/12/14	SUFFOLK	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
7	TW-04-A-01 GLYNWOOD GARDENS GATESHEAD Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: MONDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 2900 sqm 07/10/13	TYNE & WEAR	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
8	WY-04-A-01 SHAKESPEARE AVENUE LEEDS Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: THURSDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 3756 sqm 19/09/13	WEST YORKSHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
9	WY-04-A-02 TOWN STREET LEEDS Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: MONDAY</i>	PRIMARY SCHOOL 3150 sqm 19/10/15	WEST YORKSHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

MANUALLY DESELECTED SITES

Site Ref	Reason for Deselection
CF-04-A-01	size
LC-04-A-06	size

Mott MacDonald Pier Head Liverpool

Licence No: 704107

TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/A - PRIMARY
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00	1	1990	0.000	1	1990	0.000	1	1990	0.000
06:00 - 07:00	1	1990	0.201	1	1990	0.050	1	1990	0.251
07:00 - 08:00	9	2641	0.783	9	2641	0.366	9	2641	1.149
08:00 - 09:00	9	2641	3.118	9	2641	2.512	9	2641	5.630
09:00 - 10:00	9	2641	0.459	9	2641	0.673	9	2641	1.132
10:00 - 11:00	9	2641	0.172	9	2641	0.156	9	2641	0.328
11:00 - 12:00	9	2641	0.194	9	2641	0.147	9	2641	0.341
12:00 - 13:00	9	2641	0.210	9	2641	0.257	9	2641	0.467
13:00 - 14:00	9	2641	0.147	9	2641	0.210	9	2641	0.357
14:00 - 15:00	9	2641	0.496	9	2641	0.257	9	2641	0.753
15:00 - 16:00	9	2641	2.457	9	2641	2.604	9	2641	5.061
16:00 - 17:00	9	2641	0.353	9	2641	0.921	9	2641	1.274
17:00 - 18:00	9	2641	0.261	9	2641	0.400	9	2641	0.661
18:00 - 19:00	8	2846	0.004	8	2846	0.132	8	2846	0.136
19:00 - 20:00	1	1990	0.000	1	1990	0.000	1	1990	0.000
20:00 - 21:00	1	1990	0.000	1	1990	0.503	1	1990	0.503
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			8.855			9.188			18.043

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	1000 - 3756 (units: sqm)
Survey date range:	01/01/11 - 15/03/19
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	9
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	2

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704107-191111-1145

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 01 - RETAIL
 Category : I - SHOPPING CENTRE - LOCAL SHOPS
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	EX ESSEX	1 days
03	SOUTH WEST	
	BR BRISTOL CITY	1 days
	DV DEVON	1 days
04	EAST ANGLIA	
	CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	WO WORCESTERSHIRE	1 days
08	NORTH WEST	
	CH CHESHIRE	2 days
	LC LANCASHIRE	1 days
09	NORTH	
	TV TEES VALLEY	2 days
	TW TYNE & WEAR	2 days
13	MUNSTER	
	CR CORK	1 days
15	GREATER DUBLIN	
	DL DUBLIN	2 days
17	ULSTER (NORTHERN IRELAND)	
	DE DERRY	2 days
	DO DOWN	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 260 to 4052 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 210 to 84009 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 24/05/19

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	3 days
Tuesday	3 days
Wednesday	4 days
Thursday	3 days
Friday	5 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	18 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	7
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	11

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	14
Retail Zone	1
High Street	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

n/a	1 days
A1	2 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

1,001 to 5,000	1 days
5,001 to 10,000	3 days
10,001 to 15,000	3 days
20,001 to 25,000	4 days
25,001 to 50,000	7 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

25,001 to 50,000	1 days
75,001 to 100,000	3 days
100,001 to 125,000	2 days
125,001 to 250,000	5 days
250,001 to 500,000	6 days
500,001 or More	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	6 days
1.1 to 1.5	12 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Petrol filling station:

Included in the survey count	0 days
Excluded from count or no filling station	18 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that include petrol filling station activity, and the number of surveys that do not.

Travel Plan:

No	18 days
----	---------

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	18 days
-----------------	---------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	BR-01-I-01 BELLAND DRIVE BRISTOL WHITCHURCH Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: TUESDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 770 sqm 22/09/15	BRISTOL CITY	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
2	CA-01-I-01 WARWICK ROAD PETERBOROUGH Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: MONDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 478 sqm 17/10/11	CAMBRIDGESHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
3	CH-01-I-02 CHRISTLETON ROAD CHESTER BOUGHTON HEATH Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: TUESDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 260 sqm 15/05/12	CHESHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
4	CH-01-I-03 MILL LANE CHESTER BACHE Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: THURSDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 365 sqm 17/05/12	CHESHIRE	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
5	CR-01-I-01 BISHOPSTOWN ROAD CORK WILTON Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Retail Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: FRIDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 1575 sqm 23/03/18	CORK	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
6	DE-01-I-01 ROSSDOWNY PARK LONDONDERRY CLOONEY Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: WEDNESDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 820 sqm 20/06/12	DERRY	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
7	DE-01-I-02 BEECHWOOD AVENUE LONDONDERRY Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: THURSDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 1425 sqm 21/06/12	DERRY	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>
8	DL-01-I-03 RAVENSDALE PARK DUBLIN TERENURE Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: <i>Survey date: WEDNESDAY</i>	LOCAL SHOPS 2442 sqm 28/09/11	DUBLIN	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i>

Mott MacDonald Pier Head Liverpool

Licence No: 704107

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)

9	DL-01-I-07 DUNDRUM ROAD DUBLIN WINDY ARBOUR Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) No Sub Category Total Gross floor area: 1034 sqm Survey date: WEDNESDAY 01/10/14	LOCAL SHOPS DUBLIN	DUBLIN	Survey Type: MANUAL
10	DO-01-I-01 COMBER ROAD BELFAST DUNDONALD Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) No Sub Category Total Gross floor area: 1305 sqm Survey date: FRIDAY 25/11/11	LOCAL SHOPS DUNDONALD	DOWN	Survey Type: MANUAL
11	DV-01-I-01 TORRIDGE WAY PLYMOUTH EFFORD Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 470 sqm Survey date: TUESDAY 17/07/12	LOCAL SHOPS PLYMOUTH	DEVON	Survey Type: MANUAL
12	EX-01-I-02 QUEENS ROAD BRAINTREE Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 375 sqm Survey date: FRIDAY 08/07/16	LOCAL SHOPS BRAINTREE	ESSEX	Survey Type: MANUAL
13	LC-01-I-01 TALBOT ROW NEAR CHORLEY EUXTON Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 720 sqm Survey date: MONDAY 17/10/11	LOCAL SHOPS EUXTON	LANCASHIRE	Survey Type: MANUAL
14	TV-01-I-03 ACKLAM ROAD MIDDLESBROUGH ACKLAM Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 1840 sqm Survey date: FRIDAY 04/10/13	LOCAL SHOPS ACKLAM	TEES VALLEY	Survey Type: MANUAL
15	TV-01-I-04 CARGO FLEET LANE MIDDLESBROUGH ORMESBY Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 585 sqm Survey date: MONDAY 07/10/13	LOCAL SHOPS ORMESBY	TEES VALLEY	Survey Type: MANUAL
16	TW-01-I-02 DURHAM ROAD SUNDERLAND BARNES PARK Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: 540 sqm Survey date: WEDNESDAY 21/11/12	LOCAL SHOPS SUNDERLAND	TYNE & WEAR	Survey Type: MANUAL
17	TW-01-I-03 VICTORIA ROAD WASHINGTON CONCORD Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) High Street Total Gross floor area: 2700 sqm Survey date: FRIDAY 24/05/19	LOCAL SHOPS WASHINGTON	TYNE & WEAR	Survey Type: MANUAL

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)

18	WO-01-I -02	LOCAL SHOPS	WORCESTERSHIRE
	CRANHAM DRIVE		
	WORCESTER		
	Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)		
	Residential Zone		
	Total Gross floor area:	4052 sqm	
	Survey date: THURSDAY	22/05/14	Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

Mott MacDonald Pier Head Liverpool

Licence No: 704107

TRIP RATE for Land Use 01 - RETAIL/I - SHOPPING CENTRE - LOCAL SHOPS
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00	1	540	1.296	1	540	1.296	1	540	2.592
07:00 - 08:00	18	1209	2.459	18	1209	2.215	18	1209	4.674
08:00 - 09:00	18	1209	3.126	18	1209	2.785	18	1209	5.911
09:00 - 10:00	18	1209	3.994	18	1209	3.420	18	1209	7.414
10:00 - 11:00	18	1209	4.022	18	1209	3.700	18	1209	7.722
11:00 - 12:00	18	1209	4.026	18	1209	4.220	18	1209	8.246
12:00 - 13:00	18	1209	4.895	18	1209	4.734	18	1209	9.629
13:00 - 14:00	18	1209	4.436	18	1209	4.491	18	1209	8.927
14:00 - 15:00	18	1209	4.155	18	1209	4.298	18	1209	8.453
15:00 - 16:00	18	1209	4.160	18	1209	4.275	18	1209	8.435
16:00 - 17:00	18	1209	4.555	18	1209	4.298	18	1209	8.853
17:00 - 18:00	18	1209	4.596	18	1209	4.932	18	1209	9.528
18:00 - 19:00	18	1209	4.560	18	1209	4.744	18	1209	9.304
19:00 - 20:00	16	1321	4.037	16	1321	4.207	16	1321	8.244
20:00 - 21:00	16	1321	3.237	16	1321	3.393	16	1321	6.630
21:00 - 22:00	12	1120	3.401	12	1120	3.691	12	1120	7.092
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			60.955			60.699			121.654

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: 260 - 4052 (units: sqm)
 Survey date range: 01/01/11 - 24/05/19
 Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): 18
 Number of Saturdays: 0
 Number of Sundays: 0
 Surveys automatically removed from selection: 0
 Surveys manually removed from selection: 0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	CA-04-D-02 EASTFIELD ROAD PETERBOROUGH	NURSERY		CAMBRIDGESHIRE
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	400 sqm		
	Survey date: TUESDAY	18/10/16	Survey Type: MANUAL	
2	DL-04-D-01 78 THE PARK DUBLIN	NURSERY		DUBLIN
	BEAUMONT WOODS			
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	256 sqm		
	Survey date: WEDNESDAY	26/09/12	Survey Type: MANUAL	
3	DU-04-D-01 LONGTOWN TERRACE DUNDEE	NURSERY		DUNDEE CITY
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	325 sqm		
	Survey date: MONDAY	24/04/17	Survey Type: MANUAL	
4	LN-04-D-01 NEWARK ROAD LINCOLN	NURSERY		LINCOLNSHIRE
	SWALLOW BECK			
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	600 sqm		
	Survey date: TUESDAY	31/10/17	Survey Type: MANUAL	
5	TW-04-D-02 ETTRICK GROVE SUNDERLAND	NURSERY		TYNE & WEAR
	HIGH BARNES			
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	500 sqm		
	Survey date: WEDNESDAY	28/11/12	Survey Type: MANUAL	
6	WL-04-D-01 SHREWSBURY ROAD SWINDON	NURSERY		WILTSHIRE
	WALCOT			
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)			
	Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	500 sqm		
	Survey date: THURSDAY	22/09/16	Survey Type: MANUAL	

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/D - NURSERY
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00	2	328	0.152	2	328	0.000	2	328	0.152
07:00 - 08:00	6	430	1.898	6	430	1.317	6	430	3.215
08:00 - 09:00	6	430	3.719	6	430	2.983	6	430	6.702
09:00 - 10:00	6	430	1.434	6	430	1.240	6	430	2.674
10:00 - 11:00	6	430	0.310	6	430	0.232	6	430	0.542
11:00 - 12:00	6	430	0.581	6	430	0.620	6	430	1.201
12:00 - 13:00	6	430	0.891	6	430	1.085	6	430	1.976
13:00 - 14:00	6	430	1.046	6	430	1.317	6	430	2.363
14:00 - 15:00	6	430	0.659	6	430	0.736	6	430	1.395
15:00 - 16:00	6	430	1.356	6	430	1.162	6	430	2.518
16:00 - 17:00	6	430	2.015	6	430	2.208	6	430	4.223
17:00 - 18:00	6	430	2.712	6	430	2.906	6	430	5.618
18:00 - 19:00	6	430	0.465	6	430	0.969	6	430	1.434
19:00 - 20:00	1	400	0.000	1	400	0.000	1	400	0.000
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			17.238			16.775			34.013

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	256 - 600 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 12/07/18
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	6
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0542

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 04 - EDUCATION
 Category : C - COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	ES EAST SUSSEX	2 days
	EX ESSEX	1 days
	KC KENT	1 days
03	SOUTH WEST	
	GS GLOUCESTERSHIRE	1 days
	WL WILTSHIRE	1 days
10	WALES	
	SW SWANSEA	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 3900 to 16600 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 750 to 20000 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 25/04/18

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	1 days
Tuesday	2 days
Wednesday	1 days
Thursday	3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	7 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	7
------------------------------------	---

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	6
Built-Up Zone	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

D1

7 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

5,001 to 10,000

2 days

10,001 to 15,000

1 days

20,001 to 25,000

1 days

25,001 to 50,000

3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

25,001 to 50,000

1 days

50,001 to 75,000

1 days

75,001 to 100,000

1 days

100,001 to 125,000

1 days

125,001 to 250,000

3 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0

2 days

1.1 to 1.5

5 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes

1 days

No

6 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present

7 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/C - COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	7	10852	0.201	7	10852	0.038	7	10852	0.239
08:00 - 09:00	7	10852	1.478	7	10852	0.566	7	10852	2.044
09:00 - 10:00	7	10852	0.583	7	10852	0.263	7	10852	0.846
10:00 - 11:00	7	10852	0.325	7	10852	0.220	7	10852	0.545
11:00 - 12:00	7	10852	0.337	7	10852	0.338	7	10852	0.675
12:00 - 13:00	7	10852	0.317	7	10852	0.366	7	10852	0.683
13:00 - 14:00	7	10852	0.330	7	10852	0.317	7	10852	0.647
14:00 - 15:00	7	10852	0.284	7	10852	0.427	7	10852	0.711
15:00 - 16:00	7	10852	0.340	7	10852	0.650	7	10852	0.990
16:00 - 17:00	7	10852	0.303	7	10852	0.770	7	10852	1.073
17:00 - 18:00	7	10852	0.349	7	10852	0.455	7	10852	0.804
18:00 - 19:00	6	11261	0.332	6	11261	0.234	6	11261	0.566
19:00 - 20:00	5	11488	0.207	5	11488	0.334	5	11488	0.541
20:00 - 21:00	4	11059	0.161	4	11059	0.601	4	11059	0.762
21:00 - 22:00	4	11059	0.020	4	11059	0.274	4	11059	0.294
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			5.567			5.853			11.420

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	3900 - 16600 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 25/04/18
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	7
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0552

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 04 - EDUCATION
 Category : F - COMMUNITY EDUCATION
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	OX OXFORDSHIRE	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	WM WEST MIDLANDS	2 days
10	WALES	
	NW NEWPORT	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 228 to 1054 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 228 to 8928 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/08 to 01/08/20

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	2 days
Thursday	1 days
Friday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	4 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Edge of Town Centre	1
Edge of Town	2
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	1

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	2
High Street	1
No Sub Category	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

D1	4 days
----	--------

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Secondary Filtering selection (Cont.):

Population within 1 mile:

5,001 to 10,000	1 days
20,001 to 25,000	2 days
25,001 to 50,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

50,001 to 75,000	1 days
125,001 to 250,000	1 days
500,001 or More	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	4 days
------------	--------

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

No	4 days
----	--------

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	4 days
-----------------	--------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/F - COMMUNITY EDUCATION
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00	1	228	0.000	1	228	0.000	1	228	0.000
07:00 - 08:00	3	712	0.234	3	712	0.047	3	712	0.281
08:00 - 09:00	4	607	0.906	4	607	0.124	4	607	1.030
09:00 - 10:00	4	607	1.153	4	607	0.659	4	607	1.812
10:00 - 11:00	4	607	0.906	4	607	0.823	4	607	1.729
11:00 - 12:00	4	607	1.112	4	607	1.523	4	607	2.635
12:00 - 13:00	4	607	0.535	4	607	0.865	4	607	1.400
13:00 - 14:00	4	607	0.659	4	607	0.659	4	607	1.318
14:00 - 15:00	4	607	1.194	4	607	1.070	4	607	2.264
15:00 - 16:00	4	607	1.441	4	607	1.647	4	607	3.088
16:00 - 17:00	4	607	1.029	4	607	1.359	4	607	2.388
17:00 - 18:00	4	607	0.700	4	607	1.029	4	607	1.729
18:00 - 19:00	3	712	1.218	3	712	0.468	3	712	1.686
19:00 - 20:00	3	712	0.328	3	712	0.281	3	712	0.609
20:00 - 21:00	3	712	0.000	3	712	0.047	3	712	0.047
21:00 - 22:00	2	641	0.156	2	641	2.184	2	641	2.340
22:00 - 23:00	1	228	0.000	1	228	0.000	1	228	0.000
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			11.571			12.785			24.356

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	228 - 1054 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/08 - 01/08/20
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	4
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0548

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 03 - RESIDENTIAL
 Category : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	HC HAMPSHIRE	1 days
	KC KENT	4 days
	WS WEST SUSSEX	2 days
03	SOUTH WEST	
	DV DEVON	3 days
	WL WILTSHIRE	1 days
04	EAST ANGLIA	
	CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE	3 days
	NF NORFOLK	2 days
	SF SUFFOLK	2 days
05	EAST MIDLANDS	
	LE LEICESTERSHIRE	1 days
	LN LINCOLNSHIRE	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	WK WARWICKSHIRE	1 days
	WM WEST MIDLANDS	1 days
	WO WORCESTERSHIRE	1 days
07	YORKSHIRE & NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE	
	NY NORTH YORKSHIRE	4 days
	SY SOUTH YORKSHIRE	1 days
	WY WEST YORKSHIRE	1 days
08	NORTH WEST	
	CH CHESHIRE	1 days
	GM GREATER MANCHESTER	1 days
	MS MERSEYSIDE	1 days
09	NORTH	
	DH DURHAM	2 days
	TW TYNE & WEAR	2 days
10	WALES	
	PS POWYS	1 days
11	SCOTLAND	
	AG ANGUS	1 days
	FA FALKIRK	2 days
	HI HIGHLAND	1 days
	PK PERTH & KINROSS	1 days
17	ULSTER (NORTHERN IRELAND)	
	AN ANTRIM	2 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Number of dwellings
Actual Range: 6 to 363 (units:)
Range Selected by User: 5 to 4334 (units:)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Percentage of dwellings privately owned: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 20/11/18

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	10 days
Tuesday	12 days
Wednesday	9 days
Thursday	5 days
Friday	8 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	44 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	33
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	11

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Residential Zone	37
Village	7

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

C3	44 days
----	---------

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

1,000 or Less	1 days
1,001 to 5,000	10 days
5,001 to 10,000	8 days
10,001 to 15,000	4 days
15,001 to 20,000	8 days
20,001 to 25,000	6 days
25,001 to 50,000	6 days
50,001 to 100,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Secondary Filtering selection (Cont.):

Population within 5 miles:

5,001 to 25,000	5 days
25,001 to 50,000	7 days
50,001 to 75,000	6 days
75,001 to 100,000	7 days
100,001 to 125,000	2 days
125,001 to 250,000	11 days
250,001 to 500,000	5 days
500,001 or More	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	15 days
1.1 to 1.5	27 days
1.6 to 2.0	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes	2 days
No	42 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	44 days
-----------------	---------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. DWELLS	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	44	65	0.056	44	65	0.236	44	65	0.292
08:00 - 09:00	44	65	0.123	44	65	0.321	44	65	0.444
09:00 - 10:00	44	65	0.137	44	65	0.168	44	65	0.305
10:00 - 11:00	44	65	0.119	44	65	0.154	44	65	0.273
11:00 - 12:00	44	65	0.131	44	65	0.141	44	65	0.272
12:00 - 13:00	44	65	0.158	44	65	0.147	44	65	0.305
13:00 - 14:00	44	65	0.156	44	65	0.166	44	65	0.322
14:00 - 15:00	44	65	0.149	44	65	0.170	44	65	0.319
15:00 - 16:00	44	65	0.220	44	65	0.148	44	65	0.368
16:00 - 17:00	44	65	0.258	44	65	0.159	44	65	0.417
17:00 - 18:00	44	65	0.311	44	65	0.162	44	65	0.473
18:00 - 19:00	44	65	0.226	44	65	0.150	44	65	0.376
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			2.044			2.122			4.166

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

*To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.*

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	6 - 363 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 20/11/18
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	44
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	3
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0523

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 05 - HEALTH
 Category : K - NHS WALK-IN CENTRE
 VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

02	SOUTH EAST	
	ES	EAST SUSSEX
		1 days
09	NORTH	
	TW	TYNE & WEAR
		1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 2230 to 2350 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 98 to 2350 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 22/09/17

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

Monday	1 days
Friday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:

Manual count	2 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.

Selected Locations:

Town Centre	1
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	1

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:

Retail Zone	1
High Street	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

D1	2 days
----	--------

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Secondary Filtering selection (Cont.):

Population within 1 mile:

25,001 to 50,000	1 days
50,001 to 100,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

125,001 to 250,000	1 days
250,001 to 500,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	1 days
1.1 to 1.5	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

No	2 days
----	--------

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	2 days
-----------------	--------

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 05 - HEALTH/K - NHS WALK-IN CENTRE
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	2	2290	0.153	2	2290	0.087	2	2290	0.240
08:00 - 09:00	2	2290	1.157	2	2290	0.328	2	2290	1.485
09:00 - 10:00	2	2290	1.026	2	2290	0.655	2	2290	1.681
10:00 - 11:00	2	2290	0.568	2	2290	0.939	2	2290	1.507
11:00 - 12:00	2	2290	0.611	2	2290	0.786	2	2290	1.397
12:00 - 13:00	2	2290	0.786	2	2290	0.677	2	2290	1.463
13:00 - 14:00	2	2290	0.721	2	2290	0.546	2	2290	1.267
14:00 - 15:00	2	2290	0.633	2	2290	0.764	2	2290	1.397
15:00 - 16:00	2	2290	0.459	2	2290	0.590	2	2290	1.049
16:00 - 17:00	2	2290	0.502	2	2290	0.830	2	2290	1.332
17:00 - 18:00	2	2290	0.284	2	2290	0.677	2	2290	0.961
18:00 - 19:00	2	2290	0.262	2	2290	0.328	2	2290	0.590
19:00 - 20:00	2	2290	0.240	2	2290	0.349	2	2290	0.589
20:00 - 21:00	1	2350	0.340	1	2350	0.213	1	2350	0.553
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:		7.742			7.769			15.511	

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	2230 - 2350 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 22/09/17
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	2
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-704103-190513-0513

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use : 06 - HOTEL, FOOD & DRINK

Category : B - RESTAURANTS

VEHICLES

Selected regions and areas:

01	GREATER LONDON	
	BT BRENT	1 days
	EN ENFIELD	1 days
02	SOUTH EAST	
	HC HAMPSHIRE	1 days
05	EAST MIDLANDS	
	DS DERBYSHIRE	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	WM WEST MIDLANDS	2 days
11	SCOTLAND	
	RF RENFREWSHIRE	1 days
12	CONNAUGHT	
	RO ROSCOMMON	1 days
17	ULSTER (NORTHERN IRELAND)	
	AN ANTRIM	1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Gross floor area
 Actual Range: 150 to 736 (units: sqm)
 Range Selected by User: 75 to 2400 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision:

Selection by: Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/11 to 12/07/18

*This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.*Selected survey days:

Monday	2 days
Tuesday	2 days
Thursday	2 days
Friday	3 days

*This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.*Selected survey types:

Manual count	9 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

*This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaken using machines.*Selected Locations:

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	3
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	6

*This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.*Selected Location Sub Categories:

Development Zone	2
Residential Zone	2
Village	1
High Street	3
No Sub Category	1

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:

A3

9 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Population within 1 mile:

1,000 or Less	1 days
5,001 to 10,000	1 days
10,001 to 15,000	1 days
15,001 to 20,000	1 days
25,001 to 50,000	3 days
50,001 to 100,000	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:

5,000 or Less	1 days
25,001 to 50,000	1 days
125,001 to 250,000	1 days
250,001 to 500,000	5 days
500,001 or More	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:

0.6 to 1.0	5 days
1.1 to 1.5	3 days
2.1 to 2.5	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

Yes	1 days
No	8 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present	7 days
3 Moderate	1 days
5 Very Good	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 06 - HOTEL, FOOD & DRINK/B - RESTAURANTS
VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

Time Range	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate	No. Days	Ave. GFA	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00	1	370	0.000	1	370	0.270	1	370	0.270
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00									
08:00 - 09:00									
09:00 - 10:00	1	175	0.571	1	175	0.571	1	175	1.142
10:00 - 11:00	5	235	1.362	5	235	0.596	5	235	1.958
11:00 - 12:00	8	325	1.192	8	325	0.769	8	325	1.961
12:00 - 13:00	8	325	2.499	8	325	1.269	8	325	3.768
13:00 - 14:00	8	325	1.730	8	325	2.345	8	325	4.075
14:00 - 15:00	8	325	0.961	8	325	1.423	8	325	2.384
15:00 - 16:00	9	330	0.808	9	330	1.043	9	330	1.851
16:00 - 17:00	9	330	0.942	9	330	0.673	9	330	1.615
17:00 - 18:00	9	330	1.582	9	330	0.976	9	330	2.558
18:00 - 19:00	9	330	2.154	9	330	1.481	9	330	3.635
19:00 - 20:00	9	330	1.986	9	330	1.683	9	330	3.669
20:00 - 21:00	9	330	1.515	9	330	2.120	9	330	3.635
21:00 - 22:00	9	330	1.178	9	330	1.616	9	330	2.794
22:00 - 23:00	9	330	0.471	9	330	1.077	9	330	1.548
23:00 - 24:00	9	330	0.067	9	330	0.841	9	330	0.908
Total Rates:			19.018			18.753			37.771

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: $COUNT/TRP*FACT$. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]


Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	150 - 736 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/11 - 12/07/18
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	9
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0


This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are shown. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

C. Flow Distribution Diagrams


Block B2: A3 Distribution




Block A: A3 Distribution




Block A: C3 Distribution




Block B1: D1 Distribution




Block B2: A1 Distribution




Block B2: A3 Distribution




Block C: Distribution




Block D1: Distribution




Block D2: Distribution




Block E: Distribution




Block F: Distribution



Block G: Distribution




Block H: Distribution




D. Trip Generation Flow Diagrams


Block A: A3 AM Trip Generation




Block A: A3 AM Trip Generation




Block A: C3 AM Trip Generation




Block B1: D1 AM Trip Generation




Block B2: A1 AM Trip Generation




Block B2: A3 AM Trip Generation




Block C: AM Trip Generation




Block D1: AM Trip Generation




Block D2: AM Trip Generation




Block E: AM Trip Generation




Block F: AM Trip Generation




Block G: AM Trip Generation




Block H: AM Trip Generation




Committed Development: AM Trip Generation




Total Development: AM Trip Generation




Block A: A3 PM Trip Generation




Block A: A3 PM Trip Generation




Block A: C3 PM Trip Generation




Block B1: D1 PM Trip Generation




Block B2: A1 PM Trip Generation




Block B2: A3 PM Trip Generation




Block C: PM Trip Generation




Block D1: PM Trip Generation




Block D2: PM Trip Generation




Block E: PM Trip Generation




Block F: PM Trip Generation




Block G: PM Trip Generation




Block H: PM Trip Generation




Committed Development: PM Trip Generation





Total Development: PM Trip Generation





E. **Assessment Scenarios Flow Diagrams**


























F. Walton Lane/Priory Road Full LinSig Outputs


Full Input Data And Results

Full Input Data And Results


User and Project Details

Project:	21 Traffic Signal Junction Upgrades
Title:	Walton Lane / Priory Road
Location:	
Client:	Liverpool City Council
Site Ref(s):	2267
Date Completed:	March 2018
Model Purpose:	Proposed Linsig Model
Additional detail:	
File name:	2267 Proposed Model_JMc_v3.lsg3x
Author:	David Thomas
Company:	Amey Consulting
Address:	

Network Layout Diagram



Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
A	Traffic		7	7
B	Ind. Arrow	A	4	4
C	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7
E	Traffic		7	7
F	Pedestrian		7	7
G	Pedestrian		7	7
H	Pedestrian		7	7
I	Pedestrian		7	7
J	Pedestrian		7	7


Phase Intergreens Matrix

Terminating Phase	Starting Phase									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
	A	-	-	5	9	5	10	10	-	-
	B	-		9	5	9	5	10	10	-
	C	-	5		5	6	-	7	6	-
	D	7	7	5		-	-	-	5	-
	E	5	5	5	-		-	-	-	5
	F	5	5	-	-	-		-	-	-
	G	5	5	5	-	-	-		-	-
	H	5	5	5	-	-	-	-		-
	I	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	
	J	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-

Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	A C I J
2	A B I J
3	D E F G
4	D E F G H

Stage Diagram



Phase Delays

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Type	Value	Cont value
There are no Phase Delays defined					

Prohibited Stage Change

	To Stage				
From Stage		1	2	3	4
	1		5	10	10
	2	9		10	10
	3	7	7		0
	4	7	7	0	

Full Input Data And Results

Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road											
Lane	Movement	Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Opposing Lane	Opp. Lane Coeff.	Opp. Mvmnts.	Right Turn Storage (PCU)	Non-Blocking Storage (PCU)	RTF	Right Turn Move up (s)	Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU)
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	5/1 (Right)	1439	0	1/1	1.09	All	2.00	-	0.50	2	2.00
				1/2	1.09	All					

Full Input Data And Results

Lane Input Data

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	U	C	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	U	C	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf
2/1 (Priory Road)	U	E	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf
2/2 (Priory Road)	U	D	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	U	A	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	U	A	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	O	A B	2	3	3.0	Geom	-	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit])	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit])	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit])	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit])	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit])	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Flow Groups

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
1: '2019 Survey AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
2: '2019 Survey PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
3: '2028 Survey AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
4: '2028 Survey PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
5: '2028 Base AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
6: '2028 Base PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
7: '2028 Base + Dev AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
8: '2028 Base + Dev PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
9: '2032 Survey AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
10: '2032 Survey PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
11: '2032 Base AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
12: '2032 Base PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
13: '2032 Base + Dev AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
14: '2032 Base + Dev PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	

Scenario 1: '2019 Survey AM' (FG1: '2019 Survey AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	87	1222	1309
	B	118	0	358	476
	C	664	230	0	894
	Tot.	782	317	1580	2679

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 1: 2019 Survey AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	631
1/2	678
2/1	358
2/2	118
3/1	664
3/2 (with short)	230(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	230
4/1	723
4/2	59
5/1	317
6/1	723
6/2	857

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.8 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.2 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 2: '2019 Survey PM' (FG2: '2019 Survey PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	68	972	1040
	B	70	0	169	239
	C	746	345	0	1091
	Tot.	816	413	1141	2370

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 2: 2019 Survey PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	499
1/2	541
2/1	169
2/2	70
3/1	745
3/2 (with short)	346(In) 1(Out)
3/3 (short)	345
4/1	780
4/2	36
5/1	413
6/1	516
6/2	625

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.6 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.4 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 3: '2028 Base AM' (FG5: '2028 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	98	1372	1470
	B	132	0	402	534
	C	752	259	0	1011
	Tot.	884	357	1774	3015

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 3: 2028 Base AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	710
1/2	760
2/1	402
2/2	132
3/1	752
3/2 (with short)	259(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	259
4/1	818
4/2	66
5/1	357
6/1	813
6/2	961

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.8 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.2 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 4: '2028 Base PM' (FG6: '2028 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	76	1091	1167
	B	78	0	190	268
	C	836	386	0	1222
	Tot.	914	462	1281	2657

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 4: 2028 Base PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	562
1/2	605
2/1	190
2/2	78
3/1	836
3/2 (with short)	386(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	386
4/1	875
4/2	39
5/1	462
6/1	581
6/2	700

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.5 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.5 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 5: '2028 Base + Dev AM' (FG7: '2028 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	98	1429	1527
	B	132	0	412	544
	C	790	263	0	1053
	Tot.	922	361	1841	3124

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 5: 2028 Base + Dev AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	738
1/2	789
2/1	412
2/2	132
3/1	790
3/2 (with short)	263(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	263
4/1	856
4/2	66
5/1	361
6/1	846
6/2	995

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.3 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.7 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 6: '2028 Base + Dev PM' (FG8: '2028 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	76	1162	1238
	B	78	0	196	274
	C	910	395	0	1305
	Tot.	988	471	1358	2817

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 6: 2028 Base + Dev PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	596
1/2	642
2/1	196
2/2	78
3/1	910
3/2 (with short)	395(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	395
4/1	949
4/2	39
5/1	471
6/1	618
6/2	740

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	12.8 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	87.2 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 7: '2032 Base AM' (FG11: '2032 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	101	1427	1528
	B	138	0	418	556
	C	782	269	0	1051
	Tot.	920	370	1845	3135

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 7: 2032 Base AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	738
1/2	790
2/1	418
2/2	138
3/1	782
3/2 (with short)	269(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	269
4/1	851
4/2	69
5/1	370
6/1	846
6/2	999

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.7 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.3 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 8: '2032 Base PM' (FG12: '2032 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	79	1133	1212
	B	81	0	197	278
	C	868	400	0	1268
	Tot.	949	479	1330	2758

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 8: 2032 Base PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	584
1/2	628
2/1	197
2/2	81
3/1	868
3/2 (with short)	400(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	400
4/1	909
4/2	40
5/1	479
6/1	604
6/2	726

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.5 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.5 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 9: '2032 Base + Dev AM' (FG13: '2032 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	101	1484	1585
	B	138	0	428	566
	C	820	273	0	1093
	Tot.	958	374	1912	3244

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 9: 2032 Base + Dev AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	767
1/2	818
2/1	428
2/2	138
3/1	820
3/2 (with short)	273(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	273
4/1	889
4/2	69
5/1	374
6/1	880
6/2	1032

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	13.2 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	86.8 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf

Scenario 10: '2032 Base + Dev PM' (FG14: '2032 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination				
		A	B	C	Tot.
Origin	A	0	79	1204	1283
	B	81	0	203	284
	C	942	410	0	1352
	Tot.	1023	489	1407	2919


Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 10: 2032 Base + Dev PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road	
1/1	618
1/2	665
2/1	203
2/2	81
3/1	942
3/2 (with short)	410(In) 0(Out)
3/3 (short)	410
4/1	983
4/2	40
5/1	489
6/1	641
6/2	766


Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Priory Road								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	Inf	12.8 %	1940	1940
				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	87.2 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound])	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
2/1 (Priory Road)	3.20	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1935	1935
2/2 (Priory Road)	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2055	2055
3/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
3/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.40	0.00	N	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	0.0 %	2095	2095
3/3 (Walton Lane [Outbound])	3.50	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	Inf	100.0 %	2105	2105
4/1 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
4/2 (Walton Lane [Outbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
5/1 (Priory Road [Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/1 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf
6/2 (Walton Lane [Inbound Exit] Lane 2)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf


Scenario 1: '2019 Survey AM' (FG1: '2019 Survey AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Stage Sequence Diagram****Stage Timings**

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	42	9	23
Change Point	0	49	63

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	71.0%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	71.0%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	44	-	631	1940	909	69.4%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	44	-	678	2055	963	70.4%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	24	-	358	1935	504	71.0%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	28	-	118	2055	621	19.0%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	664	1945	1155	57.5%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	56	9	230	2095:2105	0+341	0.0 : 67.5%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	723	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	59	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	317	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	723	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	857	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

[illegible]

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 2: '2019 Survey PM' (FG2: '2019 Survey PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	43	18	13
Change Point	0	50	73

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results


Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	55.9%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	55.9%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	45	-	499	1940	930	53.7%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	45	-	541	2055	985	54.9%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	14	-	169	1935	302	55.9%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	18	-	70	2055	407	17.2%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	66	-	745	1945	1357	54.9%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	66	18	346	2095:2105	2+625	55.2 : 55.2%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	780	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	36	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	413	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	516	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	625	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Full Input Data And Results

[illegible]


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	10	23
Change Point	0	48	63

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	81.9%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	81.9%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	710	1940	889	79.9%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	760	2055	942	80.7%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	24	-	402	1935	504	79.8%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	28	-	132	2055	621	21.3%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	752	1945	1155	65.1%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	56	10	259	2095:2105	0+316	0.0 : 81.9%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	818	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	66	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	357	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	813	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	961	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

[illegible]

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 4: '2028 Base PM' (FG6: '2028 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	20	13
Change Point	0	48	73

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	64.2%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	64.2%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	562	1940	889	63.2%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	605	2055	942	64.2%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	14	-	190	1935	302	62.8%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	18	-	78	2055	407	19.2%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	66	-	836	1945	1357	61.6%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	66	20	386	2095:2105	0+618	0.0 : 62.5%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	875	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	39	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	462	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	581	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	700	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

[illegible]

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 5: '2028 Base + Dev AM' (FG7: '2028 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	10	23
Change Point	0	48	63

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	83.8%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	83.8%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	738	1940	889	83.0%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	789	2055	942	83.8%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	24	-	412	1935	504	81.8%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	28	-	132	2055	621	21.3%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	790	1945	1155	68.4%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	56	10	263	2095:2105	0+316	0.0 : 83.2%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	856	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	66	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	361	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	846	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	995	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	258	5	19.3	10.5	0.9	30.7	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	258	5	19.3	10.5	0.9	30.7	-	-	-	-
1/1	738	738	-	-	-	4.7	2.4	-	7.0	34.3	17.0	2.4	19.4
1/2	789	789	-	-	-	5.0	2.5	-	7.5	34.3	18.4	2.5	20.9
2/1	412	412	-	-	-	3.8	2.1	-	6.0	52.1	10.3	2.1	12.4
2/2	132	132	-	-	-	0.9	0.1	-	1.1	28.7	2.6	0.1	2.7
3/1	790	790	-	-	-	2.9	1.1	-	4.0	18.2	14.3	1.1	15.3
3/2+3/3	263	263	0	258	5	2.0	2.3	0.9	5.2	70.6	6.8	2.3	9.0
4/1	856	856	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	66	66	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	361	361	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	846	846	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	995	995	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 7.4 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 30.71 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 7.4 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 30.71													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 6: '2028 Base + Dev PM' (FG8: '2028 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	20	13
Change Point	0	48	73

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results


Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	68.2%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	68.2%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	596	1940	889	67.0%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	642	2055	942	68.2%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	14	-	196	1935	302	64.8%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	18	-	78	2055	407	19.2%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	66	-	910	1945	1357	67.0%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	66	20	395	2095:2105	0+598	0.0 : 66.1%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	949	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	39	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	471	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	618	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	740	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	19	368	8	14.3	5.1	1.0	20.4	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	19	368	8	14.3	5.1	1.0	20.4	-	-	-	-
1/1	596	596	-	-	-	3.4	1.0	-	4.4	26.4	12.4	1.0	13.4
1/2	642	642	-	-	-	3.7	1.1	-	4.7	26.4	13.4	1.1	14.4
2/1	196	196	-	-	-	2.1	0.9	-	3.0	54.7	4.9	0.9	5.8
2/2	78	78	-	-	-	0.7	0.1	-	0.8	37.6	1.7	0.1	1.9
3/1	910	910	-	-	-	2.1	1.0	-	3.1	12.2	13.7	1.0	14.7
3/2+3/3	395	395	19	368	8	2.4	1.0	1.0	4.4	39.9	9.2	1.0	10.2
4/1	949	949	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	39	39	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	471	471	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	618	618	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	740	740	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 32.0 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 20.35 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 32.0 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 20.35													


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	10	23
Change Point	0	48	63

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	85.1%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	85.1%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	738	1940	889	83.0%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	790	2055	942	83.9%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	24	-	418	1935	504	83.0%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	28	-	138	2055	621	22.2%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	782	1945	1155	67.7%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	56	10	269	2095:2105	0+316	0.0 : 85.1%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	851	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	69	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	370	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	846	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	999	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	263	6	19.5	10.9	0.9	31.3	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	263	6	19.5	10.9	0.9	31.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	738	738	-	-	-	4.7	2.4	-	7.0	34.3	17.0	2.4	19.4
1/2	790	790	-	-	-	5.0	2.5	-	7.5	34.4	18.4	2.5	21.0
2/1	418	418	-	-	-	3.9	2.3	-	6.2	53.4	10.4	2.3	12.8
2/2	138	138	-	-	-	1.0	0.1	-	1.1	28.8	2.7	0.1	2.9
3/1	782	782	-	-	-	2.9	1.0	-	3.9	18.1	14.1	1.0	15.2
3/2+3/3	269	269	0	263	6	2.1	2.6	0.9	5.5	74.0	6.8	2.6	9.3
4/1	851	851	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	69	69	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	370	370	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	846	846	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	999	999	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 5.8 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 31.32 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 5.8 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 31.32													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 8: '2032 Base PM' (FG12: '2032 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	20	13
Change Point	0	48	73

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	584	1940	889	65.7%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	628	2055	942	66.7%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	14	-	197	1935	302	65.2%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	18	-	81	2055	407	19.9%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	66	-	868	1945	1357	63.9%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	66	20	400	2095:2105	0+605	0.0 : 66.1%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	909	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	40	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	479	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	604	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	726	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	26	366	8	14.0	4.8	1.0	19.8	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	26	366	8	14.0	4.8	1.0	19.8	-	-	-	-
1/1	584	584	-	-	-	3.3	1.0	-	4.2	26.0	12.0	1.0	13.0
1/2	628	628	-	-	-	3.5	1.0	-	4.5	26.0	12.9	1.0	13.9
2/1	197	197	-	-	-	2.1	0.9	-	3.0	54.8	4.9	0.9	5.8
2/2	81	81	-	-	-	0.7	0.1	-	0.8	37.7	1.8	0.1	1.9
3/1	868	868	-	-	-	1.9	0.9	-	2.8	11.6	12.5	0.9	13.4
3/2+3/3	400	400	26	366	8	2.5	1.0	1.0	4.4	39.4	9.3	1.0	10.3
4/1	909	909	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	40	40	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	479	479	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	604	604	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	726	726	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 35.0 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 19.77 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 35.0 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 19.77													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 9: '2032 Base + Dev AM' (FG13: '2032 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	10	23
Change Point	0	48	63

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	86.8%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	86.8%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	767	1940	889	86.3%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	818	2055	942	86.8%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	24	-	428	1935	504	84.9%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	28	-	138	2055	621	22.2%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	820	1945	1155	71.0%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	56	10	273	2095:2105	0+316	0.0 : 86.3%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	889	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	69	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	374	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	880	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1032	Inf	Inf	0.0%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	267	6	20.5	12.9	0.9	34.3	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	0	267	6	20.5	12.9	0.9	34.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	767	767	-	-	-	5.0	3.0	-	8.0	37.3	18.3	3.0	21.3
1/2	818	818	-	-	-	5.3	3.1	-	8.5	37.2	19.5	3.1	22.7
2/1	428	428	-	-	-	4.0	2.6	-	6.6	55.9	10.8	2.6	13.5
2/2	138	138	-	-	-	1.0	0.1	-	1.1	28.8	2.7	0.1	2.9
3/1	820	820	-	-	-	3.1	1.2	-	4.3	19.0	15.3	1.2	16.5
3/2+3/3	273	273	0	267	6	2.1	2.8	0.9	5.8	76.6	6.8	2.8	9.6
4/1	889	889	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	69	69	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	374	374	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	880	880	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	1032	1032	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 3.6 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 34.31 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 3.6 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 34.31													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 10: '2032 Base + Dev PM' (FG14: '2032 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	4
Duration	41	20	13
Change Point	0	48	73

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	70.6%
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	70.6%
1/1	Walton Lane [Inbound] Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	618	1940	889	69.5%
1/2	Walton Lane [Inbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	C		1	43	-	665	2055	942	70.6%
2/1	Priory Road Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	14	-	203	1935	302	67.1%
2/2	Priory Road Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	18	-	81	2055	407	19.9%
3/1	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	66	-	942	1945	1357	69.4%
3/2+3/3	Walton Lane [Outbound] Ahead Right	U+O	N/A	N/A	A	B	1	66	20	410	2095:2105	0+587	0.0 : 69.8%
4/1	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	983	Inf	Inf	0.0%
4/2	Walton Lane [Outbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	40	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Priory Road [Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	489	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	641	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/2	Walton Lane [Inbound Exit]	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	766	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	8	393	9	15.2	5.7	1.0	21.9	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Priory Road	-	-	8	393	9	15.2	5.7	1.0	21.9	-	-	-	-
1/1	618	618	-	-	-	3.5	1.1	-	4.7	27.3	13.0	1.1	14.2
1/2	665	665	-	-	-	3.8	1.2	-	5.0	27.3	14.0	1.2	15.2
2/1	203	203	-	-	-	2.2	1.0	-	3.2	55.9	5.1	1.0	6.1
2/2	81	81	-	-	-	0.7	0.1	-	0.8	37.7	1.8	0.1	1.9
3/1	942	942	-	-	-	2.2	1.1	-	3.4	12.8	14.7	1.1	15.8
3/2+3/3	410	410	8	393	9	2.7	1.1	1.0	4.8	42.1	9.7	1.1	10.8
4/1	983	983	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4/2	40	40	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	489	489	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	641	641	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/2	766	766	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 27.5 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 21.87 Cycle Time (s): 96 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 27.5 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 21.87													

G. Spellow Lane/Walton Lane East/Walton Lane South/Langham Street Full LinSig Outputs


Full Input Data And Results

Full Input Data And Results


User and Project Details

Project:	
Title:	
Location:	
Additional detail:	
File name:	Walton Spellow option 2 v2.lsg3x
Author:	
Company:	
Address:	

Network Layout Diagram



Phase Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
A	Traffic		7	7
B	Traffic		7	7
C	Ind. Arrow	B	4	4
D	Traffic		7	7
E	Traffic		7	7
F	Pedestrian		7	7
G	Pedestrian		7	7
H	Pedestrian		7	7
I	Pedestrian		7	7


Phase Intergreens Matrix

Terminating Phase	Starting Phase									
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	A		-	6	7	8	-	6	10	-
	B	-		-	5	-	8	-	9	-
	C	6	-		5	-	8	-	9	0
	D	5	7	6		-	10	-	-	6
	E	5	-	-	-		-	-	-	6
	F	-	9	9	9	-		-	-	-
	G	9	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
	H	10	10	10	-	-	-	-		-
	I	-	-	0	10	10	-	-	-	

Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	B C E
2	A B I
3	E F G H
4	D E G H

Stage Diagram



Phase Delays

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Type	Value	Cont value
There are no Phase Delays defined					

Prohibited Stage Change

	To Stage				
From Stage		1	2	3	4
	1		6	9	9
	2	10		10	10
	3	10	10		9
	4	10	10	10	

Full Input Data And Results

Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane
There are no Opposed Lanes in this Junction

Full Input Data And Results

Lane Input Data

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	U	A	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf
											Arm 5 Left	Inf
											Arm 6 Right	Inf
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	U	A	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	U	B	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	U	B C	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf
											Arm 5 Right	Inf
											Arm 7 Left	Inf
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	U	E	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	U	D	2	3	7.8	Geom	-	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf
											Arm 7 Right	Inf
4/1 (Langham Street)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y		
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.80	0.00	Y		
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.60	0.00	Y		
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.60	0.00	Y		
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.40	0.00	Y		
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.40	0.00	Y		

Full Input Data And Results

Traffic Flow Groups

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
1: '2019 Survey AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
2: '2019 Survey PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
3: '2028 Survey AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
4: '2028 Survey PM'	16:00	17:00	01:00	
5: '2028 Base AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
6: '2028 Base PM'	16:00	17:00	01:00	
7: '2028 Base + Dev AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
8: '2028 Base + Dev PM'	16:00	17:00	01:00	
9: '2032 Base AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
10: '2032 Base PM'	16:00	17:00	01:00	
11: '2032 Base + Dev AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
12: '2032 Base + Dev PM'	16:00	17:00	01:00	
13: '2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity'	08:00	09:00	01:00	

Scenario 1: '2019 Survey AM' (FG1: '2019 Survey AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
Origin	A	0	247	178	21	446
	B	183	0	987	359	1529
	C	156	625	0	28	809
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	339	872	1165	408	2784

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 1: 2019 Survey AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	403
1/2	406
2/1	757
2/2	772
3/1	247
3/2	199
4/1	408
5/1	339
6/1	466
6/2	406
7/1	757
7/2	408

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	6.9 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	38.7 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	54.3 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	46.5 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	23.7 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	29.8 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	10.6 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	89.4 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 2: '2019 Survey PM' (FG2: '2019 Survey PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination					
Origin	A	A	B	C	D	Tot.
	A	0	426	177	22	625
	B	110	0	889	127	1126
	C	179	647	0	25	851
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	289	1073	1066	174	2602

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 2: 2019 Survey PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	422
1/2	429
2/1	561
2/2	565
3/1	426
3/2	199
4/1	174
5/1	289
6/1	644
6/2	429
7/1	561
7/2	505

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	5.9 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	42.4 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	51.7 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	22.5 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	19.5 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	58.1 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	11.1 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	88.9 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 3: '2028 Base AM' (FG5: '2028 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
Origin	A	0	277	200	24	501
	B	210	0	1111	403	1724
	C	175	702	0	31	908
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	385	979	1311	458	3133

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 3: 2028 Base AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	453
1/2	455
2/1	855
2/2	869
3/1	277
3/2	224
4/1	458
5/1	385
6/1	524
6/2	455
7/1	855
7/2	456

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	6.8 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	38.6 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	54.5 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	46.4 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	24.2 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	29.5 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	10.7 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	89.3 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 4: '2028 Base PM' (FG6: '2028 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

	Destination					
Origin	A	0	476	198	25	699
	B	125	0	995	142	1262
	C	200	726	0	28	954
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	325	1202	1193	195	2915

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 4: 2028 Base PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	473
1/2	481
2/1	629
2/2	633
3/1	476
3/2	223
4/1	195
5/1	325
6/1	721
6/2	481
7/1	629
7/2	564

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	5.9 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	42.3 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	51.8 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	22.4 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	19.7 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	57.8 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	11.2 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	88.8 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 5: '2028 Base + Dev AM' (FG7: '2028 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
Origin	A	0	294	251	24	569
	B	238	0	1109	403	1750
	C	247	706	0	31	984
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	485	1000	1360	458	3303

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 5: 2028 Base + Dev AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	492
1/2	492
2/1	869
2/2	881
3/1	294
3/2	275
4/1	458
5/1	485
6/1	508
6/2	492
7/1	869
7/2	491

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	6.3 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	50.2 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	43.5 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	45.7 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	27.0 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	27.2 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	8.7 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	91.3 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 6: '2028 Base + Dev PM' (FG8: '2028 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

	Destination					
Origin	A	A	B	C	D	Tot.
	A	0	512	251	25	788
	B	168	0	981	142	1291
	C	251	732	0	28	1011
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	419	1244	1232	195	3090

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 6: 2028 Base + Dev PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	502
1/2	509
2/1	644
2/2	647
3/1	512
3/2	276
4/1	195
5/1	419
6/1	735
6/2	509
7/1	644
7/2	588

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	5.6 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	50.0 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	44.4 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	21.9 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	26.0 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	52.1 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	9.1 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	90.9 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 7: '2032 Base AM' (FG9: '2032 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
Origin	A	0	288	208	24	520
	B	219	0	1156	419	1794
	C	182	730	0	33	945
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	401	1018	1364	476	3259

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 7: 2032 Base AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	471
1/2	474
2/1	891
2/2	903
3/1	288
3/2	232
4/1	476
5/1	401
6/1	544
6/2	474
7/1	891
7/2	473

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	7.0 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	38.6 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	54.4 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	46.4 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	24.3 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	29.3 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	10.3 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	89.7 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 8: '2032 Base PM' (FG10: '2032 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination					
Origin	A	A	B	C	D	Tot.
	A	0	494	205	26	725
	B	130	0	1033	147	1310
	C	208	754	0	29	991
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	338	1248	1238	202	3026

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 8: 2032 Base PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	491
1/2	500
2/1	653
2/2	657
3/1	494
3/2	231
4/1	202
5/1	338
6/1	748
6/2	500
7/1	653
7/2	585

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	5.9 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	42.4 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	51.7 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
				Arm 4 Right	Inf	22.4 %		
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Right	Inf	19.8 %	1975	1975
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	57.8 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	11.3 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	88.7 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 9: '2032 Base + Dev AM' (FG11: '2032 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Traffic Flows, Desired****Desired Flow :**

	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
Origin	A	0	305	259	24	588
	B	246	0	1153	419	1818
	C	254	734	0	33	1021
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	500	1039	1412	476	3427

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 9: 2032 Base + Dev AM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	510
1/2	511
2/1	904
2/2	914
3/1	305
3/2	283
4/1	476
5/1	500
6/1	528
6/2	511
7/1	904
7/2	508

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	6.5 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	49.8 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	43.7 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	45.8 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	26.9 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	27.2 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	8.5 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	91.5 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 10: '2032 Base + Dev PM' (FG12: '2032 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

	Destination					
Origin	A	0	530	259	26	815
	B	173	0	1019	147	1339
	C	258	759	0	29	1046
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	431	1289	1278	202	3200

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 10: 2032 Base + Dev PM
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	520
1/2	526
2/1	668
2/2	671
3/1	530
3/2	285
4/1	202
5/1	431
6/1	763
6/2	526
7/1	668
7/2	610

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	5.6 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	49.6 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	44.8 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Right	Inf	21.9 %	1975	1975
				Arm 5 Right	Inf	25.8 %		
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	52.3 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	9.1 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	90.9 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 11: '2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity' (FG13: '2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :


Origin	Destination					
		A	B	C	D	Tot.
	A	0	305	275	24	604
	B	246	0	1153	419	1818
	C	254	734	0	33	1021
	D	0	0	0	0	0
	Tot.	500	1039	1428	476	3443

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 11: 2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity
Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	
1/1	510
1/2	511
2/1	906
2/2	912
3/1	305
3/2	299
4/1	476
5/1	500
6/1	528
6/2	511
7/1	906
7/2	522


Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Walton Lane / Spellow Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	Inf	6.5 %	1945	1945
				Arm 5 Left	Inf	49.8 %		
				Arm 6 Right	Inf	43.7 %		
1/2 (Walton Lane NB)	3.30	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	Inf	100.0 %	1945	1945
2/1 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1975	1975
				Arm 4 Right	Inf	45.9 %		
2/2 (Walton Lane SB)	3.60	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Right	Inf	27.0 %	1975	1975
				Arm 7 Left	Inf	27.1 %		
3/1 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	100.0 %	1955	1955
3/2 (Spellow Lane)	3.40	0.00	Y	Arm 4 U-Turn	Inf	8.0 %	1955	1955
				Arm 7 Right	Inf	92.0 %		
4/1 (Langham Street)	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
5/1 (Spellow Lane Out)	3.80	0.00	Y				1995	1995
6/1 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
6/2 (Walton Lane NB out)	3.60	0.00	Y				1975	1975
7/1 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955
7/2 (Walton Lane SB out)	3.40	0.00	Y				1955	1955

Scenario 1: '2019 Survey AM' (FG1: '2019 Survey AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')**Stage Sequence Diagram****Stage Timings**

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	59	5	17
Change Point	0	14	79	94


Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram

Full Input Data And Results



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	67.9%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	67.9%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	403	1945	973	41.4%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	406	1945	973	41.7%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	69	-	757	1975	1152	65.7%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	69	4	772	1975	1152	67.0%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	45	-	247	1955	749	33.0%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	17	-	199	1955	293	67.9%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	408	1940	1940	21.0%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	339	1995	1995	17.0%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	466	1975	1975	23.6%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	406	1975	1975	20.6%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	757	1955	1955	38.7%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	408	1955	1955	20.9%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	15.9	4.9	0.0	20.9	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	15.9	4.9	0.0	20.9	-	-	-	-
1/1	403	403	-	-	-	2.1	0.4	-	2.5	22.1	8.4	0.4	8.7
1/2	406	406	-	-	-	2.1	0.4	-	2.5	22.1	8.5	0.4	8.8
2/1	757	757	-	-	-	3.6	1.0	-	4.5	21.4	17.0	1.0	18.0
2/2	772	772	-	-	-	3.7	1.0	-	4.7	21.8	17.6	1.0	18.6
3/1	247	247	-	-	-	1.8	0.2	-	2.0	29.7	5.8	0.2	6.0
3/2	199	199	-	-	-	2.7	1.0	-	3.7	67.0	6.2	1.0	7.3
4/1	408	408	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
5/1	339	339	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	466	466	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	406	406	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
7/1	757	757	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.6	12.6	0.3	12.9
7/2	408	408	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 32.6 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 19.89 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 32.6 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 20.87													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 2: '2019 Survey PM' (FG2: '2019 Survey PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	54	5	22
Change Point	0	14	74	89


Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram

Full Input Data And Results



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	53.1%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	53.1%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	422	1945	891	47.3%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	429	1945	891	48.1%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	64	-	561	1975	1070	52.4%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	64	4	565	1975	1070	52.8%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	50	-	426	1955	831	51.3%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	22	-	199	1955	375	53.1%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	174	1940	1940	9.0%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	289	1995	1995	14.5%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	644	1975	1975	32.6%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	429	1975	1975	21.7%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	561	1955	1955	28.7%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	505	1955	1955	25.8%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	16.3	4.0	0.0	20.3	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	16.3	4.0	0.0	20.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	422	422	-	-	-	2.6	0.4	-	3.1	26.3	9.7	0.4	10.2
1/2	429	429	-	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	3.2	26.5	9.9	0.5	10.4
2/1	561	561	-	-	-	2.7	0.6	-	3.3	21.1	11.8	0.6	12.4
2/2	565	565	-	-	-	2.8	0.6	-	3.3	21.2	12.1	0.6	12.6
3/1	426	426	-	-	-	3.0	0.5	-	3.5	29.8	10.4	0.5	10.9
3/2	199	199	-	-	-	2.4	0.6	-	3.0	53.8	5.9	0.6	6.5
4/1	174	174	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	289	289	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	644	644	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.4	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	429	429	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
7/1	561	561	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	7.6	0.2	7.8
7/2	505	505	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 69.5 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 19.36 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 69.5 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 20.26													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 3: '2028 Base AM' (FG5: '2028 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram




Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	59	5	17
Change Point	0	14	79	94

Signal Timings Diagram





Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	76.4%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	76.4%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	453	1945	973	46.6%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	455	1945	973	46.8%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	69	-	855	1975	1152	74.2%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	69	4	869	1975	1152	75.4%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	45	-	277	1955	749	37.0%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	17	-	224	1955	293	76.4%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	458	1940	1940	23.6%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	385	1995	1995	19.3%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	524	1975	1975	26.5%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	455	1975	1975	23.0%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	855	1955	1955	43.7%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	456	1955	1955	23.3%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	18.9	6.8	0.0	25.7	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	18.9	6.8	0.0	25.7	-	-	-	-
1/1	453	453	-	-	-	2.5	0.4	-	2.9	23.0	9.8	0.4	10.3
1/2	455	455	-	-	-	2.5	0.4	-	2.9	23.1	9.9	0.4	10.3
2/1	855	855	-	-	-	4.4	1.4	-	5.8	24.4	20.9	1.4	22.3
2/2	869	869	-	-	-	4.5	1.5	-	6.0	24.9	21.5	1.5	23.0
3/1	277	277	-	-	-	2.0	0.3	-	2.3	30.4	6.6	0.3	6.9
3/2	224	224	-	-	-	3.0	1.5	-	4.6	73.8	7.2	1.5	8.7
4/1	458	458	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
5/1	385	385	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	524	524	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	455	455	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
7/1	855	855	-	-	-	0.0	0.4	-	0.4	1.7	16.9	0.4	17.3
7/2	456	456	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 17.8 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 24.54 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 17.8 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 25.70													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 4: '2028 Base PM' (FG6: '2028 Base PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	54	5	22
Change Point	0	14	74	89


Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram

Full Input Data And Results



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	59.5%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	59.5%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	473	1945	891	53.1%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	481	1945	891	54.0%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	64	-	629	1975	1070	58.8%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	64	4	633	1975	1070	59.2%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	50	-	476	1955	831	57.3%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	22	-	223	1955	375	59.5%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	195	1940	1940	10.1%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	325	1995	1995	16.3%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	721	1975	1975	36.5%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	481	1975	1975	24.4%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	629	1955	1955	32.2%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	564	1955	1955	28.8%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	18.9	5.0	0.0	23.9	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	18.9	5.0	0.0	23.9	-	-	-	-
1/1	473	473	-	-	-	3.1	0.6	-	3.6	27.6	11.2	0.6	11.7
1/2	481	481	-	-	-	3.1	0.6	-	3.7	27.8	11.5	0.6	12.1
2/1	629	629	-	-	-	3.2	0.7	-	3.9	22.6	14.0	0.7	14.7
2/2	633	633	-	-	-	3.3	0.7	-	4.0	22.7	14.1	0.7	14.8
3/1	476	476	-	-	-	3.5	0.7	-	4.1	31.3	12.0	0.7	12.7
3/2	223	223	-	-	-	2.7	0.7	-	3.5	56.0	6.8	0.7	7.5
4/1	195	195	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5/1	325	325	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	721	721	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.3
6/2	481	481	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	629	629	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.4	9.8	0.2	10.1
7/2	564	564	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 51.2 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 22.86 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 51.2 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 23.91													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 5: '2028 Base + Dev AM' (FG7: '2028 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	56	5	20
Change Point	0	14	76	91


Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram

Full Input Data And Results



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	80.4%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	80.4%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	492	1945	924	53.3%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	492	1945	924	53.3%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	66	-	869	1975	1103	78.8%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	66	4	881	1975	1103	79.9%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	48	-	294	1955	798	36.8%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	20	-	275	1955	342	80.4%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	458	1940	1940	23.6%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	485	1995	1995	24.3%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	508	1975	1975	25.7%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	492	1975	1975	24.9%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	869	1955	1955	44.5%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	491	1955	1955	25.1%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	21.9	8.4	0.0	30.3	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	21.9	8.4	0.0	30.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	492	492	-	-	-	3.0	0.6	-	3.6	26.3	11.5	0.6	12.0
1/2	492	492	-	-	-	3.0	0.6	-	3.6	26.3	11.5	0.6	12.0
2/1	869	869	-	-	-	5.0	1.8	-	6.9	28.5	22.7	1.8	24.5
2/2	881	881	-	-	-	5.2	2.0	-	7.1	29.1	23.2	2.0	25.2
3/1	294	294	-	-	-	2.0	0.3	-	2.3	28.3	6.8	0.3	7.1
3/2	275	275	-	-	-	3.6	1.9	-	5.6	72.9	8.8	1.9	10.7
4/1	458	458	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
5/1	485	485	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/1	508	508	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	492	492	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	869	869	-	-	-	0.0	0.4	-	0.4	1.8	18.6	0.4	19.0
7/2	491	491	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 12.0 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 29.07 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 12.0 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 30.31													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 6: '2028 Base + Dev PM' (FG8: '2028 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	50	5	26
Change Point	0	14	70	85

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	64.4%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	64.4%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	50	-	502	1945	827	60.7%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	50	-	509	1945	827	61.6%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	60	-	644	1975	1004	64.1%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	60	4	647	1975	1004	64.4%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	54	-	512	1955	896	57.1%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	26	-	276	1955	440	62.7%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	195	1940	1940	10.1%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	419	1995	1995	21.0%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	735	1975	1975	37.2%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	509	1975	1975	25.8%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	644	1955	1955	32.9%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	588	1955	1955	30.1%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	21.9	6.0	0.0	27.8	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	21.9	6.0	0.0	27.8	-	-	-	-
1/1	502	502	-	-	-	3.7	0.8	-	4.5	32.3	13.0	0.8	13.7
1/2	509	509	-	-	-	3.8	0.8	-	4.6	32.5	13.1	0.8	13.9
2/1	644	644	-	-	-	3.9	0.9	-	4.7	26.5	15.6	0.9	16.5
2/2	647	647	-	-	-	3.9	0.9	-	4.8	26.6	15.6	0.9	16.5
3/1	512	512	-	-	-	3.4	0.7	-	4.1	28.5	12.5	0.7	13.2
3/2	276	276	-	-	-	3.2	0.8	-	4.1	52.8	8.3	0.8	9.1
4/1	195	195	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5/1	419	419	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	735	735	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.3
6/2	509	509	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	644	644	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.3	1.4	11.4	0.2	11.7
7/2	588	588	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1													
PRC for Signalled Lanes (%):				39.7	Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):				26.72	Cycle Time (s): 120			
PRC Over All Lanes (%):				39.7	Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):				27.85				

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 7: '2032 Base AM' (FG9: '2032 Base AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	59	5	17
Change Point	0	14	79	94

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results


Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	79.1%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	79.1%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	471	1945	973	48.4%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	59	-	474	1945	973	48.7%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	69	-	891	1975	1152	77.3%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	69	4	903	1975	1152	78.4%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	45	-	288	1955	749	38.4%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	17	-	232	1955	293	79.1%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	476	1940	1940	24.5%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	401	1995	1995	20.1%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	544	1975	1975	27.5%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	474	1975	1975	24.0%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	891	1955	1955	45.6%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	473	1955	1955	24.2%

Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	20.0	7.7	0.0	27.8	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	20.0	7.7	0.0	27.8	-	-	-	-
1/1	471	471	-	-	-	2.6	0.5	-	3.1	23.4	10.3	0.5	10.8
1/2	474	474	-	-	-	2.6	0.5	-	3.1	23.4	10.4	0.5	10.9
2/1	891	891	-	-	-	4.7	1.7	-	6.4	25.8	22.5	1.7	24.2
2/2	903	903	-	-	-	4.8	1.8	-	6.6	26.3	23.1	1.8	24.9
3/1	288	288	-	-	-	2.1	0.3	-	2.5	30.7	6.9	0.3	7.2
3/2	232	232	-	-	-	3.2	1.8	-	5.0	77.0	7.4	1.8	9.2
4/1	476	476	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
5/1	401	401	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	544	544	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	474	474	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	891	891	-	-	-	0.0	0.4	-	0.4	1.8	18.5	0.4	18.9
7/2	473	473	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 13.8 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 26.54 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 13.8 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 27.78													


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	54	5	22
Change Point	0	14	74	89

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	61.6%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	61.6%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	491	1945	891	55.1%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	54	-	500	1945	891	56.1%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	64	-	653	1975	1070	61.0%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	64	4	657	1975	1070	61.4%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	50	-	494	1955	831	59.5%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	22	-	231	1955	375	61.6%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	202	1940	1940	10.4%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	338	1995	1995	16.9%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	748	1975	1975	37.9%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	500	1975	1975	25.3%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	653	1955	1955	33.4%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	585	1955	1955	29.9%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	19.9	5.4	0.0	25.3	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	19.9	5.4	0.0	25.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	491	491	-	-	-	3.2	0.6	-	3.8	28.0	11.7	0.6	12.3
1/2	500	500	-	-	-	3.3	0.6	-	3.9	28.3	12.1	0.6	12.7
2/1	653	653	-	-	-	3.4	0.8	-	4.2	23.1	14.9	0.8	15.7
2/2	657	657	-	-	-	3.4	0.8	-	4.2	23.2	15.0	0.8	15.8
3/1	494	494	-	-	-	3.6	0.7	-	4.4	31.9	12.6	0.7	13.4
3/2	231	231	-	-	-	2.9	0.8	-	3.6	56.8	7.0	0.8	7.8
4/1	202	202	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5/1	338	338	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	748	748	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.3
6/2	500	500	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	653	653	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.4	10.4	0.3	10.7
7/2	585	585	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 46.0 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 24.21 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 46.0 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 25.31													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 9: '2032 Base + Dev AM' (FG11: '2032 Base + Dev AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	56	5	20
Change Point	0	14	76	91

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	82.9%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	82.9%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	510	1945	924	55.2%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	56	-	511	1945	924	55.3%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	66	-	904	1975	1103	82.0%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	66	4	914	1975	1103	82.9%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	48	-	305	1955	798	38.2%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	20	-	283	1955	342	82.7%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	476	1940	1940	24.5%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	500	1995	1995	25.1%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	528	1975	1975	26.7%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	511	1975	1975	25.9%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	904	1955	1955	46.2%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	508	1955	1955	26.0%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	23.2	9.6	0.0	32.8	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	23.2	9.6	0.0	32.8	-	-	-	-
1/1	510	510	-	-	-	3.2	0.6	-	3.8	26.8	12.0	0.6	12.7
1/2	511	511	-	-	-	3.2	0.6	-	3.8	26.8	12.1	0.6	12.7
2/1	904	904	-	-	-	5.4	2.2	-	7.6	30.4	24.4	2.2	26.6
2/2	914	914	-	-	-	5.5	2.4	-	7.9	31.1	24.9	2.4	27.2
3/1	305	305	-	-	-	2.1	0.3	-	2.4	28.5	7.1	0.3	7.4
3/2	283	283	-	-	-	3.8	2.2	-	6.0	76.1	9.0	2.2	11.3
4/1	476	476	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
5/1	500	500	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/1	528	528	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	511	511	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	904	904	-	-	-	0.0	0.4	-	0.5	1.8	20.7	0.4	21.1
7/2	508	508	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 8.6 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 31.53 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 8.6 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 32.85													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 10: '2032 Base + Dev PM' (FG12: '2032 Base + Dev PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings


Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	50	5	26
Change Point	0	14	70	85

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	66.8%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	66.8%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	50	-	520	1945	827	62.9%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	50	-	526	1945	827	63.6%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	60	-	668	1975	1004	66.5%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	60	4	671	1975	1004	66.8%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	54	-	530	1955	896	59.1%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	26	-	285	1955	440	64.8%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	202	1940	1940	10.4%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	431	1995	1995	21.6%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	763	1975	1975	38.6%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	526	1975	1975	26.6%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	668	1955	1955	34.2%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	610	1955	1955	31.2%


Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	23.0	6.5	0.0	29.5	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	23.0	6.5	0.0	29.5	-	-	-	-
1/1	520	520	-	-	-	3.9	0.8	-	4.8	32.9	13.6	0.8	14.4
1/2	526	526	-	-	-	4.0	0.9	-	4.8	33.1	13.7	0.9	14.6
2/1	668	668	-	-	-	4.1	1.0	-	5.1	27.2	16.5	1.0	17.5
2/2	671	671	-	-	-	4.1	1.0	-	5.1	27.3	16.6	1.0	17.6
3/1	530	530	-	-	-	3.6	0.7	-	4.3	29.1	13.1	0.7	13.8
3/2	285	285	-	-	-	3.3	0.9	-	4.2	53.7	8.6	0.9	9.5
4/1	202	202	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5/1	431	431	-	-	-	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
6/1	763	763	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.3
6/2	526	526	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	668	668	-	-	-	0.0	0.3	-	0.3	1.5	12.1	0.3	12.3
7/2	610	610	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 34.7 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 28.28 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 34.7 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 29.46													

Full Input Data And Results

Scenario 11: '2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity' (FG13: '2032 Base + Dev AM Sensitivity', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	4	55	5	21
Change Point	0	14	75	90


Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

Network Layout Diagram

Full Input Data And Results



Full Input Data And Results

Network Results

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	84.0%
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	84.0%
1/1	Walton Lane NB Left Left2 Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	55	-	510	1945	908	56.2%
1/2	Walton Lane NB Right	U	N/A	N/A	A		1	55	-	511	1945	908	56.3%
2/1	Walton Lane SB Left	U	N/A	N/A	B		1	65	-	906	1975	1086	83.4%
2/2	Walton Lane SB Right Right2 Left	U	N/A	N/A	B	C	1	65	4	912	1975	1086	84.0%
3/1	Spellow Lane Left	U	N/A	N/A	E		1	49	-	305	1955	815	37.4%
3/2	Spellow Lane U-Turn Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	21	-	299	1955	358	83.4%
4/1	Langham Street	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	476	1940	1940	24.5%
5/1	Spellow Lane Out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	500	1995	1995	25.1%
6/1	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	528	1975	1975	26.7%
6/2	Walton Lane NB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	511	1975	1975	25.9%
7/1	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	906	1955	1955	46.3%
7/2	Walton Lane SB out	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	522	1955	1955	26.7%

Full Input Data And Results

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	23.9	10.2	0.0	34.1	-	-	-	-
Walton Lane / Spellow Lane	-	-	0	0	0	23.9	10.2	0.0	34.1	-	-	-	-
1/1	510	510	-	-	-	3.3	0.6	-	3.9	27.6	12.2	0.6	12.8
1/2	511	511	-	-	-	3.3	0.6	-	3.9	27.7	12.2	0.6	12.8
2/1	906	906	-	-	-	5.6	2.4	-	8.1	32.2	24.9	2.4	27.4
2/2	912	912	-	-	-	5.7	2.5	-	8.3	32.6	25.3	2.5	27.9
3/1	305	305	-	-	-	2.0	0.3	-	2.3	27.7	6.9	0.3	7.2
3/2	299	299	-	-	-	3.9	2.3	-	6.3	75.3	9.6	2.3	11.9
4/1	476	476	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
5/1	500	500	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/1	528	528	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
6/2	511	511	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/1	906	906	-	-	-	0.0	0.4	-	0.5	1.8	21.2	0.4	21.6
7/2	522	522	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): 7.2 Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 32.81 Cycle Time (s): 120 PRC Over All Lanes (%): 7.2 Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 34.14													

H. Walton Lane - Bullens Road Junctions 9 Output

Junctions 9															
PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module															
Version: 9.5.1.7462															
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019															
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:															
+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk															
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution															

Filename: Bullens Road - Walton Lane.j9

Path: P:\Liverpool\NTD\Projects\385175 BMD\Goodison Legacy\Modelling\PICADY

Report generation date: 10/12/2019 11:05:23

- »2028 Base, AM
- »2028 Base + Dev, AM
- »2028 Base, PM
- »2028 Base + Dev, PM
- »2032 Base, AM
- »2032 Base + Dev, AM
- »2032 Base, PM
- »2032 Base + Dev, PM

Summary of junction performance

	AM								PM							
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity
	2028 Base															
Stream B-AC	D1	1.2	52.89	0.55	F	1.55	A	-4 % [Stream B-AC]	D3	0.2	22.50	0.14	C	0.25	A	5 % [Stream B-AC]
Stream C-B		0.0	7.91	0.02	A					0.0	9.47	0.02	A			
	2028 Base + Dev															
Stream B-AC	D2	4.4	167.66	0.84	F	5.86	A	-7 % [Stream B-AC]	D4	0.5	22.76	0.31	C	0.75	A	4 % [Stream B-AC]
Stream C-B		0.1	8.82	0.11	A					0.1	10.19	0.09	B			
	2032 Base															
Stream B-AC	D5	3.1	137.97	0.77	F	4.00	A	-7 % [Stream B-AC]	D7	0.2	24.54	0.15	C	0.26	A	4 % [Stream B-AC]
Stream C-B		0.0	8.09	0.02	A					0.0	9.48	0.02	A			
	2032 Base + Dev															
Stream B-AC	D6	4.3	187.72	0.84	F	5.54	A	-5 % [Stream B-AC]	D8	0.6	32.07	0.39	D	0.99	A	0 % [Stream B-AC]
Stream C-B		0.1	9.05	0.11	A					0.1	10.56	0.10	B			

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demand-weighted averages. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.


File summary

File Description

Title	Goodison Legacy
Location	Bullens Road / Walton Lane
Site number	
Date	09/12/2019
Version	1
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	MOTTMAC\MCM80211
Description	

Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

Analysis Options

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	Residual capacity criteria type	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
	✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00

Demand Set Summary

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2028 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D2	2028 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D3	2028 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D4	2028 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D5	2032 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D6	2032 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D7	2032 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D8	2032 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Analysis Set Details

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000

2028 Base, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		1.55	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-4	Stream B-AC

Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
A	Walton Lane W		Major
B	Bullens Road		Minor
C	Walton Lane E		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Width of kerbed central reserve (m)	Has right turn bay	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
C - Walton Lane E	7.35	✓	1.00		250.0		-

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor arm type	Lane width (m)	Visibility to left (m)	Visibility to right (m)
B - Bullens Road	One lane	3.45	30	150

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
B-A	599	0.101	0.254	0.160	0.363
B-C	751	0.108	0.274	-	-
C-B	719	0.262	0.262	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2028 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	975	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	82	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1775	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	12	963
	B - Bullens Road	30	0	52
	C - Walton Lane E	1767	8	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.55	52.89	1.2	F
C-A				
C-B	0.02	7.91	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	78	1.1	47.526	E
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.907	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	82	1.1	52.392	F
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.908	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	82	1.2	52.688	F
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.908	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	82	1.2	52.800	F
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.908	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	82	1.2	52.856	F
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.908	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	82	150	0.547	82	1.2	52.894	F
C-A	1767			1767			
C-B	8	463	0.017	8	0.0	7.908	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	963			963			

2028 Base + Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		5.86	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-7	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2028 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	994	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	100	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1840	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	14	980
	B - Bullens Road	31	0	69
	C - Walton Lane E	1790	50	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.84	167.66	4.4	F
C-A				
C-B	0.11	8.82	0.1	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	120	0.836	89	2.9	96.605	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.802	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	119	0.839	97	3.5	140.615	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.819	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	119	0.839	99	3.9	153.014	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.819	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	119	0.839	99	4.1	159.931	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.819	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	119	0.839	99	4.3	164.451	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.819	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	100	119	0.839	100	4.4	167.664	F
C-A	1790			1790			
C-B	50	458	0.109	50	0.1	8.819	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	980			980			

2028 Base, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		0.25	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	5	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D3	2028 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1265	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	25	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1263	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	15	1250
	B - Bullens Road	7	0	18
	C - Walton Lane E	1256	7	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.14	22.50	0.2	C
C-A				
C-B	0.02	9.47	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	24	0.2	22.333	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.468	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	25	0.2	22.499	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.469	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	25	0.2	22.502	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.469	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	25	0.2	22.504	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.469	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	25	0.2	22.504	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.469	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	185	0.135	25	0.2	22.504	C
C-A	1256			1256			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.469	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1250			1250			

2028 Base + Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		0.75	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	4	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D4	2028 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1257	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	72	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1333	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	16	1241
	B - Bullens Road	10	0	62
	C - Walton Lane E	1297	36	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.31	22.76	0.5	C
C-A				
C-B	0.09	10.19	0.1	B
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.312	70	0.4	22.249	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.168	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.313	72	0.4	22.738	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.190	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.313	72	0.4	22.752	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.190	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.313	72	0.5	22.760	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.190	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.313	72	0.5	22.759	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.190	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	72	230	0.313	72	0.5	22.762	C
C-A	1297			1297			
C-B	36	389	0.092	36	0.1	10.190	B
A-B	16			16			
A-C	1241			1241			

2032 Base, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		4.00	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-7	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D5	2032 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1014	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	85	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1846	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	12	1002
	B - Bullens Road	31	0	54
	C - Walton Lane E	1838	8	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.77	137.97	3.1	F
C-A				
C-B	0.02	8.09	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.774	76	2.2	91.826	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.088	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.775	83	2.7	124.059	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.090	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.775	84	2.8	130.983	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.090	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.775	85	3.0	134.441	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.090	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.775	85	3.0	136.545	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.090	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	85	110	0.775	85	3.1	137.969	F
C-A	1838			1838			
C-B	8	453	0.018	8	0.0	8.090	A
A-B	12			12			
A-C	1002			1002			

2032 Base + Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		5.54	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-5	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D6	2032 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1033	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	87	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1911	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	14	1019
	B - Bullens Road	16	0	71
	C - Walton Lane E	1861	50	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.84	187.72	4.3	F
C-A				
C-B	0.11	9.05	0.1	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	105	0.832	76	2.7	105.575	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.024	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	104	0.837	84	3.4	155.142	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.045	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	104	0.837	86	3.7	169.885	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.045	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	104	0.837	86	4.0	178.239	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.045	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	104	0.837	86	4.1	183.762	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.045	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	87	104	0.837	87	4.3	187.721	F
C-A	1861			1861			
C-B	50	448	0.112	50	0.1	9.045	A
A-B	14			14			
A-C	1019			1019			

2032 Base, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		0.26	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	4	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D7	2032 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1266	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	25	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1311	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	15	1251
	B - Bullens Road	7	0	18
	C - Walton Lane E	1304	7	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.15	24.54	0.2	C
C-A				
C-B	0.02	9.48	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	24	0.2	24.325	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.474	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	25	0.2	24.539	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.475	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	25	0.2	24.541	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.475	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	25	0.2	24.544	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.475	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	25	0.2	24.544	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.475	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	25	172	0.146	25	0.2	24.544	C
C-A	1304			1304			
C-B	7	387	0.018	7	0.0	9.475	A
A-B	15			15			
A-C	1251			1251			

2032 Base + Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Bullens Lane	T-Junction	Two-way		0.99	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	0	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D8	2032 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane W		✓	1304	100.000
B - Bullens Road		✓	73	100.000
C - Walton Lane E		✓	1381	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	17	1287
	B - Bullens Road	10	0	63
	C - Walton Lane E	1345	36	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane W	B - Bullens Road	C - Walton Lane E
From	A - Walton Lane W	0	0	0
	B - Bullens Road	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane E	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.39	32.07	0.6	D
C-A				
C-B	0.10	10.56	0.1	B
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	186	0.393	71	0.6	30.695	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.533	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	185	0.394	73	0.6	32.003	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.558	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	185	0.394	73	0.6	32.041	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.558	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	185	0.394	73	0.6	32.054	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.558	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	185	0.394	73	0.6	32.060	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.558	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	73	185	0.394	73	0.6	32.066	D
C-A	1345			1345			
C-B	36	377	0.096	36	0.1	10.558	B
A-B	17			17			
A-C	1287			1287			

I. Walton Lane - Gwladys Street Junctions 9 Output

Junctions 9															
PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module															
Version: 9.5.1.7462															
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019															
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:															
+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk															
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution															

Filename: Gwladys Street - Walton Lane.j9

Path: P:\Liverpool\NTD\Projects\385175 BMD\Goodison Legacy\Modelling\PICADY

Report generation date: 10/12/2019 11:06:16

- »2028 Base, AM
- »2028 Base + Dev, AM
- »2028 Base, PM
- »2028 Base + Dev, PM
- »2032 Base, AM
- »2032 Base + Dev, AM
- »2032 Base, PM
- »2032 Base + Dev, PM

Summary of junction performance

	AM								PM							
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity
	2028 Base															
Stream B-AC	D1	0.2	20.21	0.15	C	0.37	A	10 %	D3	0.1	12.99	0.11	B	0.22	A	28 %
Stream C-B		0.1	8.19	0.07	A			[Stream B-AC]		0.0	0.00	0.00	A			[Stream B-AC]
	2028 Base + Dev															
Stream B-AC	D2	0.5	19.59	0.33	C	1.19	A	6 %	D4	0.2	11.81	0.19	B	0.66	A	38 %
Stream C-B		0.4	10.36	0.26	B			[Stream B-AC]		0.1	8.69	0.11	A			[Stream B-AC]
	2032 Base															
Stream B-AC	D5	0.2	23.40	0.17	C	0.41	A	6 %	D7	0.1	13.75	0.12	B	0.23	A	23 %
Stream C-B		0.1	8.40	0.07	A			[Stream B-AC]		0.0	0.00	0.00	A			[Stream B-AC]
	2032 Base + Dev															
Stream B-AC	D6	0.6	24.59	0.38	C	1.33	A	3 %	D8	0.3	13.19	0.21	B	0.47	A	23 %
Stream C-B		0.4	10.70	0.27	B			[Stream B-AC]		0.0	8.16	0.03	A			[Stream B-AC]

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demand-weighted averages. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.


File summary

File Description

Title	Goodison Legacy
Location	Gwladys Street / Walton Lane
Site number	
Date	09/12/2019
Version	1
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	MOTTMAC\MCM80211
Description	

Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



Flows show original traffic demand (PCU/hr).
Streams (downstream end) show RFC (l)

The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

Analysis Options

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	Residual capacity criteria type	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
	✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00

Demand Set Summary

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2028 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D2	2028 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D3	2028 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D4	2028 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D5	2032 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D6	2032 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15
D7	2032 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15
D8	2032 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Analysis Set Details

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000

2028 Base, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.37	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	10	Stream B-AC

Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
A	Walton Lane S		Major
B	Gwladys Street		Minor
C	Walton Lane N		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Width of kerbed central reserve (m)	Has right turn bay	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
C - Walton Lane N	6.00	✓	1.50		250.0		-

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor arm type	Lane width (m)	Visibility to left (m)	Visibility to right (m)
B - Gwladys Street	One lane	3.30	25	16

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
B-A	525	0.093	0.234	0.147	0.334
B-C	653	0.100	0.253	-	-
C-B	719	0.278	0.278	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2028 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	884	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	31	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1493	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	52	832
	B - Gwladys Street	10	0	21
	C - Walton Lane N	1460	33	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.15	20.21	0.2	C
C-A				
C-B	0.07	8.19	0.1	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	30	0.2	20.048	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.179	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	31	0.2	20.207	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.189	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	31	0.2	20.210	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.189	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	31	0.2	20.212	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.189	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	31	0.2	20.212	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.189	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	31	209	0.148	31	0.2	20.212	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	33	473	0.070	33	0.1	8.189	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

2028 Base + Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		1.19	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	6	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2028 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	884	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	89	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1585	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	52	832
	B - Gwladys Street	10	0	79
	C - Walton Lane N	1460	125	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.33	19.59	0.5	C
C-A				
C-B	0.26	10.36	0.4	B
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	274	0.325	87	0.5	19.130	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	124	0.4	10.276	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	273	0.326	89	0.5	19.581	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	125	0.4	10.357	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	273	0.326	89	0.5	19.590	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	125	0.4	10.357	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	273	0.326	89	0.5	19.592	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	125	0.4	10.357	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	273	0.326	89	0.5	19.594	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	125	0.4	10.357	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	273	0.326	89	0.5	19.594	C
C-A	1460			1460			
C-B	125	473	0.265	125	0.4	10.357	B
A-B	52			52			
A-C	832			832			

2028 Base, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.22	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	28	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D3	2028 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	914	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	36	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1161	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	50	864
	B - Gwladys Street	7	0	29
	C - Walton Lane N	1161	0	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.11	12.99	0.1	B
C-A				
C-B	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	35	0.1	12.941	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	36	0.1	12.987	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	36	0.1	12.987	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	36	0.1	12.987	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	36	0.1	12.987	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36	313	0.115	36	0.1	12.987	B
C-A	1161			1161			
C-B	0	464	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

2028 Base + Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.66	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	38	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D4	2028 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	914	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	70	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	914	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	50	864
	B - Gwladys Street	7	0	63
	C - Walton Lane N	864	50	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.19	11.81	0.2	B
C-A				
C-B	0.11	8.69	0.1	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	69	0.2	11.745	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.672	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	70	0.2	11.814	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.690	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	70	0.2	11.815	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.690	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	70	0.2	11.815	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.690	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	70	0.2	11.815	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.690	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	70	375	0.187	70	0.2	11.815	B
C-A	864			864			
C-B	50	464	0.108	50	0.1	8.690	A
A-B	50			50			
A-C	864			864			

2032 Base, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.41	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	6	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D5	2032 Base	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	920	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	32	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1553	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	55	865
	B - Gwladys Street	10	0	22
	C - Walton Lane N	1519	34	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.17	23.40	0.2	C
C-A				
C-B	0.07	8.40	0.1	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	31	0.2	23.138	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.388	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	32	0.2	23.392	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.400	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	32	0.2	23.397	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.400	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	32	0.2	23.397	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.400	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	32	0.2	23.399	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.400	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	32	186	0.172	32	0.2	23.399	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	34	463	0.074	34	0.1	8.400	A
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

2032 Base + Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		1.33	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	3	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D6	2032 Base + Dev	AM	FLAT	08:00	09:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	920	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	89	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1645	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	55	865
	B - Gwladys Street	10	0	79
	C - Walton Lane N	1519	126	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.38	24.59	0.6	C
C-A				
C-B	0.27	10.70	0.4	B
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	237	0.376	87	0.6	23.694	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	125	0.4	10.606	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	235	0.378	89	0.6	24.558	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	126	0.4	10.696	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	235	0.378	89	0.6	24.579	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	126	0.4	10.696	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	235	0.378	89	0.6	24.586	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	126	0.4	10.696	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	235	0.378	89	0.6	24.589	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	126	0.4	10.696	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	89	235	0.378	89	0.6	24.592	C
C-A	1519			1519			
C-B	126	463	0.272	126	0.4	10.696	B
A-B	55			55			
A-C	865			865			

2032 Base, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.23	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	23	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D7	2032 Base	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	949	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	37	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1205	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	52	897
	B - Gwladys Street	7	0	30
	C - Walton Lane N	1205	0	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.12	13.75	0.1	B
C-A				
C-B	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	36	0.1	13.692	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	37	0.1	13.748	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	37	0.1	13.748	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	37	0.1	13.748	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	37	0.1	13.748	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37	299	0.124	37	0.1	13.748	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	0	454	0.000	0	0.0	0.000	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

2032 Base + Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Walton Lane / Gwladys Street	T-Junction	Two-way		0.47	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	23	Stream B-AC

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)
D8	2032 Base + Dev	PM	FLAT	17:00	18:30	90	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
A - Walton Lane S		✓	949	100.000
B - Gwladys Street		✓	71	100.000
C - Walton Lane N		✓	1218	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	52	897
	B - Gwladys Street	7	0	64
	C - Walton Lane N	1205	13	0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	To			
		A - Walton Lane S	B - Gwladys Street	C - Walton Lane N
From	A - Walton Lane S	0	0	0
	B - Gwladys Street	0	0	0
	C - Walton Lane N	0	0	0

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.21	13.19	0.3	B
C-A				
C-B	0.03	8.16	0.0	A
A-B				
A-C				

Main Results for each time segment

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	70	0.3	13.088	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.151	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	71	0.3	13.185	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.154	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	71	0.3	13.185	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.154	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	71	0.3	13.185	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.154	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	71	0.3	13.185	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.155	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	71	344	0.206	71	0.3	13.185	B
C-A	1205			1205			
C-B	13	454	0.029	13	0.0	8.155	A
A-B	52			52			
A-C	897			897			

J. Scoping Correspondence

People's Project

Goodison Legacy Transport Assessment Scoping

April 2019

People's Project

Goodison Legacy Transport Assessment Scoping

April 2019

Issue and revision record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	02/04/19	KB	CR	DD	DRAFT
B	24/04/19	KB	CR	DD	DRAFT for LCC Comment

Document reference: 385175 | AA | 03

Information class: Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Contents

1	People's Project Goodison Legacy Transport Assessment Scoping	3
1.1	Introduction	3
2	Transport Assessment Scope	5
2.1	Policy Review	5
2.2	Baseline Transport Conditions	5
2.2.1	Existing Site Uses and Transport Demand	5
2.2.2	Road Network	5
2.2.3	Walking and cycling	6
2.2.4	Rail	6
2.2.5	Bus	6
2.3	Committed Development	6
2.4	Proposed Development and Operation	6
2.5	Transport Demand and Trip Distribution	7
2.6	Internal Site Analysis	7
2.7	Junction Impact Assessment	7
2.8	Conclusions and Recommendations	8

1 People's Project Goodison Legacy Transport Assessment Scoping

1.1 Introduction

This technical note has been prepared to scope Liverpool City Council's (LCC) requirements for a Transport Assessment (TA) and Travel Plan to support an outline planning application for the redevelopment of Goodison Park, current home of Everton Football Club as part of the People's Project.

This technical note provides an overview of Mott MacDonald's (MM) approach to the application and the key transport issues the TA will address.

Figure 1.1: Site Location



Goodison Park has been Everton Football Club's home for over 125 years. Accordingly, match day travel patterns and traffic management measures employed in the local area are well established. On non-match days the stadium still attracts transport demand on account of office, box office, stadium tours, conferences, banqueting and general club activity albeit on a much smaller scale to match days.

A wide range of uses are proposed which will generate transport demand at different times than the current use, as a football stadium. At the time of producing this document both the quantum and type of development is not fixed. However, this scoping note provides a general indication of the nature of development which will take place.

It should be noted that the redevelopment of Goodison Park is entirely linked to the wider People's Project which also includes Everton's' proposed new stadium at Bramley Moore Dock. Accordingly, it will not be possible for the proposed development at Goodison Park to take place until the new stadium at Bramley Moore Dock is open, estimated to be 2023.

2 Transport Assessment Scope

2.1 Policy Review

A review of the development in relation to National and Local transport policy will be provided. It should be noted that the statutory development plan comprises the UDP and the NPPF, the Emerging Local Plan, Transport Plan and relevant Supplementary Planning Documents such as 'Ensuring Travel Choice of Travel'. The following relevant documents will be reviewed:

- National Planning Policy Framework (2019) (and associated Planning Practice Guidance, 2014 and as amended)
- Liverpool Local Plan (currently in draft format, 2018)
- Liverpool City Centre Strategic Investment Framework (2012)
- Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy (2018)
- Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (2011)
- Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD (2008)
- Design for Access for All SPD (2011)
- Merseyside Active Travel Strategy (2011)
- Other policy or guidance documents identified as being relevant from discussions with stakeholders.

2.2 Baseline Transport Conditions

2.2.1 Existing Site Uses and Transport Demand

A description of the existing development site will be provided in the TA and will include:

- Current site use and transport characteristics
- Means of access

A breakdown of current activities on match days and non-matchdays will be provided with survey information for traffic generation of the site car park on both these scenarios.

2.2.2 Road Network

An overview of the highway network near the site will be undertaken with an appraisal of strategic routes to the site and more local connections.

Traffic flow data will be presented showing traffic flow on key routes from the site. Traffic surveys have been commissioned by Mott MacDonald in the month of March (on a Weekday 07:00 - 10:00 and 16:00 – 18:00, and Saturday 11:00 -16:00 non- matchday) which includes the following junctions:

- Walton Lane / Bullens Road
- Walton Lane / Spellow Lane / Langham Street
- Spellow Lane / Goodison Road
- Goodison Road / City Road / Gwladys Street / Andrew Street / Nimrod Street
- Bullens Road / Gwladys Street
- Goodison Park Car Park access on Goodison Road

- **Priory Road / Walton Lane**

Furthermore, continuous ATC counts have been commissioned during the month of March which incorporate matchdays and non-matchdays on the following road links:

- Walton Lane between Spellow Lane and Bullens Road
- County Road between Wilburn Street and Dane Street

A review of accident data in the immediate vicinity of the site will be presented which will include:

- Walton Lane Between Spellow Lane and Gwladys Street;
- Goodison Road between Spellow Lane and Gwladys Street;
- Gwladys Street between City Road and Walton Lane.

2.2.3 Walking and cycling

A review of connectivity to the existing walking and cycle networks will be provided. This review will concentrate upon the site's connection to local amenities and centres. The convenience and condition of these routes will be appraised as well as wider connections to the Liverpool City Region's cycle network.

2.2.4 Rail

The closest rail station to Goodison Park is Kirkdale, situated on Merseyrail's Northern Line and supports services from Liverpool Central to both Ormskirk and Kirkby. A review of the site's accessibility by rail will be provided.

2.2.5 Bus

Goodison Park is served by multiple bus routes that offer frequent services running from the city centre to a range of local centres within the city region. Bus services provide access to a range of destinations including Kirkby, Bootle, Aigburth, Aintree, Maghull, Netherton, Wavertree Croxteth and Skelmersdale, most of which link directly to Liverpool city centre.

Walton Lane and County Road are important bus corridors in the local area. Bus frequency and destinations from these key routes will be provided.

2.3 Committed Development

A review of committed development in the local area will be undertaken with a focus on transport impact and any new transport infrastructure proposed. MM will request details of committed developments from Liverpool City Council.

2.4 Proposed Development and Operation

A detailed description of the proposed development will be provided along with plans and illustrations where appropriate. At present the quantum of development is not fixed nor the proposed uses. The table below provides a breakdown of the development as a work in progress to assist these scoping discussions.

A Minimum Accessibility Standard Assessment (MASA) will be included in this section.

Table 1: Proposed Development Uses

Uses	Square Metres / Units
A1 Retail	551m ²
A2 Services	344m ²
A3 Bar / restaurant	1,648m ²
B1 Office	4,349m ²
C2 Extracare	102 units
C3 Apartments	160 units
C3 Houses	48 units
D1 Education- Workshop areas and adult learning	6,676m ²
D1 Healthcare- Drop in Centre	2,500m ²

The various uses of the development will be set out in the TA as well as the expected typical operation. At present the proposed means of access of the site is not yet fixed. More detail on this element of the development will be provided as work progresses.

2.5 Transport Demand and Trip Distribution

Transport demand of the proposed development will be calculated using the TRICs database, selecting sites from the database of a comparable scale and location, Census Data or a first principles approach to establish trip distribution. The potential demand for these will be assessed for the weekday peak hours (08:00 – 09:00 and 17:00 – 18:00).

2.6 Internal Site Analysis

A review of the proposed level of parking provision will be provided in the TA. This will be based on Liverpool City Council's parking standards as included in 'Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD' and comparison with other similar developments within the city.

Where appropriate tracking of the internal layout will be provided, however it should be noted that the planning application will be in outline only.

2.7 Junction Impact Assessment

Analysis of junction impact will be provided for the following junctions in terms of change in traffic flows on account of development:

- Walton Lane / Bullens Road
- Walton Lane / Spellow Lane / Langham Street
- Spellow Lane / Goodison Road
- Goodison Road / City Road / Gwladys Street / Andrew Street / Nimrod Street
- Bullens Road / Gwladys Street
- Goodison Park Car Park access on Goodison Road
- Priory Road / Walton Lane


At present it is envisaged that the majority of development traffic would route to and from the site using the following junctions:

- Spellow Lane / Goodison Road
- Walton Lane / Spellow Lane / Langham Street


These junctions will be analysed using Linsig (for signal junctions) and PICADY (for priority junctions). It should be noted that for any other junctions where traffic is predicted to increase by 30 vehicles or more per hour, they will also be assessed in terms of capacity.

2.8 Conclusions and Recommendations

A concise summary of the key findings of the Transport Assessment and a synopsis of the accessibility of the site and transport impact. A breakdown of the measures proposed to mitigate transport impact (if any) and a timetable for their implementation will also be provided.



K. Proposed Highway Adoption Plan




- Planning Boundary
- Existing adopted boundary
- Proposed areas to be adopted
- Proposed areas to be unadopted


S3-P03	10-12-20	Amended adoption areas	CH	ALC
S3-P02	27-11-20	Amended adoption areas	CH	ALC
S3-P01	24-11-20	Proposed adoption plan	CH	ALC

Revision	Date	Description	Drawn	Appr.
----------	------	-------------	-------	-------


Client	Everton Football Club
Project	2579 Goodison Legacy Project
Org Title	Proposed Adoption Plan
Created on	10.12.20
Created by	CH
Approved by	ALC
Scale	1:1000
Size	A3
Workstage	
Org No.	2579-PLA-XX-DR-U-0017
Suitability	S3
Revision	P03



Planit I.E. Limited
E: info@planit-ie.com W: planit-ie.com
MANCHESTER
2 Back Grafton St, Altrincham, WA14 1DY
T: 0161 928 9281



LONDON
Unit 6 Waterside, 44-48 Wharf Road, London, N1 7UX
T: 0207 253 5678



LIVERPOOL
Tempest 5.3, 12 Tithebarn Street, Liverpool, L2 2DT
T: 0151 363 1230

THIS DRAWING IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR PART WITHOUT WRITTEN AUTHORITY FROM THE OWNER.

NOTES:

1. Do not scale from this drawing
2. Always work to noted dimensions.
3. All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise stated.
4. All setting out, levels and dimensions to be agreed on site.
5. The dimensions of all materials must be checked on site before being laid out.
6. This drawing must be read with the relevant specification clauses and detail drawings
7. Order of construction and setting out to be agreed on site.

© Planit I.E. Limited

L. Technical Note: Car Parking & Trip Generation

Project:	The People's Project: Goodison Legacy		
Our reference:	385175-301	Your reference:	NA
Prepared by:	Kevin Blakey	Date:	24/11/20
Approved by:		Checked by:	Duncan Crockett
Subject:	Goodison Legacy Parking		

1 Introduction

This technical note provides detail on the changes to the development layout in respect to transport and car parking for the proposed Goodison Park Legacy Project. Since planning submission earlier this year (ref 200/0997) changes have been made to the internal layout of the development in response to urban design comments received from the Council's Urban Design Officer and a Places Matter Design Review.

Meetings were held with Liverpool City Council Highways and Planning on 2nd and 9th November 2020 to discuss the changes. LCC Highways raised concerns over:

- The management of car parking across the site and potential impact on existing and future residents given that around half of spaces will be provided 'on street';
- The allocation of car parking across the mix of proposed uses across the site;

Since the meeting the illustrative masterplan has been amended. This Technical Note provides more information on the parking proposals and a reference comparison with parking arrangements in the original planning application.

Furthermore, the note provides detail on the potential changes in traffic generation of the site on account of changes to the quanta of development.

In Appendix A an illustrative overview of the new proposed layout and parking is provided by Planit. This is an illustrative masterplan which accompanies this outline planning application and is subject to defining the specific end-users, exact quantum of development (we are applying for maximums) and associated car parking requirements. This therefore shows one way in which the maximum number of car parking spaces could be delivered and details will be confirmed at Reserved Matters stage. Similarly, the phasing plan provided is illustrative and we are seeking a planning condition requiring an updated phasing plan to be submitted prior to commencement of works (once more details on delivery are known).

2 Status of new streets and parking areas

2.1 Adoption and parking

Following the meeting of the 9th November the project team has confirmed that none of the new streets created within the site, nor any of the parking areas served off them will be offered for adoption by Liverpool

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

City Council. In this way the responsibility for maintenance and management will be with the developer or an agent employed by the developer.

Although privately maintained it is not proposed that access to the new streets will be restricted in any way. Streets will be open for public access at all times for those on foot, bicycle and in vehicles. Notwithstanding this the road will still be constructed to adoptable standards. Whilst access will not be restricted parking will be managed. A permit system will be implemented across all new private car parking spaces. Only those with an appropriate permit will be allowed to park in the allocated spaces. This will be managed by a private parking management company across the site. It is suggested that the detail of how this is to be rolled out across the site can be agreed in the reserved matters / detailed application stages via a Parking Management Strategy.

2.1.1 New spaces created on existing streets

402 new parking spaces will be created. Of these 353 will be provided on new streets, car parking podia and surface parking areas. In this way these 353 spaces will be managed privately. 49 spaces will be created on -street on Goodison Road, Bullens Road and Gwladys Street. These streets are currently too narrow to support two way traffic with cars parked on both sides of the road.

Due to this narrow width no parking is currently permitted on Bullens Road, protected by double yellow lines. Parking is only permitted on the northern side of Gwladys Street and on the eastern side of Goodison Road. The new spaces here will be formed by creating new street space. In this way parked cars will not obstruct passing cars or vehicles parking on the opposite side of the road. It is proposed that the 'on street' spaces on Bullens Road, Gwladys Street, and Goodison Road become part of the public highway and are adopted by LCC along with the new footways that will sit behind them. These spaces will not be managed by the developer and will operate in the same way as the existing parking spaces on these streets.

3 Breakdown of parking across the site and justification

A detailed breakdown of car parking is provided in Appendix A which sets out the illustrative location of parking for each development parcel and indicative proposed phasing.

The level of parking provision is not materially different from the original application which has previously been accepted by LCC highways. Where significant changes are apparent- for instance for the A1-A5 use class, this is on account of a change in development quantum. Across the board car parking is proposed at the same ratio to the number of units or floor area proposed as in the original application. Changes in the quantum of development is discussed later in this document in Section 4.

Table 1: Parking Comparison

Original Application					Revised Application					Comment
Use	Units	Off Street	On Street Adopted	Total	Units	Off Street	On Street Managed	On Street Adopted	Total	
B1 Office	4,761m ²	93	-	93	4,761m ²	93	-	-	93	Same ratio
A1-A5 Use	2,756m ²	62	-	62	750m ²	-	8	12	20	Same ratio- fewer spaces on account of reduced floorspace
C3 Houses	42	39	17	56	58	6	50	20	76	Same ratio 130%
C3 Flats	118	79	-	79	115	46	24	-	70	Same ratio 60%
C2 Care Home	102	34	6	40	102	30	6	4	40	Same ratio
D1 Primary School	2,596m ²	30	-	30	2,546m ²	-	30	-	30	Same ratio
D1 Health Centre	3,119m ²	41	-	41	3,069m ²	-	35	7	42	Same ratio
D1 Adult Education	4,283m ²	15	4	19	4,383m ²	25	-	6	31	Increase in provision
Total		393	27	420		200	153	49	402	

The table illustrates that in broad terms the parking ratios are the same as in the original application. The main difference is now there is a reduced reliance on 'off street' parking and a significant provision of 'on street' parking the vast majority of which is provided on privately managed streets.

The level of on street provision on adopted streets is slightly higher than the original application. However, the bulk of this is provided for houses, as in the original application. All residential properties on existing streets park on the public highway so this is in keeping with existing practice. The development will create new parking spaces on the public highway so space will not be taken away from existing residents. The next highest provision of parking on the adopted highway is for the A1-A5 use which is appropriate as these uses typically generate a high turnover of spaces.

4 Trip generation and distribution

4.1 Proposed uses

The uses proposed across the site are broadly the same as the previous planning application in type as well as quantum. The exceptions to this are:

- The A1 retail, A2 financial services, A3-5 food and drink uses have significantly reduced. 750m² of these uses are now proposed compared to 2,756m² proposed last time around.
- Although the overall quantum of non-residential institution / 'community use' (Use Class D1) floorspace remains the same as the previous application, there is a small fluctuation in the assumptions made

regarding the type of community uses proposed, for the purposes of the Transport Assessment. In the original application the assumed combined floorspace of the primary school and health centre uses was 5,715m² with the assumed floorspace dedicated to community education 4,283m². In the revised application the combined floorspace of the primary school and health centre is assumed at 5,615m², a reduction of 100m². The floorspace of the community education increases by 100m² to 4,383m².

- The illustrative mix between flats and houses has changed with 58 houses and 115 apartments proposed (173 units total). In the original application 48 houses and 125 apartments were illustratively proposed (173 units total).

A comparison between the uses proposed compared to the previous application is provided below:

Table 2: Comparison of Maximum Development Quanta

Land Use	Original Application	Revised Application	Difference
B1 Office	4,762m ² (5,155m ² assessed in TA)	4,762m ²	0m ²
Class A1 – A5	2,756m ²	750m ²	-2,006m ²
C3 Apartments	125 units	115 units	-10 units
C3 Houses	48 units	58 units	+10 units
C2 Residential Institution	78 bed care home and 24 beds extra care	78 bed care home and 24 beds extra care	0
D1 Non Residential Institution (Primary School)	2,596m ²	2,546m ²	-50m ²
D1 Non Residential Institution (Health Centre)	3,119m ²	3,069m ²	-50m ²
D1 Non-residential Institution (Community Education)	4,283m ²	4,383m ²	+100m ²

4.2 Trip Generation

Based on the proposed uses the table below shows a comparison of those included in the original application and those proposed in the revised application. The same trip rates have been used for this assessment which were accepted in the original application.

Table 3: Trip Generation Comparison

Use	TRICS Land Use	Original Application		Revised Application	
		AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak
B1 Office	Offices	67	52	62	48
Class A1 – A5	Retail & Food & Drink	64	136	44	71
C3 Houses	Houses	21	23	27	27
C3 Flats	Flats	37	36	34	33
C2 Residential Institution	Care Home & Extra Care	18	19	18	19
D1 Non-Residential Institution	Primary School	146	17	143	17
D1 Non-Residential Institution	Health Centre	46	30	46	29
D1 Non-Residential Institution	Community Education	44	74	45	76
Total		443	387	418	320

The trip generation assessment has demonstrated that the revised application quanta will generate 25 fewer traffic trips in the morning peak and 67 fewer trips in the evening peak hour than the original planning application.

4.3 Trip Distribution

Within the revised development the location of the proposed uses has slightly shifted. Furthermore, there are now three east – west connections through the site between Bullens Road and Goodison Road making the site more permeable.

Notwithstanding this the modest changes in terms of the location and concentration of uses will not have a material impact on traffic distribution. Traffic will still access and egress the Goodison Park area from the same basic directions, unaffected by the changes in traffic distribution. In terms of location the community uses will generally remain in the same locations. The main difference in terms of location is the residential

and business use. The methodology agreed for the original application was to distribute office and residential trips using Census Data.

The plans in Appendix B illustrate the agreed traffic distribution from the previous transport assessment for these uses and illustrates how the agreed traffic distribution will remain unaffected.

Taking this into account there should be no need to assess any other junctions beyond those modelled in the transport assessment as detailed below, nor any requirement to adjust trip distribution for the purpose of the revised TA which will accompany the planning application. The trip distribution remains valid.

- Walton Lane / Priory Road
- Spellow Lane / Walton Lane / Langham Street
- Walton Lane / Bullens Road
- Walton Lane / Gwladys Street

4.4 Summary and Conclusions

This technical note has set out in detail the proposed changes to the proposed Goodison Park Legacy masterplan in terms of development quanta and car parking.

It has been demonstrated that the changes to car parking will result in broadly the same level of provision across all uses proposed. The vast majority of parking will be under private management and control. No newly created streets will be offered for adoption by Liverpool City Council. We conclude therefore that the level of parking provision is not materially different from the original planning application.

In terms of traffic generation, the proposed development mix for the updated application will result in 25 fewer car journeys in the morning peak compared to the original application and 67 fewer in the evening peak. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the changes to the location of uses across the site will not result in a material change in traffic distribution.

Accordingly, we conclude that the traffic assessments as presented within the original planning application remain robust and valid and represent a worst case. There is no requirement to re-assess the development in highway capacity terms based on the amended development quanta and site layout.

A. Parking Plans

14



PARKING, PARCELS AND PHASING

Maximum Development Quantum Per Plot

PLOT A Retail - 600 sqm Commercial - 4,762 sqm Residential - 820 sqm (~ 9 homes)	PLOT E Residential - 2,100 sqm (~ 25 homes) Community - 4,383 sqm (Includes Adult Education Facility)
PLOT B Residential - 6,200 sqm (~ 72 homes)	PLOT F Community - 5,615 sqm (Includes the Primary School and Health Centre)
PLOT C Retail - 150 sqm Residential 3,535 (~ 36 homes)	PLOT G Residential - 3,348 sqm (~ 31 homes)
PLOT D Residential Institution - 5,863 sqm	

TOTAL Retail - 750 sqm Commercial - 4,762 sqm Community - 9,998 sqm Residential Institution - 5,863 sqm Residential - 16,003 sqm (approx. 173 homes)
--



Updated Parking Layout



MASTERPLAN UPDATES FROM 09/11/20

- Parking along the park flipped to ensure spaces are within the curtilage of the plots
- Road along the home end downgraded and parking moved to the eastern edge to ensure the celebration of legacy here

SUBMITTED APPLICATION

Off-street spaces	393
Spaces on existing streets	27
Total spaces	420

CURRENT REVISED MASTERPLAN

'On-street' spaces	202
(of which 49 are outside of the managed realm and 153 are within the managed realm)	
Podium spaces (up to)	200

Total proposed spaces (up to)	402
-------------------------------	-----

It should be noted that parking spaces along Bullens Rd. (16 no.), Gwladys St. (15 no.) and Goodison Rd. (18 no.) are an improvement on the existing condition. Spaces are provided off the carriageway and a new footway and landscape treatment is suggested.

Illustrative Parking Split



PROPOSED ILLUSTRATIVE PARKING SPLIT

Residential:

- 58 Houses - 70 spaces on-street + 6 within podium Plot B (130%)
- 9,000 sqm apartments (~114 homes) - 24 on-street spaces + 7 podium spaces within Plot E and 39 podium spaces within Plot B (60%)

Residential total = 146 spaces

Non-Residential:

- 5,500 sqm residential institution - 10 on-street spaces + 30 spaces within podium of Plot D = 40 spaces
- 8,600 sqm community use - 78 on-street and surface car park spaces and 25 spaces within podium Plot E = 103 spaces
- 4,500 sqm commercial - up to 60 spaces within podium of Plot A + up to 33 spaces within podium of Plot E = 93 spaces
- 750 sqm retail - 20 on street spaces = 20 spaces


Non-Residential total = 256 spaces

The site will not be adopted by LCC highways and will be privately managed including car parking.

PREVIOUS TOTAL SPACES

- Residential (16,000 sqm) = 135 spaces
- Residential Institution (5,800 sqm) = 40 spaces
- Community (10,000 sqm) = 90 spaces
- Retail and Commercial (7,500 sqm) = 155 spaces

Parking Parcels - Delivers



PARKING PARCEL BREAKDOWN (PARCELS RELATE TO PARCEL PARAMETER PLAN)

Parcel A: Total 65
5 spaces 'on-street' - none of which are within managed realm
+ up to 60 podium spaces within the plot podium to serve the commercial use

Parcel B: Total 81
36 spaces 'on-street' - all within the managed realm
+ up to 45 podium within plot B

Parcel C: Total 40
40 spaces 'on-street' - 7 outside of the managed realm


Parcel D: Total 34
4 spaces 'on-street' - none of which are within managed realm
+ up to 30 podium spaces within the plot

Parcel E: Total 94
29 spaces 'on-street' - of which 6 are outside of the managed realm
+ up to 65 podium spaces within Plot A

Parcel F: Total 47
56 spaces 'on-street' - of which 7 are outside of the managed realm

Parcel G: Total 41
41 spaces 'on-street' - of which 20 are outside of the managed realm

Parking Parcels - Plot based needs



PARKING PARCEL NEEDS

Parcel A: Total 125
12 spaces 'on-street' for 9 Houses
20 spaces 'on-street' for retail
60 podium spaces within Plot A and 33 podium spaces within Plot E for Commercial

Parcel B: Total 49
4 spaces 'on street' and 45 podium spaces within Plot B for 8 Houses and 64 Apartments

Parcel C: Total 29
13 'on street' spaces for 10 houses
16 'on street' spaces for 26 apartments

Parcel D: Total 40
10 spaces 'on-street'
30 podium spaces within the Plot D for Residential Institution

Parcel E: Total 46
8 spaces 'on-street' and 7 podium spaces within Plot E for 25 Apartments
6 spaces 'on-street' and 25 podium spaces within Plot E for community use (Adult Education)

Parcel F: Total 72
72 spaces 'on-street' (9 opposite Plot E) for community use (Primary School and Health Centre)
Parcel G: Total 41
41 spaces 'on-street' for 31 Houses

Indicative Sequencing

PHASE 1

Plot G - kick-start the development with residential, delivering:

- 3,348 sqm of residential development
- New frontage along Gwladys St. and Bullens Rd., including 20 new parking spaces
- Northern east/west street incorporating the central 'play street' element to the top of park
- New frontage to the Church, including a further 12 spaces

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 41, DELIVERS - 41

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 41 Spaces

Plot B - kick-start the development with residential, delivering:

- 6,200 sqm of residential development
- A podium car park of up to 45 spaces
- The southern east-west street with 36 parking spaces
- Gateway space to the south-east
- New frontage along Walton Lane
- Southern play street

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 49, DELIVERS - 81

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 122 Spaces



As such a large quantum of residential (over 50%) is delivered in the first phase, early delivery of the park is anticipated

PHASE 2

Plot C - completing the residential offer, delivering:

- 3,535 sqm of residential development, alongside retail use
- The central east/west route with 33 spaces
- Central Play Street
- A new square along Goodison Rd. in front of Plot C with 7 spaces within it

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 29, DELIVERS - 40

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 162 Spaces

PHASE 3A

Plot E - introduce community use to the development, delivering:

- 2,100 sqm of residential development alongside community use
- New frontage to Goodison Rd with 6 spaces
- New frontage to the park, with park street and up to 24 spaces
- A parking podium with up to 65 spaces to facilitate development within Plot A
- Alternatively a temporary surface car park could be delivered to facilitate the delivery of Plot A, before the delivery of Plot E

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 46, DELIVERS - 94

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 256 Spaces

PHASE 3B

Plot A - to complete the southern east/west route and release plots C and D. Delivering:

- 820 sqm of residential development alongside commercial development with retail use to Goodison Rd.
- New frontage to Goodison Rd. with 5 parking spaces
- Gateway space to the south-west incorporating the Dixie Dean Statue
- Completion of the new frontage along Walton Lane
- A podium car park up to 60 spaces

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 125, DELIVERS - 65

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 321 Spaces

PHASE 4

Plot F - has the flexibility to provide the additional community use dependant on demand

- Alongside community use, also delivering the eastern park street, including 25 spaces
- The northern square with 24 car parking spaces
- A new frontage on Bullens Rd. created with 7 spaces

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 72, DELIVERS - 47

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 368 Spaces





Plot D - providing residential institution use

- A new frontage onto Bullens Rd. with 4 spaces
- A podium car park of up to 30 spaces

CAR PARKING SPACES NEEDS - 40, DELIVERS - 34

TOTAL CAR PARKING DELIVERED: 402 Spaces





MANCHESTER

2 Back Grafton Street
Altrincham,
WA14 1DY
+44 (0)161 928 9281

LONDON


Waterside, 44-48 Wharf Road
London,
N1 7UX
+44 (0)207 253 5678

LIVERPOOL

Tempest 5.3, 12 Tithebarn Street,
Liverpool,
L2 2DT
+44 (0)151 363 1230

B. Trip Distribution


**2019
Residential-
Distribution by
Census Data**



**2020
Residential-
Distribution by
Census Data**



2019 Office
Distribution by
Census Data



2020 Office-
Distribution
by Census
Data



Kevin Blakey

From: Kevin Blakey
Sent: 24 November 2020 16:59
To: Clarkson, Helen @ Manchester
Cc: Flynn, Dominic @ Manchester; Alix Craig
Subject: FW: Goodison Legacy- Cycle discussion

Helen

Email from Andy Dingwall- accepts our TA approach so no requirement to re run models etc.

Kevin Blakey

Principal Transport Planner

D 0151 482 9923
kevin.blakey@mottmac.com

From: Dingwall, Andy <Andy.Dingwall@liverpool.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 November 2020 16:22
To: Kevin Blakey <Kevin.Blakey@mottmac.com>
Cc: Rodgers, Jayne <Jayne.Rodgers@liverpool.gov.uk>; Dave Drury <Dave.Drury@mottmac.com>
Subject: RE: Goodison Legacy- Cycle discussion

Hi Kev,

I suspect Planning Chairs Conference might be a short one tomorrow, so I will jump straight onto the meeting with Jayne if it's still going on.

However, we have already reviewed the transport note you sent through earlier and it seems fine to me. I've had Stephen Walker check it too and he hasn't raised any concerns, except just make mention that FMPZ parking permits will not be issued for any future residents/visitors of the scheme, perhaps apart from those dwellings that individually have a direct frontage onto the likes of Bullens Road and Gwladys Street.

One other point Jayne may mention tomorrow is that we had a very good meeting with Sustrans this week about Liveable Neighbourhoods. One potential contender to develop further is Everton, essentially the area that surrounds the stadium. It's still a long way off and there is no capital funding identified yet, but I guess it's something that Everton in the Community and the club would love to be involved in.

Regards,

Andy

Andy Dingwall | Team Leader – Highways & Transportation

Liverpool City Council | Cunard Building | Water Street | Liverpool | L3 1AH

T: 0151 233 0322 | M: 07702 668415 | E: andy.dingwall@liverpool.gov.uk



THE WORKPLACE
WELLBEING
CHARTER



INVESTORS
IN PEOPLE

Accredited
2019-2021

From: Kevin Blakey <Kevin.Blakey@mottmac.com>
Sent: 24 November 2020 15:22
To: Dingwall, Andy <Andy.Dingwall@liverpool.gov.uk>
Cc: Rodgers, Jayne <Jayne.Rodgers@liverpool.gov.uk>; Dave Drury <Dave.Drury@mottmac.com>
Subject: RE: Goodison Legacy- Cycle discussion

Hi Andy

Thanks for letting me know.

The purpose of the meeting is initially to update Jayne on transport as she could not make the last meeting. Then to discuss the requested contributions with Jayne- namely the cycle routes and modal filters. So I trust these discussions can progress in your absence?

However since we last met there has been some other progress- on parking management and parking allocations. You'll see from my last email the streets will be under private management as will the parking. I know that this has only been issued to you today but I was hoping to get some feedback if possible. Parking levels are the same as the original application.

Similarly in the note I provided some detail on our approach to the revised Transport Assessment and modelling which I was hoping to get feedback on.

As this note has only been issued I appreciate you may not have time to review in full. Ideally I'd like if possible to head all issues off at once so we can make progress on the resubmission which we are under pressure to complete asap. So if you can make the meeting it would be appreciated. If you require longer to review then perhaps we can arrange another meeting to discuss the parking and TA approach. I can always provide a brief overview in the meeting on these issues if necessary.

Regards

Kevin Blakey

Principal Transport Planner

D 0151 482 9923
kevin.blakey@mottmac.com

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Dingwall, Andy <Andy.Dingwall@liverpool.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 November 2020 14:47
To: Kevin Blakey
Cc: Rodgers, Jayne
Subject: Declined: Goodison Legacy- Cycle discussion
When: 25 November 2020 10:00-11:00 (UTC+00:00) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.
Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Hi Kev,

I am going to have to decline this meeting tomorrow morning as I now have Planning Chairs Conference. Happy for you to go ahead with Jayne without me, or if you think it's vital I am there then equally happy for you to re-arrange it.

Regards,

Andy

DISCLAIMER:

The information in this email is confidential and may be read, copied or used only by the intended recipient(s). If you have received it in error please contact the sender immediately by replying to the email or by telephoning a number contained in the body of the email and please then delete the email without disclosing its contents elsewhere. No responsibility is accepted for loss or damage arising from viruses or changes made to this message after it was sent. The views contained in this email are those of the author and not necessarily those of the author's employer.

This email has been automatically scanned for viruses and malicious content by Symantec Cloud Security for your protection, but this is no guarantee of safety.

Liverpool City Council's privacy notice can be found here: <https://liverpool.gov.uk/privacy-notice/>

Take care when opening email from unknown senders. This email has been automatically scanned for viruses and malicious content by Symantec Cloud Security. No email filtering system is 100% effective however and this is no guarantee of safety or validity. Always exercise caution when opening email, clicking on links, and opening attachments.

Liverpool City Council's privacy notice can be found here: <https://liverpool.gov.uk/privacy-notice/>

DISCLAIMER:

The information in this email is confidential and may be read, copied or used only by the intended recipient(s). If you have received it in error please contact the sender immediately by replying to the email or by telephoning a number contained in the body of the email and please then delete the email without disclosing its contents elsewhere. No responsibility is accepted for loss or damage arising from viruses or changes made to this message after it was sent. The views contained in this email are those of the author and not necessarily those of the author's employer.

This email has been automatically scanned for viruses and malicious content by Symantec Cloud Security for your protection, but this is no guarantee of safety.

Liverpool City Council's privacy notice can be found here: <https://liverpool.gov.uk/privacy-notice/>

