



Surface Water Drainage & SuDS Assessment

Erskine Street, Liverpool L6 1AP

20th September 2016 Ref: 4/6151

Old Hall Chambers

31 Old Hall Street

Liverpool

L3 9SY

t: +44 (0)151 227 5300 e: enquiries@clancy.co.uk www.clancy.co.uk



Erskine Street, Liverpool L6 1AP

Report Reference:	8/1370
Version	С
Date originated:	2 nd September 2016
Prepared by:	Clancy Consulting Limited

Revision List

REVISION	REASON FOR ISSUE	DATE OF ISSUE
A	Work in progress	2 nd September 2016
В	Issued for Planning	20 September 2015
C	Updated plans & details	3 November 2016

Prepared by:

Greg Scott B.Eng.(Hons), C.Eng., M.I.Struct.E

CAVEAT

This document has been prepared for the titled project, or named part thereof, and should not be relied upon or used for any other project or part as the case may be, without an independent check being made on it. Clancy Consulting shall not be liable for the consequences of using this document other than for the purpose for which it was commissioned, and any user and any other person using or relying on this document for such other purpose, agrees and will be such use or reliance be taken to confirm this agreement to indemnify Clancy Consulting for all loss or damage resulting therefrom



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		4
2.0		6
3.0	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	9
4.0	SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY	15
5.0	SUDS TECHNICAL STANDARD REQUIREMENTS	17
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	19

APPENDICES

Existing Topographic Survey
Green Roof & Soft Landscape Areas
Schematic Drainage Plan
Calculations



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

- 1.1.1 This report has been prepared on instructions received from Elliot Lawless of the Elliot Group and relates to the proposed redevelopment of Erskine Street, Liverpool L3 1AP.
- 1.1.2 The site currently comprises of two surface level warehouses with car parking space surrounding them. It is proposed to redevelop the site to provide a 14- storey student block (1007 units) and a 11-storey residential block (142 units).
- 1.1.3 This report sets out the results of a Sustainable Drainage Assessment required by the Local Planning Authority in support of the planning application for this development. The assessment has been carried out in accordance with the general principals set out in National Planning Policy Framework and Technical Guidance published in April 2015.
- 1.1.4 This report is prepared solely for the benefit of the Client. This report may not be assigned without prior written permission from Clancy Consulting.
- 1.1.5 This report is for Planning Application purposes only. Detailed design of drainage, specialist engineers will be required prior to construction.

1.2 Background Information

1.2.1 Following recent consultation, planning guidance was issued which came into force on 6 April 2015 and concerns all "major" housing developments (developments of 10 dwellings or more).

This guidance sets out the following main points;

LPAs will be required to consider SuDS in connection with planning applications, rather than a separate local government body.

Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFAs) will become statutory consultees on surface water management regarding planning applications. LPAs must satisfy themselves that operational standards and maintenance are provided for the lifespan of the development using for example planning conditions or Section 106 agreements.

The operation and on-going maintenance of SuDS must also be economical.

A clear set of non-statutory technical standards for SuDS has been produced by the Government working closely with the Environment Agency, local authorities and developers to reduce the risk of surface water flooding, improve water quality and the environment and to ensure that SuDS are robust, safe and affordable.

They should be read in conjunction with a Planning Practice Guide which is now available on line.

The Technical Guidance previously published has now been replaced with a Web based Practice Guide – Flood Risk and Coastal Change.



http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/flood-riskand-coastal-change/reducing-the-causes-and-impacts-of-flooding/why-aresustainable-drainage-systems-important/



2.0 LOCAL POLICY

LCC GREENFIELD / BROWNFIELD SITES SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE

If the site has previously been developed it should be demonstrated that the drainage system is still operational for it to be classed as brownfield. Information should be obtained on the system, e.g. pipe diameters, levels, gradients, lengths, hydraulic controls, etc. These details should be used, along with the contributing area characteristics of the site, to set up a drainage model (or to inform another assessment method) in order to evaluate the peak flow rates at the outfalls from the existing site for the design return period events. The maximum allowed flow from the site should then be derived using the 1:2yr critical rainfall event with a 30% reduction applied to offer improvement.

The limiting discharge figure for the proposed development should be used in the design of the drainage system for the minimum requirement that flows for up to the 1:30yr critical rainfall event are retained within the system and that for the 1:100yr+30% climate change allowance, critical rainfall event there will be no flooding to any buildings and any excess volumes of water will be retained on site.

Notwithstanding the above, the existing site drainage constraints will also be taken into account when agreeing any discharge limits and the proposed flow should not exceed existing pipe capacity. For example if the existing site outfall was a 150mm dia pipe, irrespective of the area being drained, it would have a maximum flow capacity which may be lower than any proposed flows calculated using the above criteria, assuming a free discharge. Therefore discharge to the existing drainage system from the development would be effectively increased from the existing situation which is contrary to Environment Agency and National Planning Policy Framework guidance for flood risk and surface water management.

Where records of the previously developed system are not available and system characteristics cannot otherwise be determined, or if the drainage system is broken or blocked (or no longer operational), then the run-off characteristics should be defined as greenfield.

If a site is classed as greenfield the flow rates from the development will be limited to the equivalent greenfield run off rates. For example the flow rate from the development for the 1:30yr critical rainfall event should not exceed the greenfield run off rate for the site for the 1:30 year rainfall event, likewise for the 1:2 & 1:100 year scenarios. A minimum flow of 5 l/s can be used when the greenfield run off rate falls below 5 l/s.

It should be noted that this discharge figure will satisfy planning requirements but the applicant should consult United Utilities to determine if they have any discharge restrictions, which could be more restrictive.

For all development s over 1ha a FRA (Flood Risk Assessment) will be required which should be based on the requirements as detailed in Environment Agency (Greater Manchester, Merseyside & Cheshire) Local Planning Standing Advice and NPPF guidance. The detail and technical complexity of a FRA will reflect the scale, nature and location of the development. Where available, reference should be made to the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) for locally specific guidance and information.



The following list sets out key information that should be submitted within a FRA for developments

- A location plan that includes geographical features, street names and identifies the catchment, watercourses or other bodies of water in the vicinity.
- A plan of the site showing existing site; development proposals; and identification of any structures (e.g. embankments), which may influence local flood flow overland or in any watercourses (e.g. culverts) present on the site.
- Site levels of both existing and proposed. Reference to Ordnance Datum, may be required where details of context of the site to its surroundings is needed.
- Details of the existing surface water drainage arrangements on site (if any) and the receptor e.g. soakaway, sewer, canal, watercourse etc.
- Proposals for surface water management that aims to not increase, and where practicable reduce the rate of runoff from the site as a result of the development
- Information about the surface water disposal measures already in place and estimates of the rates of run-off generated by the surfaces drained.
- An assessment of the volume of surface water run-off likely to be generated from the proposed development and confirmation of how any excess volumes would be retained within the development.
- Information regarding how the proposed drainage design will perform under the increased frequency and intensity of rainfall that is predicted as a result of climate change (30% for residential development & 20% for non- residential).
- Information about other potential sources of flooding, if any, that may affect the site e.g. streams, surface water run-off, sewers, groundwater, reservoirs, canals and other artificial sources or any combination of these; including details on how these sources of flooding will be managed safely within the development proposal.

It should be noted that the above list is not exhaustive but provides a framework for the FRA to be prepared.

For developments less than 1 ha a FRA will not be required but a drainage design statement should be provided proportional to the scale of the development and follow the same design principles with regards to the calculating the maximum design flow rates for the site.

In line with NPPF (National Planning Policy Framework) the development of a site should look towards the use of SUDS techniques as a method of reducing the run off from the site, as a result of the development. Government policy strongly encourages a hierarchical approach to the use of sustainable drainage systems in new developments and infiltration methods for private drainage should be used where possible.

For residential developments greater than 0.5 ha and where the floor space of any building is greater than 1000m² ground Investigations should be carried out to BRE 365 to determine if infiltration drainage methods are practicable and suitable for the sites. A soils report including ground percolation test results and recommendations will need to be submitted within the drainage design statement or FRA, for approval, although any detailed soakaway design information is not required at this stage. If this proves that infiltration drainage is not



a viable option, then a positive piped system of surface water run off disposal will need to be provided.

Any soakaway design and the sub ground strata of the sloping site areas shall be considered so as not to cause flooding to any adjoining third party land.

For developments containing prospectively adoptable surface water sewers the following document published by United Utilities should be referred to for guidance related to SUDS

http://www.unitedutilities.com/documents/7010b S104 Guide adoption sewers 2016 W EB ACC.pdf



3.0 SITE CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 Location

3.1.1 The site is located as detailed as below.

OS X (Eastings)	336071
OS Y (Northings)	390949
Nearest Post Code	L6 1AP
Lat (WGS84)	N53:24:41 (53.411461)
Long (WGS84)	W2:57:48 (-2.963213)
LR	SJ360909 / SJ3607190949
mX	-329863
mY	7025139

3.2 Site Description

3.2.1 The overall site is approximately 7698m² and located between Low Hill, Prescot Street, Manfred Street and the A580. The site is currently a surface level car park with two industrial units with access from Prospect Street.



Fig 1 – Site Plan view



- 3.2.2 59% of the site is currently impermeable to rainfall which is collected in a below ground drainage system and discharged into the public combined sewer in Prospect Street.
- 3.2.3 A topographic survey of the existing site is provided in Appendix A. There is a 7m fall from Erskine Street down to Manfred Street (55.80 m AOD).

3.3 Existing Drainage

3.3.1 Public Sewer records for the site have been obtained from United Utilities. These indicate that there is a network of large combined foul and surface water drains in Prospect Street which discharge into Prescot Street and then heads West from the site. United Utilities indicate that the depth of the sewer in Prospect Street is approximately 3.5m below ground level at manhole 0904. At 1901 the depth is indicated as being 4m below ground level.

There is a disused sewer (shown in black) running through Harper Street.



Fig 2 – Public Sewer Network





Fig 3 – Historic Mapping (1891)

3.3.2 The historic street plan in Fig 3 shows that the current United Utilities public drainage system would have been entirely within the streets prior to redevelopment of this area. This mapping also indicates that there were school buildings in the area which is now soft landscaping on the site.





Fig 4 – Topographic Survey

3.4 Ground Conditions and Geology

3.4.1 The underlying geology is described as follows;
Superficial – Non recorded.
Bedrock – Chester Pebble Beds Formation (Sandstone, fine –to course grained)

There is a high probability of made ground on the site due to its past use and previous development.

The risk of this made ground being contaminated is described as medium.

3.4.2 Subsoil Permeability Chester pebble beds formation - high



3.5 Development Proposals

3.5.1 The proposal is to provide an 11 Residential Block with 142 units and a 14 storey Student Accommodation Block with 1000 rooms. There will be commercial property and communal uses at ground level and student/ residential accommodation to the upper floors.



Fig 5 – Lower Ground Floor Development Proposal





Fig 6 – Upper Ground floor Proposal



4.0 SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

4.1 Surface Water Disposal Hierarchy

The disposal of surface water should be considered in the following order of priority;

- 1. Infiltration into the subsoil via soakaways or permeable paving.
- 2. Discharge to a water course or the sea.
- 3. Discharge to a surface water sewer.
- 4. Discharge to a combined sewer.

If it is not possible to discharge to a soakaway, then surface water should be controlled with the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) and considered using the SuDS Hierarchy.

4.2 SuDS Hierarchy

Most Sustainable	SUDS technique	Flood Reduction	Pollution Reduction	Landscape & Wildlife Benefit
	Living roofs	~	~	~
Î	Basins and ponds - Constructed wetlands - Balancing ponds - Detention basins - Retention ponds	~		ý
	Filter strips and swales	~	~	~
	Infiltration devices - soakaways - infiltration trenches and basins		•	ý
¥	Permeable surfaces and filter drains - gravelled areas - solid paving blocks - porous paviors	~		
Least Sustainable	Tanked systems - over-sized pipes/tanks - storms cells			

4.3 Disposal Strategy for Erskine Street

Infiltration

Infiltration for this development is unlikely to be possible due to the space constraints, the sloping site and the nature of the subsoils.

It is necessary to position soakaways at least 5m from building structures to prevent potential damage to the structure by weakening sub-soils close to foundations. There is no space on this site to locate a soakaway at least 5m from the building.

There are external areas of paving so the provision of permeable paving is possible for this site.



Water Couse / Surface water Sewer

There are no watercourses or surface water sewers within practical distance of the site and this would entail crossing third party land.

Combined Sewer

This is the only practical solution for the discharge of all drainage from the site.

4.4 SuDS Strategy for Erskine

Living Roof

A significant area of the site receiving surface water is roof area.

The most sustainable solution to control run-off at its source is to provide a living or green roof areas. These roof areas retain part of the rainwater, slow down the speed of run-off and also reduce water pollution.

Ponds/ Basins and Swales.

There is space on site to provide these systems.

Tanked System

A tanked system will provide additional attenuation storage to control rainfall up to 1 in 100 year events with an allowance for climate change. An overall reduction in flow rate from the site will be proposed in accordance with Liverpool Council development standards.



5.0 SuDS TECHNICAL STANDARD REQUIREMENTS

5.1 Peak Flow

5.1.1 For sites which were previously developed (brownfield), the peak runoff rate from the development to any drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event must be as close as reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff rate from the development for the same rainfall event, but should never exceed the rate of discharge from the development prior to redevelopment for that event.

The standards stipulated by Liverpool City Council require at least a 30 % reduction of the 1 in 1 year critical event.

5.1.2 Refer to calculations in Appendix B.

The Existing impermeable area is 4553 m2.

The Existing 1 in 2-year (15 minute) run-off for the site is 49 l/s

The Existing 1 in 100-year run-off for the site is 199 l/s

It is proposed to control the surface water run-off to the existing 1 in 2 year rate with a reduction of 30%. 49l/s - 30% = 34 litres per second.

Storage will be provided for all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100-year event with an allowance for climate change based on a maximum flow rate off site of 34 litres per second. It is estimated that impermeable area for the proposed development will be approximately 85% of the total site area giving 6543 m2.

The volume of surface water storage required for this site for the 1 in 100-year event plus climate change based on a maximum flow rate off site of 34 litres per second is 157-257 m3. (Refer to Micro-drainage calculations in appendix B)

This quantity of storage is easily accommodated within the site and an outline strategy has been shown on SK01 – Drainage Strategy.

5.2 Volume Control

Where it is not reasonably practicable to constrain the volume of runoff to any drain, sewer or surface water body, the runoff volume must be discharged at a rate that does not adversely affect flood risk.

There will be some minor reductions in the volume of discharge with the introduction of soft landscaping.

5.3 Flood Risk within the Development

The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur on any part of the site for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event.



The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur during a 1 in 100 year rainfall event in any part of: a building (including a basement); or in any utility plant susceptible to water (e.g. pumping station or electricity substation) within the development.

The design of the site must ensure that, so far as is reasonably practicable, flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 year rainfall event are managed in exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.

It is proposed to design the below ground storage system for the 1 in 100 year plus climate event as there is very limited practical storage place for water above ground due to the sloping site.

Levels will be designed such that in the event of a more extreme rainfall event water will discharge away from buildings.

5.4 Structural Integrity

Components must be designed to ensure structural integrity of the drainage system and any adjacent structures or infrastructure under anticipated loading conditions over the design life of the development taking into account the requirement for reasonable levels of maintenance.

The materials, including products, components, fittings or naturally occurring materials, which are specified by the designer, must be of a suitable nature and quality for their intended use.

The detailed design of the system and product selection for the storage and pipe solution will be made at the detailed design stage when all the site constraints can be considered. There are numerous products available for storage of water below ground and care will be needed to ensure that the right product is chosen for the final loading conditions.

5.5 Designing for Maintenance considerations

Pumping should only be used to facilitate drainage for those parts of the site where it is not reasonably practicable to drain water by gravity.

Pumps will only be required from the basement to remove residual run-off from vehicles entering the building.

5.6 Construction

The mode of construction of any connection with an existing sewer or drainage system must be such that the making of the connection would not be prejudicial to the structural integrity and functionality of the sewerage or drainage system. Damage to the drainage system resulting from associated construction activities must be minimised and must be rectified before the drainage system is considered to be completed.

A section 106 application will be required for the connection to the public sewer. United Utilities will provide details of how the connection be allowed to be made to their assets.



6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 6.1. This report gives details of the Drainage and SuDS Assessment, which has been carried out in relation to the proposed development of Erskine Street, Liverpool.
- 6.2 The existing site is currently 60% impermeable and discharges surface water runoff a private below ground drainage system where it is collected and discharged to the public combined sewer system.
- 6.3 The proposed development provides an opportunity to reduce local area flood risk by controlling surface water on site. Following the SuDS and water disposal hierarchy it has been determined that surface water will be controlled using flow controls and storage tanks before being discharged to the public combined sewer system.
- 6.4 The proposed system will be designed to control surface water run-off for all storms up to and including the 100 year plus climate change event. Water will be stored on site below ground before being discharged at a flow rate reduced from existing run-off rates by 30% in accordance with Liverpool City Council's requirements. Final run-off rates will be dependent on approval by United Utilities.
- 6.5 The proposed system will be designed to meet the Sustainable Drainage Systems Non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems, March 2015.



APPENDIX A

- Existing Topographical Survey Proposed Areas -
- -
- Schematic Drainage Plan -

ERSKINE STREET



× 60.40 EXISTING SREEND LEVELS EXISTING PUBLIC COMBINED SYSTEM FLOW J PROPOSED SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE PROPOSED STORM WATER STORA 46 PPOPOSED FOUL PRELIMINARY FLOW ESTIMATES EXISTING IN 2 YEAR 15MIN = 49 1/5 (BASED ON EXIST IMPERMEABLE 454 | m²) 59 / OF STE AREA 7698 PROPOSED MAX FLOW ROTE = 34 L/S. ESTIMATED PROPOSED IMPERMEABLE = 85% = 6543 m2 MAX STORAGE REQD FOR IN 100 +30% = 257 M3 FLOW RATES SUBJECT TO FINAL AREA CHECKS & APPROVOL BY UNITED UTILITIES. TOTAL VOLUMES SHOWN 120 120 60 30 330 m3 > 257 iok B 3/11/16 PLANNING A 19/9/16 PEFLIM. ALL DIMENSIONS DO NOT TURN O Client ELLIOT GROUP Project ERSKINE Office LIVERPOOL Discipline Title DRAINAGE STRATEGY Scale @ A1 \$ 1:500 Status cla ncy consulting Job Number A/6151 Originato Туре Level Drawing No. Revision Skol



2



 \angle

Birmingham 0121 200 7800 . Glasgow 0141 222 1720 . Liverpool 0151 227 5300 . London 020 3077 0970 . Manchester 0161 613 6000 . Newcastle 0191 221 0702 . Norwich 01603 305190 . Prestwick 01292 475375 . Reading 0118 941 7888



APPENDIX B

Calculations

Colancy	Project				Job no.	
Clancy Consulting Ltd	Erskine				8/1	108
	Calcs for				Start page no./Re	vision
123-129 Queens Road,		Existing Surface	e Water 1 in 100			1
Norwich, NR1 3PL.	Calcs by GS	Calcs date 19/09/2016	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date

DESIGN RAINFALL		
In accordance with the Wallingford Procedure		
		Tedds calculation version 2.0.00
Design rainfall intensity		
Location of catchment area	Liverpool	
Storm duration	D = 15 min	
Return period	Period = 100 yr	
Ratio 60 min to 2 day rainfall of 5 yr return period	r = 0.400	
5-year return period rainfall of 60 minutes duration	M5_60min = 19.0 mm	
Increase of rainfall intensity due to global warming	$p_{climate} = 0 \%$	
Factor Z1 (Wallingford procedure)	Z1 = 0.63	
Rainfall for 15min storm with 5 year return period	$M5_15min_i = Z1 \times M5_60min = \textbf{12.0} mm$	
Factor Z2 (Wallingford procedure)	Z2 = 1.94	
Rainfall for 15min storm with 100 year return period	M100_15min = Z2 × M5_15min _i = 23.4 mm	
Design rainfall intensity	$I_{max} = M100_{15}min / D = 93.5 mm/hr$	
Maximum surface water runoff		
Catchment area	A _{catch} = 7698 m ²	
Percentage of area that is impermeable	p = 100 %	
Maximum surface water runoff	$Q_{max} = A_{catch} \times p \times I_{max} = \textbf{199.9} \ I/s$	

Clancy	Project				Job no.	
Clancy Consulting Ltd	Erskine				8/1108	
Queens House.	Calcs for				Start page no./Re	evision
123-129 Queens Road,		Existing Surfac	e Water 1 in 2			1
Norwich, NR1 3PL.	Calcs by GS	Calcs date 19/09/2016	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date

DESIGN RAINFALL

In accordance with the Wallingford Procedure		
		Tedds calculation version 2.0.00
Design rainfall intensity		
Location of catchment area	Liverpool	
Storm duration	D = 15 min	
Return period	Period = 2 yr	
Ratio 60 min to 2 day rainfall of 5 yr return period	r = 0.400	
5-year return period rainfall of 60 minutes duration	M5_60min = 19.0 mm	
Increase of rainfall intensity due to global warming	p _{climate} = 0 %	
Factor Z1 (Wallingford procedure)	Z1 = 0.63	
Rainfall for 15min storm with 5 year return period	$M5_15min_i = Z1 \times M5_60min = \textbf{12.0} mm$	
Factor Z2 (Wallingford procedure)	Z2 = 0.79	
Rainfall for 15min storm with 2 year return period	$M2_15min = Z2 \times M5_15min_i = \textbf{9.6} mm$	
Design rainfall intensity	$I_{max} = M2_{15min} / D = 38.2 \text{ mm/hr}$	
Maximum surface water runoff		
Catchment area	A _{catch} = 7698 m ²	
Percentage of area that is impermeable	p = 60 %	
Maximum surface water runoff	$Q_{max} = A_{catch} \times p \times I_{max} = \textbf{49.0} ~ I/s$	

Quick Storage Estimate	é,	1							
Quick storage Estimate:	۰.	£.,	and the second	and the second second	The second		and the second second		-
The second se	2		1.000	IC K	20100	CH CL PH	ALC: NO	mat	100
	00		Contraction of the local division of the loc	100	AND IN CASE OF	And the local diversion of	The set of the set		1.000

A .	Variables						
Micro	FSR Rainfall ~	Cv (Summer)	0.750				
bronndige	Return Period (years) 100	Cv (Winter)	0.840				
Variables	Region England and Wales ~	Impermeable Area (ha)	0.654				
Results	Map M5-60 (mm) 18.800	Maximum Allowable Discharge (I/s)	34.0				
Design	Ratio R 0.400	Infiltration Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000				
Overview 2D		Safety Factor	2.0				
Overview 3D		Climate Change (%)	30				
Vt							
		Analyse OK	Cancel Help				
Enter Climate Change between -100 and 600							

0 0

23

ļ	🗸 Quick Storage	Estimate 🖸 🖾	
		Results	
	Micro Drainage	Global Variables require approximate storage of between 157 m ³ and 257 m ³ .	
-		These values are estimates only and should not be used for design purposes.	
-	Variables		
_	Results		
_	Design		
	Overview 2D		
-	Overview 3D		
	Vt		
	Analyse OK Cancel Help		
	Enter Climate Change between -100 and 600		